



**Title Analysis of Interstitial Water and
Sediment for Surfactants by
Liquid Chromatography/
Mass Spectrometry
(LC/MS)**

Final Report

For
The Soap and Detergent Association
1500 K Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005

MRI Project No. 310428

May 5, 2004

Preface

The following report presents results from the analysis of aqueous and sediment samples from three sampling events that was performed in accordance with MRI Proposal No. 811863, dated October 10, 2002. The samples were analyzed for the surfactants commonly referred to as alkyl ethoxylate (AE), alkyl sulfate/alkyl ethoxysulfate (AS/AES), and linear alkyl benzene sulfonate (LAS). Additional sediment samples (sediment fines) were analyzed for surfactants and other aqueous samples for elemental boron (B) analysis by subsequent change orders.

MIDWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE



Dennis Hooton
Principal Chemist

Approved:



Thomas M. Sack, Ph.D.
Director
Chemical Sciences Division

May 5, 2004

Contents

Preface.....	iii
Section 1. Scope of Work	1
Section 2. Results.....	3
2.1 Alkyl Ethoxylates (AE) Sample Results	4
2.2 AS/AES and LAS Sample Results	7
2.3 Boron Results	10
Section 3. Quality Control/Quality Assurance (QA/QC)	11
Section 4. Discussion	13

Tables

Table 1. Sample Receipt and Label Information	15
Table 2. AE Calibration Data and Reporting Limits	19
Table 3. AE Method Blank and Aqueous Sample Results	21
Table 4. AE Spiked Control Water Results	29
Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks	31
Table 6. AE Sediment Lab Control Spikes	49
Table 7. AE Sediment Matrix Spike Results	51
Table 8. LAS and AS/AES Calibration Summary.....	55
Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples.....	57
Table 10. LAS and AS/AES Water Sample Control Spike Results.....	71
Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes	77
Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results.....	83
Table 13. LAS and AES Sediment Control Spikes.....	107
Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes	111
Table 15. Boron Sample and QC Results	119
Table 16. QC Measurements, Objectives, and Results	121

Section 1. Scope of Work

For this study, samples were collected over a period of September 16, 2003, to October 3, 2003, from three different wastewater treatment facilities and delivered to MRI for analysis. Based on communications with the field sampling team, the samples were collected as sediments and surface water samples, then preserved with formalin. The sediments were processed to separate out the interstitial (or pore) water from whole sediment for delivery to MRI as two separate samples. Interstitial water was further processed by the field sampling team to separate out suspended solids (referred to as "sediment fines") to produce an additional sample for analysis. A subset of the aqueous water samples was also collected, preserved with nitric acid, and submitted to MRI for elemental boron analysis.

The samples were packaged and shipped by overnight delivery to MRI laboratories in Kansas City, Missouri, and were received cold and in good condition. All surfactant sample extractions were initiated within 14 days of collection.

The samples included influent/effluent aqueous streams, sediments, surface water, interstitial water, and sediment fines (collected from centrifuged interstitial water). A complete list of the collected samples is presented in Table 1.

The samples were prepared and analyzed using MRI project-specific standard operating procedures (draft SOP Nos. 310428-1 and 310428-2) that were based on earlier validation studies. Briefly, separate portions of the aqueous samples were extracted for AE and AS/AES/LAS using solid-phase extraction (SPE), followed by elution and derivitization (AE only), then analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) under different operating conditions. Sediment samples were freeze-dried, extracted using different solvent schemes for AE and AS/AES/LAS, and also analyzed using separate LC-MS operating parameters. For AE in sediment, the sample extracts were processed through additional SPE cartridges for matrix cleanup, followed by derivatization, prior to analysis.

Because of the significant number of oligomers associated with surfactants, data from representative homologous classes (as indicated on subsequent tables) were processed. Additional data for related chemicals are available in electronic format for identification and quantitation if needed.

Standard reference materials of AE, LAS, AS/AES, and associated internal standards used in this study were previously characterized and provided by members of the SDA Task Force.

The ubiquity of surfactants in the environment and laboratory products makes it a difficult class of chemicals to determine at trace levels. MRI used a rigorous multistep cleanup process for all glassware to help minimize any background contaminants during sample preparation and analysis.

Section 2. Results

Results of this study are presented in the following sections and referenced tables by type of analysis and matrix. Within each table, the samples are divided into test sites and listed in order of the general stream flow (e.g., upstream → influent → effluent → mixing zone → downstream → far downstream, etc.). Finally, related samples (e.g., pore water/surface water, sediment/sediment fines, and duplicated samples) are reported sequentially.

Data reduction for surfactants included:

- Development of chromatographic overlays to help assess chemical pattern recognition for each homologous series
- Examination of chromatograms generated for the individual mass ion channels for correct peak identification and response
- Qualitative assessment of data based on relative retention times derived from internal standards
- Calculation of sample results based on relative response (internal standard technique), initial/final sample volumes and weights, dilution factors, and unit conversions

Calibration data were generated using 5-point standard curves, except in a few cases where chromatographic interferences restricted the linear range. Calibration data were evaluated for linearity by calculating correlation coefficients. All initial calibration curves met calibration criteria (0.99), exhibiting correlation coefficients of 0.995 or better.

Sample results were calculated using relative response factors (RRF) instead of linear regression to avoid reporting negative values for data points that were below the lowest standard calibration point but above a detection limit of approximately 3:1 signal-to-noise response and met other qualitative criteria.

Reporting limits were established for each matrix and type of surfactant, as listed on subsequent tables. A reporting limit represents an equivalent sample concentration corresponding to the least concentrated calibration standard. Sample results that are below the calibrated range should be considered as estimated values.

Precision of the calibration factors were measured as relative standard deviation (RSD) for the average RRF. Precision of the individual chemical RRFs for AS/AES and LAS were better than 30% in all cases, while the AE calibration RRFs ranged from 7% to 55% RSD. The less precise values for some of the AE compounds may be attributed to the significant variations in chemical concentrations within the formulated reference material and chromatographic interferences for some chemicals. The impact on the data

is that sample results may be similarly affected and vary to lesser or more degree by chemical species and relative concentration.

Precision results are expressed in terms of \pm range of the average for duplicate determinations and % relative standard deviation (RSD) for determinations of 3 or more (such as calibration factors).

Continuing calibration standards were injected after approximately every 10 sample injections to monitor instrument performance throughout the run. With a few exceptions, continuing calibration standards ranged from 70% to 130% difference from theoretical concentrations for the continuing calibration check standards.

2.1 Alkyl Ethoxylates (AE) Sample Results

Calibration for AE was performed using multi-component standard solutions. Individual stock standard solutions of GENEPOL® T-110 and NEODOL® 25-9 reference materials were prepared and mixed into a single working standard solution. In addition, individual fatty alcohols (C12 – C16, and C18) were prepared as stock solutions and combined into a single mixed alcohol standard solution. Calibration standards and quality control (QC) spiked samples were spiked with the GENEPOL/NEODOL mixture and also fortified with the mixed alcohol standard solution. This technique of using combined standard mixes is used to eliminate the need for a separate alcohol curve and because alcohols are typically the predominant species in environmental samples.

Sample results for AE (identified as RO(CH₂CH₂O)_n, where n is 0 or higher) are reported using the following convention: C# represents the alkyl carbon chain length (R) and EO=# represents the (n) degree of ethoxylation (CH₂CH₂O)_n.

As a quality control check on the accuracy of the calibration data, a second complete set of stock standards was prepared and analyzed. The accuracy of measured AE in the check standard generally ranged from 70% to 130% compared to theoretical values. One of the AE chemicals (C13, EO=2) co-eluted with a more substantial chromatographic interference, resulting in a high bias; data associated with this chemical are flagged as “<” or “interference” in the left column of the tables, indicating that this chemical may be present at or below the reported concentration (i.e., interference response).

Summaries of the standard ranges, calibration data, verification standard results, and reporting limits for AE are presented in Table 2.

2.1.1 Aqueous Samples

AE results for aqueous samples and associated method blanks are presented in Table 3. Method blanks are listed first, followed by samples grouped by site.

Method blanks are reagent water samples, included with each wastewater treatment facility sample set, that were taken through all sample preparation and analysis steps. Method blank results averaged < 140 ng/L for AE reported and, with few exceptions, less than the reporting limits for individual and combined AE generated from the standard data.

The mixing zone surface water from the Lowell site was sampled in duplicate. Precision results are also included in Table 3. Results for the field duplicate sample were comparable, generally within 10% difference.

Laboratory control sample (LCS) results are presented in Table 4. The LCS is a reagent water sample that is spiked with AE, then prepared and analyzed with each wastewater treatment facility sample set. The average recovery for the representative AE reported was $\sim 43\% \pm 4\%$ (standard deviation). The C14, C15, and C16 fatty alcohols and some of the lower ethoxylated C18 oligomers were less than the 30% to 150% objective. Based on the observed LCS recoveries, analysis results for the water samples may also be higher than reported.

Results for the actual water samples varied significantly in found concentration, ranging from 2,500,000 ng/L for a diluted influent sample to less than 300 ng/L for an upstream sample.

Several of the samples (more noticeable on influents and some effluent samples) exhibited low responses for the internal standard chemicals, indicating possible ion suppression caused by the matrix. The use of a derivatized internal standard for calculation of native AE should help compensate for reduced response and allow mathematical correction for the observed AE concentrations in samples.

2.1.2 Sediment Samples

AE results for sediment samples and associated method blanks are presented in Table 5. Method blanks are listed first, followed by samples grouped by site. Method blanks for sediment samples consisted of solvents and reagents that were taken through all sample preparation and analysis steps. On average, method blanks were < 100 ng/g for AE reported, comparable to reporting limits.

The majority of the regular sediment samples were prepared and analyzed in duplicate. Only a few of the sediment fines were analyzed in duplicate because of limited amounts received. Precision results for the duplicate samples are included in the sample results table. Precision of the samples varied from $\pm 1\%$ to $\pm 79\%$ for AE reported. Sample results that showed higher variability may be due to the relatively low concentrations found (many of the sediment samples are not significantly different in total reported AE than the method blank) and physical variability of the matrix itself (e.g., sediment samples varied in consistency from clay to sandy, granular to small pebbles, etc.).

Laboratory control sample (LCS) results for the sediment samples are presented in Table 6. LCS samples were prepared using AE spiked extraction solvents prepared with wastewater treatment sample set. With few exceptions, average LCS recoveries met the objective of 50% to 150% recovery, ranging from 43% to 119%. Some of the AE chemicals that were spiked at less than 10 ng/g (ppb) did not recover well, resulting in concentrations that were less than the reporting limit.

Matrix spiked sample results are presented in Table 7. Matrix-spiked samples were prepared by fortifying upstream sediment samples with AE standard solutions and processing these QC samples for each associated sample set. Except for the Lowell sample set, only one matrix spiked sample was prepared with each sample set due to sample availability and the matrix spike duplicate for the Lowell sample was lost during sample preparation. Results for the matrix spiked samples were inconsistent at lower AE spiked concentrations (~ 4 to 8 times background for Wilmington and Bryan), but more consistent at higher spiked concentrations (~ 20 times background for Lowell). Results for the Lowell matrix spiked sample were consistent but low, ranging from 11% to 44% recovery.

Recoveries for the Wilmington matrix spiked sample were higher for the lower ethoxylates and fatty acids. Due to an oversight, this particular sample was spiked and refrigerated, but not freeze-dried until ~ 4 days later resulting in a longer contact time between the spiked AE and sediment; this may have contributed to a possible loss of the higher ethoxylated chemicals and increased concentrations of the lower ethoxylated chemicals and fatty alcohols.

Results for the sediment samples exhibited a wide distribution of concentrations (ranging from ~ 12,900 ng/g to less than 50 ng/g for total AE reported). Higher values for some of the sediment fines may, in part, be attributed to expected higher surface areas and smaller particle size of the matrix. Although the LCS data indicate good recovery (~ 43% to 119%) through the SPE and derivatization steps of the analysis, the limited matrix spike recovery data suggest that sample concentrations may be higher than reported.

Several of the sediment samples with smaller particle sizes, such as the sediment fines, presented difficulties during SPE cleanup steps due to clogging of the cartridge. More specifically, the second organic solvent extraction for all of the Bryan sediment fines and the Lowell upstream/discharge sediment fines and Lowell centrifuged sediments could not be passed through the top (C2) cartridge without plugging. However, the samples were processed through the lower (SAX and SCX) cartridges for matrix cleanup. Although results for these specific samples may have exhibited somewhat higher matrix interference, the original sediment extract fraction was intact and sample results should be minimally affected. For example, the Lowell upstream and downstream samples showed good precision (5% to 21% differences) between duplicated samples and significant differences in total AE concentration, ranging from ~ 1,500 ng/g to < 50 ng/g.

2.2 AS/AES and LAS Sample Results

Calibration for AS/AES and LAS were performed using individual stock standard solutions of formulated materials. The AS/AES formulation is identified as NEODOL 25-3S (Knavish) and the LAS reference standard is identified as a Low Molecular Weight LAS product with sample number VO146-105-1. Separate calibration curves and separate QC samples were prepared for the AS/AES and LAS surfactants to avoid saturation of the mass ion detector for co-eluting chemical. However, samples were analyzed concurrently for both classes of surfactants by establishing dual calibration curves with each run.

For this study, data from a representative subset of AS/AES chemicals were processed and LAS homologues were integrated and reported as a total area for the C10 through C14 series as indicated in subsequent tables. As with the AE data set, the full suite of AES surfactants is archived in electronic format for further processing if needed.

As a quality control check on the accuracy of the calibration data, a second complete set of stock standards was prepared and analyzed. The accuracy of the verification standard ranged from 95% to 115% for the LAS compounds and somewhat lower (59% to 68%) for the AS/AES check standard, compared to theoretical or gravimetric concentrations. The consistently lower values for the AS/AES check standard may be attributed to gravimetric difference between the stock standard used to prepare the calibration curve and the stock solution used to prepare the verification standard. Because there was a very limited amount of AES standard reference material available and the high viscosity of the material itself, calibration standards were prepared using an initial mass of ~ 30 mg and the check standard was prepared using a mass of ~ 15 mg standard (typically, ~ 100 mg masses were used to prepare stock standards for all other surfactant reference materials). The mass of standard was determined by gently warming the material to liquefy it, transferring a small portion to a volumetric flask, and then measuring the weight removed from the original vial. The calibration standard for AS/AES is considered more reliable due to the larger initial mass used in preparing the stock standard.

Summaries of the standard ranges, calibration data, verification standard results, and reporting limits for AS/AES and LAS are presented in Table 8.

The chemical structure of AES consists of an aliphatic hydrocarbon chain (listed as “C#”), connected to one or more ethoxylate groups (listed as “EO = #”), and terminated by a sulfate group. In some cases, multiple peaks (positional isomers) were observed for the AES compounds; only the dominant peak (identified from the calibration standards) was integrated and used to calculate sample results.

For LAS, results are reported as homologous series based on the degree of ethoxylation (C10 through C14 LAS). Each of these homologous series consists of a

varying number of positional isomers. For this study, the total peak area of exhibited peaks was integrated and used to calculate sample results.

2.2.1 Aqueous Samples

LAS and AS/AES results for the aqueous samples and associated method blanks are presented in Table 9. Method blanks are listed first, followed by samples grouped by site. Method blanks are reagent water samples that were included with each wastewater treatment facility sample set that were taken through all sample preparation and analysis steps. Method blank results averaged < 26 ng/L for LAS and < 23 ng/L for reported AES, less than the reporting limits derived from the standard data.

The mixing zone surface water from the Lowell site was sampled in duplicate. Precision results are also included in Table 9. Results for the field duplicate sample were generally comparable, ranging from 9% to 35% differences from the mean for LAS and AES, respectively. Several of the low-concentration AS results exhibited greater variability. Results for several laboratory duplicates, including an influent sample and several pore water samples, were very good—with differences of 1% and 17% from the average LAS and AS/AES concentrations, respectively.

LCS results are presented in Table 10. The LCS is an equivalent amount of reagent water spiked with AES or LAS that was prepared and analyzed with each wastewater treatment facility sample set. AES and LAS recoveries for the Lowell sample set were very low (< 1% for AES and < 10% for LAS). Results for subsequent samples sets from the Wilmington and Bryan facilities, produced better and more consistent recoveries (AES recoveries were ~ 20% and LAS recoveries were ~ 90%).

The cause of these apparent low recoveries was investigated and appears, in part, to be related to the proportional amount of methanol added to the aqueous sample as part of the spiking process (the Lowell sample set was spiked at a higher concentration of surfactants with 0.75 mL of methanol per a 200-mL aqueous sample, or ~ 0.4%, v/v; whereas the Wilmington and Bryan QC samples were spiked at ~ 1/5 this amount, or ~ 0.08%, v/v, methanol in water).

Subsequent experiments appear to confirm that the increased methanol in the spiking solution reduces recovery of surfactants. It is possible that this small amount of methanol may have caused elution of the surfactants from the C2 cartridge during the initial SPE extraction step.

Because none of the actual samples are fortified with standard solutions containing methanol, regular samples should not be affected. As part of this evaluation, the Lowell influent was re-extracted 25 days after the original extraction and analyzed with comparable results, as shown at the end of Table 10. Variances of 0% to 25% (for the least concentrated chemical) were observed between sample analyses.

Results of spiked samples (see Table 11) exhibited similar results to the LCS data, in that recoveries for added AS/AES were low ~ 10% to 20%, while recoveries for spiked LAS were near 90% to 100%.

Low recoveries may also have been affected by degradation of the QC spiking standard or physical loss during evaporation/concentration procedural steps, as discussed in Section 2.2.2.

Results for actual water samples varied significantly in measurable concentrations and chemical distribution. LAS concentrations ranged from 0 (nondetect) to ~ 4,000,000 ng/L and AES concentrations ranged from ~ 9 to ~ 200,000 ng/L.

2.2.2 Sediment Samples

LAS and AS/AES results for the sediment samples and associated method blanks are presented in Table 12. Method blanks are listed first, followed by samples grouped by site. Method blanks for sediment sample sets consisted of solvents and reagents that were taken through all sample preparation and analysis steps. On average, method blanks were 1 ng/g or less for both AES and LAS reported surfactants.

The majority of the regular sediment samples were prepared and analyzed in duplicate. Only a few of the sediment fines were analyzed in duplicate because of limited amounts received. Precision results for the duplicate samples are included in the sample results table and, with few exceptions, were within the objective of 30% difference from the average value found.

Laboratory control sample (LCS) results for the sediment samples are presented in Table 13. LCS samples were prepared using AES and LAS spiked extraction solvents prepared with wastewater treatment sample set. LCS recoveries were consistently good for LAS (~ 90%), but consistently low for the spiked AES compounds (~ 10% to 30%), similar to recoveries exhibited for the aqueous QC samples.

Because the sediment extracts are not processed through SPE columns and calibration standards are set at fixed concentrations by dilution only (no evaporation step), the cause of the low AES recoveries may be related to either the nitrogen evaporation step of the procedure or possibly due to degradation of the spiking standard itself. As part of the concentration step, 0.5 mL of isopropyl alcohol is added to the extract prior to evaporation using a nitrogen stream. This was effective for the LAS components, but may not have been as effective in retaining the AES compounds.

If the low recoveries were caused by degradation of the spiking solution, actual recoveries would be well within QC objectives of 50% to 130%, in line with previous experiments, and sample results would be unaffected.

Because of the wide range of concentrations observed for both LAS and AES, and generally good precision between replicate samples, method performance appears to be reasonable. It is possible that the spiking solution may have degraded, resulting in low recoveries relative to theoretical concentrations. Degradation of the AS/AES spiking solution was not anticipated, but would explain consistently low recoveries for spiked QC samples in both aqueous and sediments sample sets.

Matrix-spiked sample results are presented in Table 14. Matrix-spiked samples were prepared by fortifying upstream sediment samples with either AES or LAS standard solutions and processing these QC samples for each associated sample set. Except for the Lowell sample set, only one matrix-spiked sample was prepared with each sample set due to sample availability. Results for the matrix-spiked samples were consistent with the LCS sample results in that lower recoveries (~ 3% to 44%) were observed for the AES compounds and higher recoveries (64% to 135%) were observed for the LAS compounds.

Results for the sediment samples varied in found concentrations and distribution with generally higher values observed for the sediment fines. The higher values for the sediment fines may, in part, be attributed to expected higher surface areas and smaller particle size. Results for LAS varied from ~ 60 ng/g to ~ 5,000 ng/g and AES concentrations varied from 0 (nondetect) to ~ 200 ng/g.

2.3 Boron Results

Selected surface waters, influents and effluent samples from the three test sites were analyzed for boron. The samples were prepared for analysis using EPA Method 3010 (acid digestion) and analyzed by EPA Method 6010 (ICP-AES).

Quality control samples included a method blank that was found to be less than the reporting limit of 100 µg/L (less than the calibrated analytical range) and a laboratory control sample spiked at 1,000 µg/L that produced 97% recovery. The effluent sample from the Lowell site was also spiked, in duplicate, at 1,000 µg/L with similar (97%) recoveries.

Boron concentrations ranged from ~ 600 µg/L for several of the raw influent samples to less than 100 µg/L for upstream samples. The Lowell downstream samples were generally higher than comparable samples obtained from the Wilmington and Bryan sites.

Complete results of the boron analyses are presented in Table 15.

Section 3. Quality Control/Quality Assurance (QA/QC)

The following quality assurance (QA) measures were incorporated into this study:

- Wherever possible, reagents and laboratory supplies were purchased as the same lot; mixed reagents were prepared in bulk for use throughout the study.
- Project records are archived at MRI; LC/MS data are archived both in electronic format and as a hardcopy of printed chromatograms.
- Sample traceability was established by use of unique sample codes.
- All samples were extracted within 14 days of collection.
- Calibration data were validated by analysis of an independent standard prepared from separate weighing of the neat chemical or formulation.
- Decontamination of all glassware per AE validation report, with the addition of an acid rinse for LAS and AS/AES glassware.
- Method performance was evaluated based on spiked reagent samples and spiked field samples.
- Precision was demonstrated by duplicate analysis of field samples, as appropriate.

Quality control (QC) measurements, objectives, and results are summarized in Table 16. The objectives are based on previous method applications and are intended for use in assessing the final results. Actual method performance is dependent on the variability of a specific environmental matrix and the significant concentration ranges exhibited of individual chemicals in the formulated reference standards.

Section 4.

Discussion

This study provides comprehensive results for surfactants and elemental boron in representative samples collected from three different wastewater treatment facilities. It presents characterization of process samples collected within the facility and environmental samples from both upstream and downstream sources.

The data were examined using a multistep evaluation process that included qualitative analysis of retention times and relative retention times (vs. internal standards), pattern recognition, and background contribution of the matrix.

The data reflect good results for calibrations, precision of replicate determinations, and demonstrate minimal background contribution from the laboratory operations.

The use of relative response factors and internal standards allowed the calculation of sample concentrations below reporting limits, down to ~ 3:1 signal-to-noise response, providing additional (semiquantitative) data for evaluation of distribution patterns and surfactant profiles.

The analytical methods used for this study were based on previously validated procedures and literature sources. These specific methods have previously been applied to a limited number of test samples under a reduced scope of work. Spiked recoveries of AE in sediment and possibly AES in sediment and water samples were low, indicating that reported concentrations may be higher than reported for these specific chemicals and associated matrices. However, analysis of duplicate samples indicates good precision for all classes of chemicals over a wide range of concentrations.

Preliminary assessment of the overall test results suggests potential correlations between relative concentrations of the different types of surfactants for samples taken from the same location. Further evaluation of these correlations may be more easily accomplished through a different format, such as a database program. There also appear to be consistent measurable differences between associated surface and pore waters and between centrifuged sediments and sediment fines, with generally higher surfactant concentrations observed for the pore waters and sediment fines.

Although this study was limited to reporting representative chemicals from AE and AES-type homologue classes, chromatographic profiles of each AE series with 0 to 15 degrees of ethoxylation were generated for pattern recognition purposes. These additional (non-reported) data points may be processed to further characterize AE profiles and oligomer distributions if needed. Similar processing of the non-reported AES chemicals may also be performed from archived electronic data files.

Table 1. Sample Receipt and Label Information

SAMPLE RECEIPT LIST – LOWELL, INDIANA

SAMPLES RECEIVED 9/26/03, PACKED IN ICE (FROZEN) AND REFRIGERANT PACKS

MATRIX	SITE	SAMPLE CODE	DATE COLLECTED	TIME COLLECTED	SPECIFIED ANALYSIS	SAMPLER'S INITIALS	INDICATED PRESERVATION	BOTTLE TYPE	EST. VOLUME (OTHER LABEL INFORMATION)
WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	SURF. WATER - D	9/18/2003	9:25	AE	BP/MC	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	SURF. WATER - D	9/18/2003	9:25	AS/AES/LAS	BP/MC	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	WHOLE SEDIMENT - D	9/18/2003	10:00	AE/AES/LAS	BP	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1 PINT
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D	9/18/2003	10:00	AE/AES/LAS	BP	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1 PINT
WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AE	BP/MC	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AS/AES/LAS	BP/MC	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AE/AES/LAS	BP/MC	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1-PINT
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AE/AES/LAS	BP/MC	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1-PINT
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	SURF. WATER - M	9/18/2003	14:25	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP	SURF. WATER - M - DUP	9/18/2003	14:20	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	SURF. WATER - M	9/18/2003	14:25	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP	SURF. WATER - M - DUP	9/18/2003	14:20	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	WHOLE SEDIMENT - M	9/18/2003	17:00	AE/AES/LAS	BP	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1-PINT
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M	9/18/2003	17:00	AE/AES/LAS	BP	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1-PINT
WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	9/18/2003	11:00	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	9/18/2003	11:00	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - U	9/18/2003	12:30	AE/AES/LAS	BP	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1 PINT
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U	9/18/2003	12:30	AE/AES/LAS	BP	40 ML FORMALIN	PLASTIC JAR	1 PINT
WATER	LOWELL, IN - INFILTRANT	STP INFILTRANT - R	9/19/2003	11:00	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	9/19/2003	11:00	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	9/19/2003	11:00	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - HOTEL WATER	FIELD BLANK - B	9/18/2003	19:30	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - HOTEL WATER	FIELD BLANK - B	9/18/2003	19:30	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SAMPLES FOR BORON ANALYSES									
WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	SURF. WATER - D	9/18/2003	9:25	BORON	BP/MC	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - HOTEL WATER	FIELD BLANK - B	9/18/2003	19:30	BORON	BP	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	9/18/2003	11:00	BORON	BP	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	9/18/2003	9:40	BORON	BP/MC	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	SURF. WATER - M	9/18/2003	14:25	BORON	BP	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP	SURF. WATER - M - DUP	9/18/2003	14:25	BORON	BP	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - RAW INFILTRANT	STP INFILTRANT - R	9/19/2003	11:00	BORON	BP	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	9/18/2003	11:00	BORON	BP	0.5 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	250 ML
ADDITIONAL SAMPLES RECEIVED ON 9/30/03 (INTERSTITIAL WATER)									
WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	PORE WATER - D	9/19/2003	10:30	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	PORE WATER - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AE	BP/MC	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	PORE WATER - M	9/18/2003	14:25	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	PORE WATER - U	9/18/2003	12:30	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	PORE WATER - D	9/19/2003	10:30	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	PORE WATER - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AS/AES/LAS	BP/MC	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	PORE WATER - M	9/18/2003	14:25	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	PORE WATER - U	9/18/2003	12:30	AS/AES/LAS	BP	320 ML FORMALIN *	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
* AS INDICATED ON LABEL.									
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - D	9/18/2003	10:30	AE/AES/LAS	BP	"AS SEPARATED 9/28/03"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (35 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F	9/18/2003	9:40	AE/AES/LAS	BP/MC	"AS SEPARATED 9/28/03"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (87 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M	9/18/2003	14:25	AE/AES/LAS	BP	"AS SEPARATED 9/28/03"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (119 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U	9/19/2003	12:30	AE/AES/LAS	BP	(nothing listed)	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (93 g)

Table 1. Sample Receipt and Label Information (Continued)

SAMPLE RECEIPT LIST -- WILMINGTON, OHIO

SAMPLES RECEIVED 10/6/03, PACKED IN ICE (FROZEN) AND REFRIGERANT PACKS

MATRIX	SITE	SAMPLE CODE	DATE COLLECTED	TIME COLLECTED	SPECIFIED ANALYSIS	SAMPLER'S INITIALS	INDICATED PRESERVATION	BOTTLE TYPE	EST. VOLUME (OTHER LABEL INFORMATION)
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - D	9/30/2003	11:55	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - D	9/30/2003	11:55	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - D	9/30/2003	11:55	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 - ML
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D	9/30/2003	11:55	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100- ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	9/30/2003	9:00	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	9/30/2003	9:00	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - F	9/30/2003	10:00	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F	9/30/2003	10:00	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF. WATER - M	Not listed.	Not listed.	AE	Not listed.	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF. WATER - M	9/30/2003	13:30	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	WHOLE SEDIMENT - M	9/30/2003	13:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M	9/30/2003	13:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	10/1/2003	9:10	AE	BP	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	10/1/2003	9:10	AS/AES/LAS	BP	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - U	10/1/2003	9:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U	10/1/2003	9:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - RAW INFLUENT	STP INFLUENT - R	Not listed.	Not listed.	AE	NA	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	10/1/2003	12:00	AE	BE	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	10/1/2003	12:00	AS/AES/LAS	BE	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SAMPLES FOR BORON ANALYSES									
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - D	9/30/2003	11:55	BORON	BP	0.25 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	10/1/2003	12:00	BORON	BE	0.25 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	9/30/2003	9:00	BORON	BP	0.25 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF. WATER - M	9/30/2003	13:30	BORON	BP	0.25 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	10/1/2003	NA	BORON	BP	0.25 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM	SURF. WATER - U	10/3/2003	9:30	BORON	LD	"1/4 lb"	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
(Note: Corresponding Wilmington sample received with Bryan sample set.)									
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - D	9/30/2003	12:15	AE	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - F	9/30/2003	9:40	AE	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	FINAL PORE WATER - M	9/30/2003	13:50	AE	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - U	10/1/2003	9:45	AE	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - D	9/30/2003	12:15	AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - F	9/30/2003	9:40	AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	FINAL PORE WATER - M	9/30/2003	13:50	AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - U	10/1/2003	9:45	AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - D	9/30/2003	12:15	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (17 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F	9/30/2003	9:40	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (19 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M	9/30/2003	13:50	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (26 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U	10/1/2003	9:45	AE/AS/AES/LAS	BP	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (49 g / 5 L)

Table 1. Sample Receipt and Label Information (Continued)

SAMPLE RECEIPT LIST – BRYAN OHIO

SAMPLES RECEIVED 10/9/03, PACKED IN ICE (FROZEN) AND REFRIGERANT PACKS

MATRIX	SITE	SAMPLE CODE	DATE COLLECTED	TIME COLLECTED	SPECIFIED ANALYSIS	SAMPLER'S INITIALS	INDICATED PRESERVATION	BOTTLE TYPE	EST. VOLUME (OTHER LABEL INFORMATION)
WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AE	LD	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AS/AES/LAS	LD	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	10/2/2003	9:30	AE	LD	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF. WATER - F	10/2/2003	9:30	AS/AES/LAS	LD	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	WHOLE SEDIMENT - F	10/2/2003	9:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F	10/2/2003	9:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF WATER - M	10/2/2003	15:15	AE	LD	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF WATER - M	10/2/2003	15:15	AS/AES/LAS	LD	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	WHOLE SEDIMENT - M	10/2/2003	15:15	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M	10/2/2003	15:15	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)	SURF WATER - U	10/3/2003	9:30	AE	LD	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)	SURF WATER - U	10/3/2003	9:30	AS/AES/LAS	LD	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)	WHOLE SEDIMENT - U	10/3/2003	10:50	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)	CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U	10/3/2003	10:50	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	8 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - RAW INFLUENT	STP INFLUENT - R	10/3/2003	12:30	AE	LD	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	10/3/2003	12:30	AE	LD	320 ML FORMALIN	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	10/3/2003	12:30	AS/AES/LAS	LD	80 ML FORMALIN	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SAMPLES FOR BORON ANALYSES									
WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - D	10/2/2003	12:30	BORON	LD	"in lab"	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	10/3/2003	12:30	BORON	LD	"in lab"	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - F	10/2/2003	9:30	BORON	LD	"in lab"	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF WATER - M	10/2/2003	15:15	BORON	LD	"in lab"	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - RAW INFLUENT	STP INFLUENT - R	10/3/2003	12:30	BORON	LD	"in lab"	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - RAW INFLUENT (NO UPSTREAM BRYAN - BORON SAMPLE RECEIVED THIS DATE.)	STP INFLUENT - R	not listed	not listed	BORON	not listed	0.25 ML HNO3	PLASTIC BOTTLE	100 ML
WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AE	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - F	10/2/2003	10:00	AE	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	FINAL PORE WATER - M	10/2/2003	15:45	AE	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - U	10/3/2003	11:15	AE	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	AMBER GLASS	4-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - F	10/2/2003	10:00	AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	FINAL PORE WATER - M	10/2/2003	15:45	AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
WATER	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - U	10/3/2003	11:15	AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	1-L
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SEDIMENT FINES - D	10/2/2003	12:30	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (35 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SEDIMENT FINES - F	10/2/2003	10:00	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (170 G / 2.5 L)
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	SEDIMENT FINES - M	10/2/2003	15:45	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (100 g / 5 L)
SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM	SEDIMENT FINES - U	10/3/2003	11:15	AE/AS/AES/LAS	LD	"AS COLLECTED"	CLEAR GLASS	100-ML (180 g / 5 L)

Table 2. AE Calibration Data and Reporting Limits

CHEMICAL ID	STD ID:	CONC'N (ug/mL)						CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	CALIBRATION REL. RESPONSE FACTOR	CALIBRATION PRECISION (RSD)	% ACCURACY VS. CHECK STD. (File: 3K19QQ009)	REPORTING LIMIT WATER (ng/L)	REPORTING LIMIT SEDIMENTS (ng/g)
		AE-10	AE-2	AE-0.5	AE-0.2	AE-0.1	AE-0						
C12 EO = 0		5.181	1.036	0.259	0.104	0.052	0.000	1.000	0.241	27	65	26	5.2
C12 EO = 1		0.495	0.099	0.025	0.010	0.005	0.000	1.000	0.182	29	85	2	0.5
C12 EO = 2		0.770	0.154	0.039	0.015	0.008	0.000	1.000	0.298	28	71	4	0.8
C12 EO = 3		1.125	0.225	0.056	0.022	0.011	0.000	0.999	0.391	22	68	6	1.1
C12 EO = 6		2.358	0.471	0.118	0.047	0.024	0.000	0.997	0.649	31	87	12	2.4
C12 EO = 9		3.349	0.670	0.167	0.067	0.033	0.000	1.000	0.929	34	96	17	3.3
C12 EO = 12		3.302	0.660	0.165	0.068	0.033	0.000	1.000	0.911	41	85	17	3.3
C12 EO = 15		2.161	0.432	0.108	0.043	0.022	0.000	1.000	0.899	35	90	11	2.2
C13 EO = 0		5.786	1.157	0.289	0.116	0.058	0.000	1.000	0.426	30	105	29	5.8
C13 EO = 1		0.512	0.102	0.026	0.010	0.005	0.000	0.995	0.188	29	164 (partial inter.)		0.5
C13 EO = 2		0.797	0.159	0.040	0.018	0.008	0.000	0.997	0.180	46	303 (Interference)		0.8
C13 EO = 8		2.439	0.488	0.122	0.049	0.024	0.000	0.995	0.584	38	86	12	2.4
C13 EO = 9		3.468	0.694	0.173	0.069	0.035	0.000	0.998	1.336	51	133	17	3.5
C13 EO = 15		2.238	0.448	0.112	0.045	0.022	0.000	1.000	0.687	42	85	11	2.2
C14 EO = 0		4.977	0.995	0.249	0.100	0.050	0.000	1.000	0.232	28	71	25	5.0
C14 EO = 1		0.424	0.085	0.021	0.008	0.004	0.000	1.000	0.207	7	106	2	0.4
C14 EO = 2		0.860	0.132	0.033	0.013	0.007	0.000	1.000	0.250	29	95	3	0.7
C14 EO = 6		2.019	0.404	0.101	0.040	0.020	0.000	0.998	0.515	44	84	10	2.0
C14 EO = 9		2.871	0.574	0.144	0.057	0.028	0.000	1.000	0.837	41	86	14	2.9
C14 EO = 15		1.851	0.370	0.093	0.037	0.019	0.000	1.000	0.657	40	88	9	1.9
C15 EO = 0		4.917	0.983	0.246	0.098	0.049	0.000	1.000	0.354	23	108	25	4.9
C15 EO = 1		0.353	0.071	0.018	0.007	0.004	0.000	1.000	0.145	27	93	2	0.4
C15 EO = 2		0.550	0.110	0.027	0.011	0.005	0.000	0.998	0.204	22	65	3	0.5
C15 EO = 6		1.882	0.336	0.084	0.034	0.017	0.000	0.997	0.473	33	92	8	1.7
C15 EO = 9		2.382	0.478	0.120	0.048	0.024	0.000	1.000	0.748	44	92	12	2.4
C15 EO = 15		1.543	0.309	0.077	0.031	0.015	0.000	0.998	0.605	36	99	8	1.5
C16 EO = 0		5.329	1.066	0.286	0.107	0.053	0.000	0.999	0.196	26	76	27	5.3
C16 EO = 1		0.053	0.011	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000	1.000	0.028	23	81	0.3	0.1
C16 EO = 2		0.149	0.030	0.007	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.999	0.077	45	78	1	0.1
C16 EO = 6		0.858	0.172	0.043	0.017	0.009	0.000	0.994	0.340	50	85	4	0.9
C16 EO = 9		1.788	0.357	0.089	0.036	0.018	0.000	0.999	0.851	48	75	9	1.8
C16 EO = 15		2.067	0.413	0.103	0.041	0.021	0.000	0.997	0.476	52	86	10	2.1
C18 EO = 0		8.088	1.220	0.305	0.122	0.061	0.000	1.000	0.203	23	90	30	6.1
C18 EO = 1		0.123	0.025	0.008	0.002	0.001	0.000	1.000	0.034	31	98	1	0.1
C18 EO = 2		0.359	0.072	0.018	0.007	0.004	0.000	0.999	0.084	43	83	2	0.4
C18 EO = 6		2.014	0.403	0.101	0.040	0.020	0.000	0.997	0.415	48	80	10	2.0
C18 EO = 9		4.203	0.841	0.210	0.084	0.042	0.000	1.000	0.823	45	84	21	4.2
C18 EO = 15		4.851	0.970	0.243	0.097	0.049	0.000	0.998	0.584	55	110	24	4.9

Note: Reporting limits are based on the lowest standard. AE results are reported down to 3:1 signal-to-noise as estimated values in the following tables using the average relative response factor.

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	REPORTING LIMIT WATER	LOWELL METHOD BLANK WATER 6	WILMINGTON METHOD BLANK WATER 5	BRYAN METHOD BLANK WATER 7	AVERAGE BLANK (ng/L)	PRECISION (+/- 1 Std. Dev.) (ng/L)
ANALYSIS SEQUENCE FILE NAME LAB ID SAMPLE VOLUME (L)		3K13Q006 AE-4-W Lowell QC-MB 2A 4.0	3K13Q005 AE-3-W Wilmington QC-MB 2A 4.0	3K13Q007 AE-5-W Bryan QC-MB 2A 4.0		
Sample concentration (ng/L)						
C12 EO = 0	25.9	10.4	12.2	7.7	10.1	2.3
C12 EO = 1	2.5	2.6	4.3	1.2	2.7	1.5
C12 EO = 2	3.9	2.1	5.0	1.7	2.9	1.8
C12 EO = 3	5.6	1.0	2.6	1.2	1.6	0.8
C12 EO = 6	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 9	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 12	16.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 15	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 0	28.9	0.0	2.3	2.0	1.4	1.3
C13 EO = 1	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	4.0	76.2	70.4	66.9	71.9	3.8
C13 EO = 6	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	17.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 15	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	24.9	2.9	2.9	2.2	2.7	0.4
C14 EO = 1	2.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.4
C14 EO = 2	3.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.3
C14 EO = 6	10.1	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.6	1.0
C14 EO = 9	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 15	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	24.6	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
C15 EO = 1	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	26.6	6.4	5.3	3.3	5.0	1.5
C16 EO = 1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	4.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.4
C16 EO = 9	8.9	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.6	1.0
C16 EO = 15	10.3	0.0	5.5	0.0	1.8	3.2
C18 EO = 0	30.5	18.7	21.2	16.7	18.8	2.3
C18 EO = 1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	10.1	1.3	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.4
C18 EO = 9	21.0	4.3	3.6	2.1	3.3	1.2
C18 EO = 15	24.3	15.2	15.3	5.5	12.0	5.6
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	431	141	156	115	137	21

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

Table 3. AE Method Blank and Aqueous Sample Results (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX ANALYSIS SEQUENCE FILE NAME LAB ID SAMPLE VOLUME (L)	LOWELL, IN - HOTEL WATER FIELD BLANK - B WATER 8 3K13Q008	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER 11 3K13Q011	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM PORE WATER - U WATER 14 3K13Q014	LOWELL, IN - INFLUENT STP INFLUENT - R WATER 20 3K14Q020	LOWELL, IN - EFFLUENT STP EFFLUENT - E WATER 15 3K14Q015	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE SURF. WATER - D WATER 16 3K13Q018
Sample concentration (ng/L)						
C12 EO = 0	73.7	38.0	28.5	409565	160.0	39.6
C12 EO = 1	4.1	4.2	9.8	7570	71.3	5.4
C12 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	92.6	8241	77.0	4.8
C12 EO = 3	0.0	0.0	42.4	940	381.2	5.5
C12 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13487	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18378	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 12	0.0	0.0	0.0	14502	445.8	0.0
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	8883	1808.8	0.0
C13 EO = 0	0.0	0.0	24.9	268548	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3055	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	67.7	71.7	85.4	9207	76.8	89.2
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	5158	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9714	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	2507	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	0.0	0.0	18.0	277354	0.0	7.1
C14 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5288	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8683	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	11393	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	17295	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	7456	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	0.0	0.0	13.7	254289	385.5	0.0
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2853	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3709	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2591	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5375	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	2178	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	200.8	68.7	25.1	505280	35.5	121.9
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	417	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1146	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3059	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7221	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	1845	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	32.3	57.8	52.1	817496	352.2	58.7
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	120	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	571	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1078	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1831	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	711	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	379	240	391	2516783	3794	330

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE PORE WATER - D WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING SURF WATER - M WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP SURF WATER - M - DUP WATER	FIELD DUPLICATE			LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING PORE WATER - M WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - F WATER
	21 3K13Q021 AE-18-W Lowell Pore Water-D 2A 4.0	15 3K13Q015 AE-12-W Lowell Surf Water-M 2A 4.0	17 3K13Q017 AE-14-W Lowell Surf Water-M-Du 4.0	FIELD DUPLICATE AVERAGE (ng/L)	PRECISION (+/- Range) (ng/L)	22 3K13Q022 AE-19-W Lowell Pore Water-M 2A 4.0	18 3K13Q018 AE-15-W Lowell Surf Water-F 2A 4.0	
Sample concentration (ng/L)								
C12 EO = 0	66.9	33.2	40.3	36.8	3.5	30.2	37.4	
C12 EO = 1	18.5	6.9	5.3	6.1	0.8	8.6	5.2	
C12 EO = 2	36.4	17.9	7.5	12.7	5.2	69.9	0.0	
C12 EO = 3	29.5	14.0	4.8	9.4	4.6	13.1	0.0	
C12 EO = 6	27.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	
C12 EO = 8	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	
C12 EO = 12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 0	15.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	78.9	92.5	75.3	83.9	8.6	64.8	74.3	
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 0	19.1	0.0	8.4	3.2	3.2	14.0	11.7	
C14 EO = 1	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 2	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 9	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	0.0	
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	43.1	21.5	21.5	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 0	48.0	70.2	80.3	75.3	5.0	12.5	107.6	
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	416	264	329	296	33	288	306	

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

Table 3. AE Method Blank and Aqueous Sample Results (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM PORE WATER - F WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - U WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - RAW INFLUENT STP INFLUENT - R WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - EFFLUENT STP EFFLUENT - E WATER
ANALYSIS SEQUENCE FILE NAME	19 3K13Q019	10 3K14Q010	12 3K14Q012	9 3K13Q009	8 3K14Q008
LAB ID	AE-16-W Lowell Pore Water-F 2A	AE-28-W Wilmington Surf Water-U	AE-30-W Wilmington Pore Water-U	AE-7-W Wilmington Surf Water-I	AE-26-W Wilmington Surf Water-EFF
SAMPLE VOLUME (L)	4.0	4.3	4.1	1	4.3
Sample concentration (ng/L)					
C12 EO = 0	585.0	57.4	60.1	30040	97.2
C12 EO = 1	0.0	5.7	29.3	5434	44.1
C12 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	64.0	4872	85.2
C12 EO = 3	0.0	0.0	43.6	8210	53.3
C12 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	6.6	3735	26.4
C12 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	2.6	14292	11.6
C12 EO = 12	0.0	0.0	4.2	13344	6.5
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	3.1	8909	0.0
C13 EO = 0	1264.5	0.0	18.6	19527	24.7
C13 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3032	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	243.4	88.0	75.3	1297	61.3
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4058	0.0
C13 EO = 9	0.0	332.5	0.0	9913	0.0
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	3672	0.0
C14 EO = 0	1388.4	9.0	18.0	21067	56.0
C14 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	2.6	4199	24.3
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	10.7	2272	34.0
C14 EO = 6	0.0	88.1	1.3	8759	17.7
C14 EO = 9	0.0	406.3	2.9	14364	11.0
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	7489	0.0
C15 EO = 0	1218.8	0.0	9.7	20808	88.0
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1784	1.7
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	9.9	923	41.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2324	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2817	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	1395	0.0
C16 EO = 0	1856.8	48.0	33.0	44976	116.0
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	189	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	330	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1687	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3088	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	1150	0.0
C18 EO = 0	381.7	77.0	45.6	43701	400.1
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	115	0.6
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	207	2.3
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.9	755	0.0
C18 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	2.6	1120	0.0
C18 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	13.7	900	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	6897	1112	456	316364	1181

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

Table 3. AE Method Blank and Aqueous Sample Results (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING SURF WATER - M WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING FINAL PORE WATER - M WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - D WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - D WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - F WATER
ANALYSIS SEQUENCE	21 3K14Q021	19 3K14Q019	3 3K14Q003	6 3K14Q006	7 3K14Q007
FILE NAME	AE-38-W Wilmington Surf Water-M	AE-38-W Wilmington Pore Water-M	AE-21-W Wilmington Surf Water-D	AE-24-W Wilmington Pore Water-D	AE-25-W Wilmington Surf-F
LAB ID	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8
SAMPLE VOLUME (L)					
Sample concentration (ng/L)					
C12 EO = 0	30.5	80.7	57.5	248.3	43.9
C12 EO = 1	27.1	38.7	48.5	61.4	54.6
C12 EO = 2	58.2	85.8	78.7	92.2	82.4
C12 EO = 3	67.2	63.8	48.9	83.4	70.6
C12 EO = 6	28.6	26.8	25.2	33.4	19.2
C12 EO = 9	13.2	4.5	9.7	5.5	8.5
C12 EO = 12	0.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	4.0
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 0	23.1	32.6	28.8	40.1	13.3
C13 EO = 1	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	64.3	68.1	87.7	77.1	74.6
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	24.0	21.0	38.0	61.0	21.0
C14 EO = 1	15.3	3.9	19.9	7.6	21.9
C14 EO = 2	19.2	8.3	38.8	11.1	31.1
C14 EO = 6	14.4	4.2	21.8	1.8	14.1
C14 EO = 9	7.4	5.0	11.2	0.0	7.9
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	38.2	9.9	34.7	16.4	21.6
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	27.0	36.7	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	57.9	57.2	85.7	245.9	40.7
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	2.6
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	2.9
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	243.4	68.3	254.6	209.9	141.0
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.5
C18 EO = 2	0.0	3.7	4.0	5.7	8.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.7	1.8	0.0	1.2
C18 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	6.7
C18 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	43.2	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	732	570	988	1237	706

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

Table 3. AE Method Blank and Aqueous Sample Results (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX ANALYSIS SEQUENCE FILE NAME LAB ID SAMPLE VOLUME (L)	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - F WATER 10 3K13Q010 AE-8-W Wilmington Pore Water-F 4.1	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE) SURF WATER - U WATER 5 3K14Q005 AE-23-W Bryan Surf Water-U 4.2	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - U WATER 4 3K14Q004 AE-22-W Bryan Pore Water-U 3.8	BRYAN, OH - RAW INFLUENT STP INFLUENT - R WATER 23 3K13Q023 AE-20-W Bryan Surf Water-INF 2 1	BRYAN, OH - EFFLUENT STP EFFLUENT - E WATER 14 3K14Q014 AE-31-W Bryan Surf Water-EFF 4.3
Sample concentration (ng/L)					
C12 EO = 0	125.2		33.6	184.5	213118
C12 EO = 1	22.5		34.4	25.8	4371
C12 EO = 2	48.8		110.3	44.1	6115
C12 EO = 3	63.8		90.3	61.1	5603
C12 EO = 6	31.4		15.2	18.9	8869
C12 EO = 9	11.2		88.2	5.4	11363
C12 EO = 12	5.7		21.8	0.0	10211
C12 EO = 15	0.0		72.8	0.0	5791
C13 EO = 0	38.5		8.9	33.1	154198
C13 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	2862
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	63.9		75.0	89.7	3881
C13 EO = 6	0.0		0.0	0.0	3300
C13 EO = 9	0.0		0.0	0.0	7487
C13 EO = 15	0.0		0.0	0.0	3071
C14 EO = 0	35.8		14.0	21.0	143429
C14 EO = 1	4.1		10.5	9.7	3873
C14 EO = 2	10.6		20.9	4.0	3512
C14 EO = 6	10.5		17.2	2.2	7194
C14 EO = 9	8.6		88.2	1.9	9045
C14 EO = 15	0.0		60.8	4.4	4390
C15 EO = 0	12.8		10.3	13.7	119890
C15 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	1234
C15 EO = 2	4.1		0.0	0.0	1771
C15 EO = 6	8.0		0.0	0.0	1603
C15 EO = 9	0.0		0.0	0.0	1451
C15 EO = 15	0.0		0.0	0.0	989
C16 EO = 0	195.7		49.4	89.5	322811
C16 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	142
C16 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	395
C16 EO = 6	4.0		0.0	0.0	1291
C16 EO = 9	6.0		0.0	1.6	1702
C16 EO = 15	9.0		0.0	7.7	1183
C18 EO = 0	187.1		128.1	138.9	361683
C18 EO = 1	0.0		0.6	0.0	98
C18 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	276
C18 EO = 6	6.1		0.0	0.0	822
C18 EO = 9	18.7		0.0	2.5	1047
C18 EO = 15	28.0		0.0	9.2	1045
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	958		948	747	1430724

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

Table 3. AE Method Blank and Aqueous Sample Results (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX ANALYSIS SEQUENCE FILE NAME LAB ID SAMPLE VOLUME (L)	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING SURF WATER - M WATER 9 3K14Q009 AE-27-W Bryon Surf Water-M	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING FINAL PORE WATER - M WATER 12 3K13Q012 AE-10-W Bryon Pore Water-M	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - D WATER 20 3K13Q020 AE-17-W Bryon Surf Water-D	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - D WATER 11 3K14Q011 AE-29-W Bryon Pore Water-D	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - F WATER 17 3K14Q017 AE-34-W Bryon Surf Water-F	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - F WATER 18 3K14Q018 AE-35-W Bryon Pore Water-F
Sample concentration (ng/L)						
C12 EO = 0	33.4	1753.4	34.0	225.8	37.4	97.0
C12 EO = 1	39.0	22.5	37.4	34.2	34.2	15.7
C12 EO = 2	87.3	59.1	74.9	278.4	80.3	23.8
C12 EO = 3	90.6	52.5	73.6	91.5	72.4	16.2
C12 EO = 6	23.1	29.0	16.0	55.1	18.4	5.9
C12 EO = 9	111.5	4.8	91.2	7.5	29.6	0.0
C12 EO = 12	39.7	2.6	35.1	3.1	83.4	0.0
C12 EO = 15	95.5	4.5	86.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 0	6.0	22.1	5.2	23.5	8.5	14.1
C13 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	81.1	69.5	64.5	101.2	81.9	93.5
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	172.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 15	79.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	10.0	71.8	7.8	46.0	18.0	16.0
C14 EO = 1	9.6	0.0	10.1	0.0	7.3	3.8
C14 EO = 2	18.2	0.0	20.9	84.2	164.6	0.0
C14 EO = 6	33.2	0.0	19.5	0.0	5.7	1.7
C14 EO = 9	107.3	0.0	82.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 15	98.7	0.0	87.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	6.0	18.3	4.8	32.2	14.5	7.0
C15 EO = 1	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	27.5	577.7	25.6	42.6	15.7	25.2
C16 EO = 1	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	1.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	2.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	5.2	47.9	0.0	0.0	4.8
C18 EO = 0	108.3	342.1	102.9	79.2	70.1	57.1
C18 EO = 1	0.4	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	1.8	28.8	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	1.1	9.7	2.1	0.0	0.8
C18 EO = 9	0.0	3.8	19.8	0.0	0.0	3.6
C18 EO = 15	0.0	10.6	71.4	0.0	0.0	9.4
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	1286	3062	1055	1156	754	396

Note: "0.0" = non-detected.

Table 4. AE Spiked Control Water Results

Sequence number File name Sample description Sample volume (L)	WILMINGTON LAB CONTROL SPIKE			BRYAN LAB CONTROL SPIKE		
	3 3K13Q003 AE-1-W Wilmington QC-LCS 2A 4.0	THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY	4 3K13Q004 AE-2-W Bryan QC-LCS 2A 4.0	THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY
	Sample concentration (ng/L)			Sample concentration (ng/L)		
C12 EO = 0	56	130	44	69	130	53
C12 EO = 1	8	12	63	7	12	60
C12 EO = 2	16	19	84	11	19	59
C12 EO = 3	22	28	78	17	28	80
C12 EO = 6	56	59	95	52	59	88
C12 EO = 9	62	84	74	60	84	72
C12 EO = 12	64	83	78	55	83	67
C12 EO = 15	43	54	79	38	54	70
C13 EO = 0	36	145	25	56	145	39
C13 EO = 1	INT	13	NA	INT	13	NA
C13 EO = 2 (INT)	1	20	4	6	20	30
C13 EO = 6	37	61	81	38	61	63
C13 EO = 9	76	87	88	74	87	88
C13 EO = 15	35	56	62	32	56	57
C14 EO = 0	14	124	11	29	124	23
C14 EO = 1	3	11	27	3	11	32
C14 EO = 2	4	16	27	6	16	36
C14 EO = 6	21	50	42	21	50	41
C14 EO = 9	33	72	46	30	72	42
C14 EO = 15	29	46	63	20	46	43
C15 EO = 0	8	123	7	19	123	16
C15 EO = 1	2	9	21	3	9	33
C15 EO = 2	2	14	12	5	14	38
C15 EO = 6	11	42	27	14	42	34
C15 EO = 9	18	60	31	18	60	30
C15 EO = 15	15	39	38	12	39	32
C16 EO = 0	11	133	8	20	133	15
C16 EO = 1	0.3	1.3	23	0	1	29
C16 EO = 2	0.8	3.7	23	2	4	58
C16 EO = 6	5	21	26	8	21	38
C16 EO = 9	15	45	34	20	45	46
C16 EO = 15	19	52	37	22	52	42
C18 EO = 0	40	152	28	37	152	24
C18 EO = 1	0.7	3.1	22	0.7	3.1	21
C18 EO = 2	1.9	9.0	21	1.9	9.0	22
C18 EO = 6	13	50	28	15	50	30
C18 EO = 9	33	105	31	33	105	32
C18 EO = 15	51	121	42	61	121	50
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	864	2153	40	919	2153	43

Note: LCS Recoveries corrected for respective method blank of sample batch.

Table 4. AE Spiked Control Water Results (Continued)

Sequence number File name Sample description Sample volume (L)	LOWELL LAB CONTROL SPIKE		THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY	AVERAGE % RECOVERY (ALL LCS)	PRECISION (% RSD) (ALL LCS)
	16 3K14Q016 AE-33-W Lowell QC-LCS 4.0	Sample concentration (ng/L)				
C12 EO = 0	120	259	46	48	5	
C12 EO = 1	15	25	61	61	2	
C12 EO = 2	23	39	59	67	14	
C12 EO = 3	63	56	113	84	27	
C12 EO = 6	117	118	100	94	6	
C12 EO = 9	188	167	112	88	23	
C12 EO = 12	144	165	87	77	10	
C12 EO = 15	99	108	92	80	11	
C13 EO = 0	109	289	38	34	8	
C13 EO = 1	31	26	120	120	NA	
C13 EO = 2 (INT)	21	40	52	28	24	
C13 EO = 6	103	122	84	69	13	
C13 EO = 9	212	173	122	98	20	
C13 EO = 15	84	112	75	65	9	
C14 EO = 0	38	249	15	17	6	
C14 EO = 1	9	21	42	34	7	
C14 EO = 2	10	33	31	32	5	
C14 EO = 6	57	101	57	47	9	
C14 EO = 9	84	144	59	49	9	
C14 EO = 15	68	93	73	60	16	
C15 EO = 0	28	246	11	11	5	
C15 EO = 1	4	18	20	25	7	
C15 EO = 2	8	27	28	26	13	
C15 EO = 6	33	84	40	34	6	
C15 EO = 9	53	120	45	35	8	
C15 EO = 15	43	77	58	42	13	
C16 EO = 0	22	266	8	11	4	
C16 EO = 1	1	3	27	27	3	
C16 EO = 2	1	7	18	33	22	
C16 EO = 6	11	43	26	30	8	
C16 EO = 9	36	89	40	40	5	
C16 EO = 15	40	103	39	40	3	
C18 EO = 0	42	305	14	22	7	
C18 EO = 1	1	6	23	22	1	
C18 EO = 2	4	18	20	21	1	
C18 EO = 6	17	101	17	24	6	
C18 EO = 9	42	210	20	28	7	
C18 EO = 15	73	243	30	41	10	
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	2053	4305	48	43	4	

Note: LCS Recoveries corrected for respective method blank of sample batch.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	REPORTING LIMIT SEDIMENTS	LOWELL LAB METHOD BLANK REAGENTS ONLY	WILMINGTON LAB METHOD BLANK REAGENTS ONLY	BRYAN LAB METHOD BLANK REAGENTS ONLY	Average Method blank (ng/g)	Precision (1 Std. Dev.) (ng/g)
Sequence number:		6.0	17.0	25.0		
File name:		3K19Q006	3K18Q017	3K18Q025		
Lab ID:		AE-S-43 Lowell QC-MB	AE-S-30 Wilmington QC-MB	AE-S-37 Bryan QC-MB		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)		20.0	20.0	20.0		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)						
C12 EO = 0	5.2	1.0	2.7	3.3	2.4	1.2
C12 EO = 1	0.5	0.1	1.6	3.0	1.5	1.4
C12 EO = 2	0.8	0.0	4.8	4.5	3.1	2.7
C12 EO = 3	1.1	0.0	3.1	5.5	2.8	2.8
C12 EO = 6	2.4	0.0	2.5	2.5	1.7	1.4
C12 EO = 9	3.3	0.0	2.7	2.8	1.9	1.8
C12 EO = 12	3.3	0.0	1.1	2.1	1.1	1.1
C12 EO = 15	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 0	5.8	1.1	2.0	3.8	2.3	1.4
C13 EO = 1	0.5	1.8	0.9	7.5	3.4	3.6
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.8	14.9	17.7	16.5	18.4	1.4
C13 EO = 6	2.4	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.4	0.7
C13 EO = 9	3.5	0.0	2.3	2.4	1.6	1.3
C13 EO = 15	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	5.0	0.5	3.2	4.2	2.6	1.9
C14 EO = 1	0.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.5	0.8
C14 EO = 2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 6	2.0	0.0	1.8	2.2	1.3	1.2
C14 EO = 9	2.9	0.0	3.1	3.4	2.2	1.9
C14 EO = 15	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	4.9	1.2	15.3	10.8	9.1	7.2
C15 EO = 1	0.4	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.3	0.5
C15 EO = 2	0.5	0.0	1.4	4.7	2.0	2.4
C15 EO = 6	1.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.4
C15 EO = 9	2.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.5
C15 EO = 15	1.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.2
C16 EO = 0	5.3	0.7	18.1	36.1	18.3	17.7
C16 EO = 1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	6.1	3.1	11.1	41.1	18.4	20.0
C18 EO = 1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 15	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	86	24	101	156	94	66
PRECISION (as percent) =						71%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	9	5		
File name:	3K17Q009	3K18Q005		
Lab ID:	AE-S-7 Lowell Cent Sed-U	AE-S-19 LOWELL CENT SED-U (DUP)		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	16.65	16.65		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)				
C12 EO = 0	3.2	3.1	3.1	0.0
C12 EO = 1	1.5	2.8	2.2	0.6
C12 EO = 2	2.9	7.1	5.0	2.1
C12 EO = 3	2.9	8.7	5.8	2.9
C12 EO = 6	3.1	2.7	2.9	0.2
C12 EO = 9	4.7	2.8	3.7	0.9
C12 EO = 12	2.4	1.5	2.0	0.5
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 0	3.9	3.9	3.9	0.0
C13 EO = 1	0.0	10.4	5.2	5.2
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	19.8	9.9	9.9
C13 EO = 6	2.8	2.4	2.6	0.2
C13 EO = 9	5.9	4.2	5.0	0.8
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	3.7	4.3	4.0	0.3
C14 EO = 1	2.0	4.0	3.0	1.0
C14 EO = 2	2.5	3.6	3.0	0.6
C14 EO = 6	4.6	9.0	6.8	2.2
C14 EO = 9	11.0	9.7	10.4	0.7
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	6.0	14.0	10.0	4.0
C15 EO = 1	1.9	1.9	1.9	0.0
C15 EO = 2	2.5	3.1	2.6	0.3
C15 EO = 6	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	7.1	9.8	8.5	1.4
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
C16 EO = 6	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.4
C16 EO = 9	1.3	0.0	0.8	0.6
C16 EO = 15	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
C18 EO = 0	5.6	10.1	7.9	2.2
C18 EO = 1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	1.1	0.0	0.8	0.8
C18 EO = 15	1.9	0.0	1.0	1.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	92	141	116	25
PRECISION (as percent) *				21%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE		
		LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	20	22		
File name:	3K18Q020	3K18Q022		
Lab ID:	AE-S-33 Lowell Sed Fine-U	AE-S-35 Lowell Sed Fine-U(Dup)		
Sample weight (g. dry weight)	9.01	8.62		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)				
C12 EO = 0	37	29	33.0	3.8
C12 EO = 1	8	7	6.8	0.1
C12 EO = 2	8	9	8.5	0.4
C12 EO = 3	12	10	11.4	1.0
C12 EO = 6	23	17	20.0	3.3
C12 EO = 9	48	19	33.9	14.5
C12 EO = 12	30	13	21.6	8.1
C12 EO = 15	21	2	11.2	9.4
C13 EO = 0	50	43	46.4	3.5
C13 EO = 1	9	20	14.6	5.2
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	37	45	40.7	3.9
C13 EO = 6	24	17	20.6	3.8
C13 EO = 9	50	35	42.8	7.5
C13 EO = 15	20	3	11.7	8.7
C14 EO = 0	40	36	37.8	2.1
C14 EO = 1	5	8	6.5	1.8
C14 EO = 2	6	10	7.6	2.0
C14 EO = 6	19	29	24.1	5.1
C14 EO = 9	29	36	32.3	3.3
C14 EO = 15	20	4	11.7	8.1
C15 EO = 0	39	46	42.8	3.5
C15 EO = 1	4	4	3.6	0.1
C15 EO = 2	7	7	7.2	0.3
C15 EO = 6	18	18	17.8	0.1
C15 EO = 9	24	24	23.7	0.2
C15 EO = 15	20	0	10.2	10.2
C16 EO = 0	47	49	48.2	1.1
C16 EO = 1	1	1	0.8	0.2
C16 EO = 2	1	1	1.2	0.2
C16 EO = 6	10	0	4.8	4.8
C16 EO = 9	20	0	10.2	10.2
C16 EO = 15	22	2	12.0	9.7
C18 EO = 0	64	57	60.8	3.8
C18 EO = 1	2	1	1.3	0.4
C18 EO = 2	4	2	3.1	0.7
C18 EO = 6	20	10	15.0	5.3
C18 EO = 9	43	24	33.7	9.4
C18 EO = 15	61	15	37.8	22.8
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	902	652	777	125
PRECISION (as percent) =				16%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - D SEDIMENT
Sequence number:	17	7	8
File name:	3K17Q017	3K18Q007	3K19Q008
Lab ID:	AE-S-14 Lowell Cent Sed-D	AE-S-21 Lowell CENT SED-D(Dup)	AE-S-45 Lowell Sed Fine-D
Sample weight (g, dry weight):	17.14	16.89	5.64
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)		Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
C12 EO = 0	8.9	3.4	2.8
C12 EO = 1	0.6	0.6	0.0
C12 EO = 2	2.2	0.0	1.1
C12 EO = 3	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 9	0.5	0.3	0.1
C12 EO = 12	1.5	0.5	0.5
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 0	14.8	2.3	6.2
C13 EO = 1	0.0	2.0	1.0
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	13.5	6.8
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	5.3	2.3	3.8
C14 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 6	0.0	1.2	0.6
C14 EO = 9	0.0	3.0	1.5
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	9.0	6.5	7.8
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	3.1	6.0	4.8
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.7	0.3
C16 EO = 15	0.0	2.1	1.0
C18 EO = 0	5.3	8.1	8.7
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.3	0.2
C18 EO = 9	1.0	1.4	1.2
C18 EO = 15	4.0	7.8	5.9
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	56	62	58.2
PRECISION (as percent) =			2.9 5%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE			Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
		16 3K17Q016	12 3K17Q012	AE-S-9 Lowell Cent Sed-M(Dup)	
Sequence number:	16	12			
File name:	3K17Q016	3K17Q012			
Lab ID:	AE-S-13 Lowell Cent Sed-M				
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	13.99	13.31	Average (ng/g)		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)			Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)		
C12 EO = 0	7.9	13.6	10.7	2.8	
C12 EO = 1	2.8	4.6	3.7	0.9	
C12 EO = 2	0.0	1.8	0.9	0.9	
C12 EO = 3	0.0	4.2	2.1	2.1	
C12 EO = 6	2.1	6.0	4.1	2.0	
C12 EO = 9	1.1	1.0	1.1	0.1	
C12 EO = 12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 0	6.3	10.1	8.2	1.9	
C13 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 0	7.7	14.7	11.2	3.5	
C14 EO = 1	9.1	70.6	39.9	30.7	
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO = 9	1.5	0.0	0.8	0.8	
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 0	11.9	19.8	15.8	3.9	
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 0	25.2	38.3	31.7	6.6	
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C18 EO = 0	15.7	25.3	20.5	4.8	
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C18 EO = 9	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	
C18 EO = 15	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	96	211	153	57	
PRECISION (as percent) =				37%	

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	8	4		
File name:	3K17Q008	3K17Q004		
Lab ID:	AE-S-6 Lowell Sed Fine-M 8.32	AE-S-2 Lowell Sed Fine-M(Dup) 8.95		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)				
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)				
C12 EO = 0	54.8	42.6	48.6	6.0
C12 EO = 1	23.5	14.9	19.2	4.3
C12 EO = 2	7.5	9.4	8.4	0.9
C12 EO = 3	12.6	11.0	11.8	0.8
C12 EO = 6	7.7	9.1	8.4	0.7
C12 EO = 9	7.3	8.0	7.6	0.3
C12 EO = 12	2.7	3.1	2.9	0.2
C12 EO = 15	0.0	5.4	2.7	2.7
C13 EO = 0	28.4	27.4	27.9	0.5
C13 EO = 1	0.0	3.1	1.6	1.6
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	53.9	44.2	49.0	4.9
C14 EO = 1	15.0	17.3	16.1	1.2
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 5	9.0	4.9	7.0	2.0
C14 EO = 9	6.2	6.5	8.4	0.1
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 0	55.1	26.3	40.7	14.4
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	19.6	0.0	9.8	9.8
C15 EO = 5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	103.5	62.5	83.0	20.5
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	89.1	61.1	75.1	14.0
C18 EO = 1	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 15	12.3	0.0	6.2	6.2
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	500	367	433	76
PRECISION (as percent) =				18%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE			Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
		4 3K18Q004 AE-S-18 Lowell Cent Sed F 15.86	18 3K17Q018 AE-S-15 Lowell Cent Sed-F-(DUPLICATE) 15.82	Average (ng/g)	
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)					
C12 EO = 0		4.2		21.6	12.9
C12 EO = 1		0.9		6.5	3.7
C12 EO = 2		2.0		2.9	2.4
C12 EO = 3		3.2		6.2	4.7
C12 EO = 6		2.0		1.4	1.7
C12 EO = 9		2.2		2.8	2.5
C12 EO = 12		3.7		2.6	3.2
C12 EO = 15		0.7		0.0	0.4
C13 EO = 0		3.6		17.4	10.5
C13 EO = 1		0.7		0.0	0.4
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)		17.4		0.0	8.7
C13 EO = 6		1.5		0.0	0.7
C13 EO = 9		2.8		3.1	2.9
C13 EO = 15		0.0		0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0		5.2		50.0	27.6
C14 EO = 1		1.6		8.5	8.1
C14 EO = 2		0.0		4.2	2.1
C14 EO = 6		1.8		0.0	0.9
C14 EO = 9		4.9		6.0	4.9
C14 EO = 15		0.0		1.0	0.5
C15 EO = 0		17.8		126.9	72.4
C15 EO = 1		0.0		0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2		0.0		93.8	46.9
C15 EO = 6		0.0		0.8	0.4
C15 EO = 9		0.0		1.1	0.6
C15 EO = 15		0.0		0.7	0.3
C16 EO = 0		16.4		207.0	111.7
C16 EO = 1		0.0		0.1	0.1
C16 EO = 2		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9		1.4		0.0	0.7
C16 EO = 15		0.0		0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0		11.9		77.6	44.8
C18 EO = 1		0.0		0.2	0.1
C18 EO = 2		0.0		0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6		0.0		0.9	0.4
C18 EO = 9		1.0		0.4	0.7
C18 EO = 15		0.0		1.7	0.8
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)		107		644	376
PRECISION (as percent) =					72%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	28	19		
File name:	3K18Q028	3K17Q019		
Lab ID:	AE-S-40 Lowell Sed Fine-F	AE-S-16 Lowell Sed Fine-F(Dup)		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	7.50	7.78		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)				
C12 EO = 0	114	110	111.7	2.1
C12 EO = 1	30	25	27.5	2.6
C12 EO = 2	13	160	88.5	73.4
C12 EO = 3	18.0	23.0	18.5	3.5
C12 EO = 6	8.9	12.5	10.7	1.8
C12 EO = 9	11.0	14.2	12.6	1.6
C12 EO = 12	7.1	10.8	8.9	1.8
C12 EO = 15	4.3	6.2	5.2	0.9
C13 EO = 0	43	101	71.7	29.0
C13 EO = 1	7.9	0.0	4.0	4.0
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	35.4	0.0	17.7	17.7
C13 EO = 6	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
C13 EO = 9	6.8	0.0	3.4	3.4
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	234	223	228.8	5.4
C14 EO = 1	23.3	50.8	36.9	13.7
C14 EO = 2	10.5	277.3	143.0	133.4
C14 EO = 6	10.4	0.0	5.2	5.2
C14 EO = 9	14.8	0.0	7.4	7.4
C14 EO = 15	2.9	0.0	1.4	1.4
C15 EO = 0	115	454	284.8	169.6
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	2035	511	1273.1	762.1
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	1.8	0.0	0.9	0.9
C16 EO = 15	11.2	0.0	5.6	5.6
	3.5	0.0	1.8	1.8
C18 EO = 0	1709	204	956.6	752.6
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	4473	2182	3328	1145
PRECISION (as percent) =				34%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT		LAB DUPLICATE WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT		Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
	Sequence number: File name: Lab ID: Sample weight (g, dry weight)	1 3K19Q001 AE-S-38 Wilmington Cent Sed-U 17.80	Duplicate injection 26 3K18Q026 AE-S-38 Wilmington Cent Sed-U 17.80	Average (ng/g)	
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)					
C12 EO = 0		2.8		5.6	4.2
C12 EO = 1		0.9		2.0	1.4
C12 EO = 2		0.0		3.0	1.5
C12 EO = 3		0.0		7.6	3.8
C12 EO = 6		1.2		2.8	2.0
C12 EO = 9		2.2		4.2	3.2
C12 EO = 12		1.3		2.9	2.1
C12 EO = 15		0.3		1.2	0.8
C13 EO = 0		3.5		7.0	5.3
C13 EO = 1		0.7		5.1	2.9
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)		10.3		18.2	14.3
C13 EO = 6		0.9		1.1	1.0
C13 EO = 9		1.9		2.7	2.3
C13 EO = 15		0.0		0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0		1.8		6.7	4.2
C14 EO = 1		1.4		2.8	2.1
C14 EO = 2		0.0		1.8	0.9
C14 EO = 6		1.0		2.1	1.6
C14 EO = 9		2.7		4.0	3.4
C14 EO = 15		0.0		0.7	0.3
C15 EO = 0		5.8		16.1	11.0
C15 EO = 1		0.0		0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2		0.0		0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6		0.0		0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9		0.0		0.9	0.5
C15 EO = 15		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0		5.4		49.0	27.2
C16 EO = 1		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9		0.0		0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15		0.0		1.4	0.7
C18 EO = 0		5.7		54.0	29.9
C18 EO = 1		0.0		0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2		0.0		0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6		0.0		0.7	0.3
C18 EO = 9		0.0		1.8	0.9
C18 EO = 15		0.0		4.5	2.3
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)		50		210	80
PRECISION (as percent) =					62%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT		LAB DUPLICATE WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT		Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
	Sequence number: File name: Lab ID: Sample weight (g, dry weight)	29 3K18Q029 AE-S-41 Wwilmington Sed Fine-U 10.10	4 3K19Q004 AE-S-41 Wilmington Sed Fine-U 10.10	Average (ng/g)	
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)					
C12 EO = 0	29.0		35.3	32.1	3.1
C12 EO = 1	10.6		21.5	16.0	5.5
C12 EO = 2	5.2		13.1	9.2	3.9
C12 EO = 3	0.0		27.5	13.7	13.7
C12 EO = 6	3.5		6.8	5.1	1.6
C12 EO = 9	4.6		11.8	8.2	3.6
C12 EO = 12	2.8		3.9	3.3	0.5
C12 EO = 15	2.1		2.1	2.1	0.0
C13 EO = 0	34.2		24.5	28.3	4.8
C13 EO = 1	4.8		3.3	4.1	0.7
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	49.3		24.6	37.0	12.4
C13 EO = 6	3.6		3.0	3.3	0.3
C13 EO = 9	4.9		11.1	8.0	3.1
C13 EO = 15	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	64.9		61.7	63.3	1.6
C14 EO = 1	27.7		13.8	20.7	6.9
C14 EO = 2	0.0		14.6	7.3	7.3
C14 EO = 6	8.2		12.7	10.4	2.2
C14 EO = 9	15.9		25.8	20.8	4.9
C14 EO = 15	4.4		0.0	2.2	2.2
C15 EO = 0	180.5		105.5	143.0	37.5
C15 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	158.7		242.8	200.8	42.0
C16 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	1.4		0.0	0.7	0.7
C16 EO = 9	4.7		0.0	2.4	2.4
C16 EO = 15	1.8		0.0	0.9	0.9
C18 EO = 0	69.8		220.7	145.2	75.5
C18 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	6.4		0.0	3.2	3.2
C18 EO = 15	13.6		0.0	6.8	6.8
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	713		886	799	248
PRECISION (as percent) =					31%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT
Sequence number:	6	13	9
File name:	3K17Q006	3K17Q013	3K18Q009
Lab ID:	AE-S-4 Wilmington Cent Sed-M	AE-S-10 Wilmington Cent Sed-M(Dup)	AE-S-23 Wilmington Sed Fine-M
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	19.20	19.57	6.02
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)		Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
C12 EO = 0	3.9	2.6	0.6
C12 EO = 1	1.0	0.9	0.0
C12 EO = 2	0.0	2.2	1.1
C12 EO = 3	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 6	1.6	1.0	0.3
C12 EO = 9	3.2	2.2	0.5
C12 EO = 12	2.3	1.8	0.2
C12 EO = 15	0.7	1.1	0.2
C13 EO = 0	4.8	3.3	0.7
C13 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 6	1.3	1.7	0.2
C13 EO = 9	3.1	2.2	0.5
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	2.4	2.0	0.2
C14 EO = 1	0.9	0.6	0.2
C14 EO = 2	0.0	1.0	0.5
C14 EO = 6	1.5	0.8	0.3
C14 EO = 9	2.5	1.8	0.3
C14 EO = 15	0.0	1.9	0.9
C15 EO = 0	4.4	2.8	0.9
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	4.0	2.9	0.5
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	5.6	4.6	0.5
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	1.1	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 15	1.5	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	46	38	4
PRECISION (as percent) =			10%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE		
		WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision: (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	15	3		
File name:	3K17Q015	3K18Q003		
Lab ID:	AE-S-12 Wilmington Cent Sed-D	AE-S-17 Wilmington Cent Sed-D (DUP)		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	18.88	18.88		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)				
C12 EO = 0	2.7	3.3	3.0	0.3
C12 EO = 1	1.3	1.0	1.2	0.1
C12 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO = 6	5.8	1.8	3.8	2.0
C12 EO = 9	2.8	3.1	2.9	0.1
C12 EO = 12	4.5	3.2	3.9	0.7
C12 EO = 15	2.3	1.2	1.7	0.6
C13 EO = 0	3.2	2.6	2.9	0.3
C13 EO = 1	0.0	1.1	0.8	0.6
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	11.9	5.9	5.8
C13 EO = 6	2.9	1.8	2.4	0.5
C13 EO = 9	2.6	3.9	3.2	0.7
C13 EO = 15	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.4
C14 EO = 0	2.3	2.5	2.4	0.1
C14 EO = 1	1.3	1.5	1.4	0.1
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 6	0.9	1.6	1.2	0.4
C14 EO = 9	1.8	4.0	2.8	1.1
C14 EO = 15	0.0	2.3	1.2	1.2
C15 EO = 0	20.3	8.8	14.5	5.8
C15 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	10.7	6.8	8.7	1.8
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	6.1	4.7	5.4	0.7
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	72	67	70	3
PRECISION (as percent) =				4%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - D SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	21	23	5		
File name:	3K18Q021	3K18Q023	3K17Q005		
Lab ID:	AE-S-34 Wilmington Sed Fine-D	AE-S-36 Wilmington Cent Sed-F	AE-S-3 Wilmington Cent Sed-F(Dup)		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	2.96	18.23	20.08		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)					
C12 EO = 0	23.7	10.9	3.0	7.0	4.0
C12 EO = 1	12.0	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.1
C12 EO = 2	0.0	3.8	1.8	2.8	1.0
C12 EO = 3	11.4	0.0	1.7	0.9	0.9
C12 EO = 6	9.2	8.6	1.2	4.8	3.7
C12 EO = 9	7.5	10.9	1.5	6.2	4.7
C12 EO = 12	3.2	7.1	1.0	4.1	3.1
C12 EO = 15	30.7	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
C13 EO = 0	23.7	16.7	3.8	10.2	8.8
C13 EO = 1	7.2	3.3	0.0	1.6	1.8
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	113.0	13.2	0.0	6.6	6.6
C13 EO = 6	0.0	9.4	0.7	5.0	4.3
C13 EO = 9	6.9	18.6	0.0	9.3	9.3
C13 EO = 15	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.0	1.0
C14 EO = 0	21.9	12.6	2.3	7.4	5.2
C14 EO = 1	17.5	2.4	0.7	1.5	0.9
C14 EO = 2	0.0	5.8	0.0	2.9	2.9
C14 EO = 6	12.0	7.4	0.9	4.1	3.3
C14 EO = 9	20.9	12.7	1.4	7.0	5.7
C14 EO = 15	0.0	3.0	0.0	1.5	1.5
C15 EO = 0	81.2	18.0	3.8	10.8	7.2
C15 EO = 1	0.0	1.5	0.4	0.9	0.6
C15 EO = 2	0.0	3.3	1.7	2.5	0.8
C15 EO = 6	0.0	6.1	0.0	3.0	3.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	9.0	0.0	4.5	4.5
C15 EO = 15	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
C16 EO = 0	72.5	19.0	6.9	13.0	8.1
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	4.6	2.9	0.0	1.5	1.5
C18 EO = 0	56.7	25.1	7.4	18.2	8.8
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.3
C18 EO = 2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.8	0.6
C18 EO = 6	2.8	6.3	0.0	3.1	3.1
C18 EO = 9	6.5	14.8	0.3	7.8	7.2
C18 EO = 15	11.5	11.0	2.0	6.5	4.5
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	560	272	43	158	115
PRECISION (as percent) =					73%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE) CENTRIFUGE/SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT
Sequence number:	7	14	6
File name:	3K19Q007	3K18Q014	3K18Q006
Lab ID:	AE-S-44 WILMING SED FINE F	AE-S-27 Bryan Cent.Sed-U	AE-S-20 BRYAN SED FINE U
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	3.75	18.90	12.06
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)			
C12 EO = 0	390		2.5
C12 EO = 1	72		0.4
C12 EO = 2	50		0.0
C12 EO = 3	49		0.0
C12 EO = 6	41		0.0
C12 EO = 9	34		0.5
C12 EO = 12	15		0.7
C12 EO = 15	8		0.0
C13 EO = 0	122		1.9
C13 EO = 1	10		1.2
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	132		13.6
C13 EO = 6	0		0.0
C13 EO = 9	0		0.0
C13 EO = 15	0		0.0
C14 EO = 0	649		2.0
C14 EO = 1	61		0.0
C14 EO = 2	41		0.0
C14 EO = 6	35		1.0
C14 EO = 9	34		2.5
C14 EO = 15	8		0.8
C15 EO = 0	282		4.5
C15 EO = 1	0		0.0
C15 EO = 2	0		0.0
C15 EO = 6	0		0.0
C15 EO = 9	0		0.0
C15 EO = 15	0		0.0
C16 EO = 0	5836		4.3
C16 EO = 1	0		0.0
C16 EO = 2	0		0.0
C16 EO = 6	0		0.0
C16 EO = 9	0		0.0
C16 EO = 15	0		1.9
C18 EO = 0	5045		5.9
C18 EO = 1	0		0.0
C18 EO = 2	0		0.0
C18 EO = 6	0		0.3
C18 EO = 9	0		1.2
C18 EO = 15	0		5.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	12814		50
PRECISION (as percent) =			1037

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	19	18		
File name:	3K18Q019	3K18Q018		
Lab ID:	AE-S-32 Bryan Cent Sed-M	AE-S-31 Bryan Cent Sed-M(Dup)		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	19.80	19.30		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)				
C12 EO = 0	25.8	47	38.3	10.4
C12 EO = 1	7.5	10	8.7	1.3
C12 EO = 2	5.8	9	7.3	1.6
C12 EO = 3	24.4	14	19.1	5.3
C12 EO = 6	13.5	14	13.8	0.3
C12 EO = 9	18.2	20	18.3	2.1
C12 EO = 12	6.6	11	8.9	2.3
C12 EO = 15	1.0	3	1.8	0.8
C13 EO = 0	8.8	13	11.1	2.4
C13 EO = 1	0.9	2	1.6	0.7
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	14.9	16	15.2	0.4
C13 EO = 6	2.0	2	2.0	0.0
C13 EO = 9	8.6	5	7.0	1.5
C13 EO = 15	0.0	2	0.9	0.9
C14 EO = 0	137.3	61	99.4	37.9
C14 EO = 1	6.4	9	7.5	1.1
C14 EO = 2	0.0	8	4.2	4.2
C14 EO = 6	13.1	8	10.7	2.4
C14 EO = 9	19.6	18	18.8	0.8
C14 EO = 15	2.8	5	3.8	1.0
C15 EO = 0	47.1	59	53.1	6.0
C15 EO = 1	0.0	2	0.9	0.8
C15 EO = 2	0.0	25	12.5	12.5
C15 EO = 6	0.0	1	0.4	0.4
C15 EO = 9	0.0	5	2.6	2.6
C15 EO = 15	0.0	2	1.1	1.1
C16 EO = 0	744.0	145	444.3	299.7
C16 EO = 1	0.4	1	0.5	0.1
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	4.3	2	3.1	1.2
C16 EO = 9	8.8	5	7.0	1.8
C16 EO = 15	2.2	3	2.6	0.4
C18 EO = 0	1786.8	135	980.8	826.0
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	2.0	2	1.8	0.2
C18 EO = 9	5.1	5	5.0	0.1
C18 EO = 15	1.3	1	1.2	0.0
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	2017	669	1793	1124
PRECISION (as percent) =				63%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	LAB DUPLICATE BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	Average (ng/g)	Precision (Range, +/-) (ng/g)
Sequence number:	12	7	3		
File name:	3K18Q012	3K17Q007	3K17Q003		
Lab ID:	AE-S-26 Bryan Sed Fine-M	AE-S-5 Bryan Cent Sed-D	AE-S-1 Bryan Cent Sed-D(Dup)		
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	12.64	18.71	19.95		
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)					
C12 EO = 0	4.1	4.8	4.4	4.8	0.2
C12 EO = 1	2.6	2.9	2.0	2.0	0.0
C12 EO = 2	7.9	2.6	2.4	2.5	0.1
C12 EO = 3	7.3	2.7	3.0	2.8	0.1
C12 EO = 6	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.8	0.0
C12 EO = 9	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.3	0.1
C12 EO = 12	1.9	2.6	3.3	3.0	0.3
C12 EO = 15	0.0	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.2
C13 EO = 0	5.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
C13 EO = 1	12.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	24.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO = 6	3.0	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.2
C13 EO = 9	6.8	2.1	2.2	2.1	0.1
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.4
C14 EO = 0	5.1	4.0	4.3	4.2	0.1
C14 EO = 1	4.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	0.0
C14 EO = 2	4.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0
C14 EO = 6	9.8	2.0	2.1	2.0	0.0
C14 EO = 9	11.6	2.8	2.0	2.4	0.4
C14 EO = 15	0.0	0.5	1.3	0.9	0.4
C15 EO = 0	17.6	6.5	4.2	5.3	1.2
C15 EO = 1	0.9	1.1	2.0	1.5	0.4
C15 EO = 2	0.0	2.3	6.1	4.2	1.9
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.1
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3
C16 EO = 0	13.2	6.4	6.6	6.6	0.1
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.7	0.7
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.1
C16 EO = 15	0.0	1.3	0.8	1.0	0.2
C18 EO = 0	13.3	9.7	7.1	8.4	1.3
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.1
C18 EO = 9	1.6	1.3	1.0	1.2	0.1
C18 EO = 15	1.6	2.6	1.4	2.0	0.6
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	165	70	78	77	1
PRECISION (as percent) =					1%

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 5. AE Sediments and Method Blanks (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM SEDIMENT FINES - D SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT
Sequence number:	11	10	14
File name:	3K18Q011	3K18Q010	3K17Q014
Lab ID:	AE-S-25 Bryan Sed Fine-D	AE-S-24 Bryan Cent Sed-F	AE-S-11 Bryan Sed Fine-F
Sample weight (g, dry weight)	8.96	18.25	12.23
Concentration (ng/g, dry weight)			
C12 EO = 0	7.0	3.3	10.7
C12 EO = 1	2.0	1.9	4.9
C12 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	2.3
C12 EO = 3	0.0	0.0	8.2
C12 EO = 6	3.8	1.8	1.5
C12 EO = 9	4.3	3.3	2.2
C12 EO = 12	4.7	3.0	2.5
C12 EO = 15	0.0	1.5	0.0
C13 EO = 0	6.9	2.5	6.0
C13 EO = 1	1.3	0.6	0.0
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	28.6	11.9	0.0
C13 EO = 6	2.0	2.4	0.0
C13 EO = 9	4.7	2.1	0.0
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO = 0	9.7	2.0	20.3
C14 EO = 1	3.6	0.0	4.7
C14 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	3.4
C14 EO = 6	1.4	3.2	0.6
C14 EO = 9	8.7	2.7	1.8
C14 EO = 15	4.4	0.8	0.0
C15 EO = 0	29.7	14.1	24.1
C15 EO = 1	3.1	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO = 16	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 0	27.2	6.5	89.0
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C16 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 0	21.1	5.3	43.9
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C18 EO = 9	1.4	0.0	1.3
C18 EO = 15	2.4	0.0	1.4
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	178	69	229
PRECISION (as percent) =			

Note: "0.0" = not detected.

Table 6. AE Sediment Lab Control Spikes

Sequence number File name Lab ID (assumed sample weight, g)	WILMINGTON LCS			BRYAN LCS			LOWELL LCS		
	8 3K18Q008 AE-S-22 Wilmington QC-LCS	Theoretical spiked concentration 20.00	% RECOVERY 20.00	2 3K18Q002 AE-S-39 Bryan QC-LCS	Theoretical spiked concentration 20.00	% RECOVERY 20.00	31 3K18Q031 AE-S-42 Lowell QC-LCS	Theoretical spiked concentration 20.00	% RECOVERY 20.00
	Found concentration (ng/g)								
C12 EO = 0	10.3	25.9	40	11.17	25.9	43	60.2	77.7	77
C12 EO = 1	<method blank	2.5	NC	< method blank	2.5	NC	6.5	7.4	87
C12 EO = 2	< method blank	3.9	NC	< method blank	3.9	NC	12.2	11.6	106
C12 EO = 3	<method blank	5.8	NC	< method blank	5.8	NC	19.1	18.9	113
C12 EO = 6	5.8	11.8	47	2.8	11.8	22	35.1	35.3	99
C12 EO = 9	15.1	18.7	90	4.0	18.7	24	52.0	50.2	104
C12 EO = 12	8.8	18.5	52	2.8	18.5	17	45.8	49.5	92
C12 EO = 15	5.5	10.8	51	2.7	10.8	25	31.1	32.4	96
C13 EO = 0	16.9	28.9	58	18.1	28.9	63	93.4	88.8	108
C13 EO = 1	3.2	2.6	125	< method blank	2.6	NC	6.0	7.7	78
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	< method blank	4.0	NC	< method blank	4.0	NC	7.8	12.0	65
C13 EO = 6	7.2	12.2	59	6.8	12.2	56	36.9	38.6	101
C13 EO = 9	15.8	17.3	90	8.3	17.3	48	76.7	52.0	147
C13 EO = 15	4.7	11.2	42	2.3	11.2	21	32.3	33.6	96
C14 EO = 0	11.3	24.9	45	13.7	24.9	55	64.3	74.6	86
C14 EO = 1	0.9	2.1	40	2.4	2.1	112	7.3	6.4	114
C14 EO = 2	3.0	3.3	90	2.4	3.3	72	11.1	9.9	112
C14 EO = 6	5.5	10.1	55	4.6	10.1	46	26.8	30.3	89
C14 EO = 9	7.5	14.4	52	4.5	14.4	32	39.1	43.1	91
C14 EO = 15	5.5	9.3	59	2.7	9.3	29	25.2	27.8	91
C15 EO = 0	1.0	24.6	4	9.4	24.6	38	63.3	73.7	86
C15 EO = 1	0.7	1.8	40	1.7	1.8	95	7.0	5.3	133
C15 EO = 2	<method blank	2.7	NC	< method blank	2.7	NC	9.8	8.2	119
C15 EO = 6	5.6	8.4	67	6.2	8.4	74	24.4	25.2	97
C15 EO = 9	8.0	12.0	67	6.7	12.0	56	33.6	35.9	94
C15 EO = 15	5.2	7.7	67	3.8	7.7	47	20.6	23.1	89
C16 EO = 0	<method blank	26.6	NC	< method blank	26.6	NC	70.9	79.9	89
C16 EO = 1	0.2	0.3	83	0.3	0.3	98	0.7	0.8	93
C16 EO = 2	0.5	0.7	72	< method blank	0.7	NC	1.9	2.2	83
C16 EO = 6	2.8	4.3	65	3.1	4.3	73	10.3	12.9	80
C16 EO = 9	8.7	8.9	75	5.8	8.9	65	21.7	28.8	81
C16 EO = 15	7.8	10.3	73	5.8	10.3	54	24.9	31.0	80
C18 EO = 0	15.1	30.5	50	< method blank	30.5	NC	87.4	91.5	96
C18 EO = 1	0.8	0.6	92	0.7	0.6	107	1.9	1.8	104
C18 EO = 2	1.4	1.8	79	1.7	1.8	93	5.2	5.4	97
C18 EO = 6	8.7	10.1	67	7.3	10.1	72	23.6	30.2	78
C18 EO = 9	14.5	21.0	69	13.8	21.0	66	51.1	63.1	81
C18 EO = 15	19.0	24.3	78	16.9	24.3	70	63.8	72.8	87
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	222	431	52%	172	431	40%	1211	1292	94%

Note: NC = not calculated.

Lowell LCS spiked 3 times higher than Wilmington and Bryan LCS samples.

LCS concentrations corrected for corresponding method blank before recovery determination.

Table 6. AE Sediment Lab Control Spikes (Continued)

Sequence number File name Lab ID (assumed sample weight, g)	AVERAGE LCS RECOVERY	PRECISION STD DEV
Found concentration (ng/g)		
C12 EO = 0	53	21
C12 EO = 1	87	NC
C12 EO = 2	106	NC
C12 EO = 3	113	NC
C12 EO = 6	56	39
C12 EO = 8	72	43
C12 EO = 12	54	38
C12 EO = 15	57	36
C13 EO = 0	76	27
C13 EO = 1	102	33
C13 EO = 2 (< INTERFERENCE)	65	NC
C13 EO = 6	72	25
C13 EO = 9	95	50
C13 EO = 15	53	39
C14 EO = 0	62	21
C14 EO = 1	89	42
C14 EO = 2	91	20
C14 EO = 6	63	23
C14 EO = 9	58	30
C14 EO = 15	60	31
C15 EO = 0	43	41
C15 EO = 1	90	46
C15 EO = 2	119	NC
C15 EO = 6	79	16
C15 EO = 9	72	19
C15 EO = 15	68	21
C16 EO = 0	89	NC
C16 EO = 1	91	8
C16 EO = 2	78	10
C16 EO = 6	73	7
C16 EO = 9	74	8
C16 EO = 15	69	14
C18 EO = 0	73	45
C18 EO = 1	101	8
C18 EO = 2	90	9
C18 EO = 6	73	6
C18 EO = 9	72	8
C18 EO = 15	78	9
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)		

Note: NC = not calculated.

Table 7. AE Sediment Matrix Spike Results

Sequence number	MATRIX SPIKE FOUND LOWELL UPSTREAM	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	NET FOUND (ng/g)	THEORY (1) (ng/g)	% RECOVERY
File name	15	File: 3K18Q005			
Lab ID	3K18Q015				
Sample weight (g)	AE-S-28 Lowell QC-MS				
Sample concentration (ng/g, dry weight)	15.64				
C12 EO = 0	14.3	3.1	11.1	66.3	17
C12 EO = 1	1.9	0.0	1.9	6.3	30
C12 EO = 2	3.4	0.0	3.4	9.8	34
C12 EO = 3	5.8	0.0	5.8	14.4	41
C12 EO = 6	11.0	2.9	8.1	30.1	27
C12 EO = 9	22.6	3.7	18.9	42.8	44
C12 EO = 12	13.9	2.0	11.9	42.2	28
C12 EO = 15	7.2	0.0	7.2	27.6	26
C13 EO = 0	20.9	3.9	17.0	74.0	23
C13 EO = 1	5.1	INT	INT	6.5	INT
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	20.3	INT	INT	10.2	INT
C13 EO = 6	10.6	2.6	8.0	31.2	26
C13 EO = 9	21.5	5.0	16.5	44.4	37
C13 EO = 15	6.1	0.0	6.1	28.6	21
C14 EO = 0	15.9	4.0	11.9	63.6	19
C14 EO = 1	2.4	0.0	2.4	5.4	44
C14 EO = 2	2.8	0.0	2.8	8.4	33
C14 EO = 6	9.4	0.0	9.4	25.8	37
C14 EO = 9	12.8	0.0	12.8	36.7	35
C14 EO = 15	7.0	0.0	7.0	23.7	30
C15 EO = 0	16.8	10.0	6.8	62.9	11
C15 EO = 1	1.6	0.0	1.6	4.5	37
C15 EO = 2	2.1	0.0	2.1	7.0	31
C15 EO = 6	6.4	0.0	8.4	21.5	39
C15 EO = 9	10.9	0.0	10.9	30.6	36
C15 EO = 15	6.8	0.0	6.8	18.7	35
C16 EO = 0	20.3	8.5	11.9	68.1	17
C16 EO = 1	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.7	41
C16 EO = 2	0.6	0.0	0.6	1.9	32
C16 EO = 6	3.8	0.0	3.8	11.0	35
C16 EO = 9	8.2	0.0	8.2	22.8	36
C16 EO = 15	9.0	0.0	9.0	26.4	34
C18 EO = 0	28.0	7.9	20.1	78.0	26
C18 EO = 1	0.6	0.0	0.6	1.6	41
C18 EO = 2	1.7	0.0	1.7	4.6	38
C18 EO = 6	8.7	0.0	8.7	25.8	34
C18 EO = 9	17.7	0.0	17.7	53.8	33
C18 EO = 15	22.7	0.0	22.7	62.0	37
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	383	54	304	1101	28%

Notes:

INT = interference.

(1) The Lowell QC sample was spiked at twice the concentration of the Wilmington and Bryan QC samples.

(2) The Wilmington QC sample was spiked 4 days prior to freeze-drying step. See discussion in Section 2.1.2.

Table 7. AE Sediment Matrix Spike Results (Continued)

Sequence number File name Lab ID Sample weight (g) Sample concentration (ng/g, dry weight)	MATRIX SPIKE FOUND WILMINGTON UPSTREAM	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	UNSPIKED CONCN (ng/g)		
	16 3K18QQ16 AE-S-29 Wilmington QC-MS 18.19		NET FOUND (ng/g)	THEORY (ng/g)	% RECOVERY
C12 EO = 0	32.7		4.2	28.6	100
C12 EO = 1	12.4		1.4	11.0	2.7
C12 EO = 2	9.1		1.5	7.6	4.3
C12 EO = 3	20.2		3.9	16.3	6.2
C12 EO = 6	7.4		2.0	5.3	13.0
C12 EO = 9	9.9		3.2	6.7	18.5
C12 EO = 12	3.7		2.1	1.6	18.2
C12 EO = 15	1.2		0.8	0.4	11.9
			0.0		3
C13 EO = 0	17.1		5.3	11.8	31.9
C13 EO = 1	2.3		2.9	-0.7	2.8
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	14.3		14.3	0.0	4.4
C13 EO = 6	2.3		1.0	1.3	13.5
C13 EO = 9	6.9		2.3	4.6	19.1
C13 EO = 15	0.0		0.0	0.0	12.4
			0.0		0
C14 EO = 0	51.5		4.2	47.3	27.5
C14 EO = 1	9.2		2.1	7.1	2.3
C14 EO = 2	7.7		0.9	6.7	3.6
C14 EO = 6	9.8		1.6	6.2	11.1
C14 EO = 9	14.7		3.4	11.3	15.8
C14 EO = 15	2.5		0.3	2.2	10.2
			0.0		21
C15 EO = 0	50.7		11.0	39.8	27.1
C15 EO = 1	4.7		0.0	4.7	2.0
C15 EO = 2	16.7		0.0	16.7	3.0
C15 EO = 6	1.0		0.0	1.0	9.3
C15 EO = 9	2.6		0.5	2.1	13.2
C15 EO = 15	0.0		0.0	0.0	8.5
			0.0		0
C16 EO = 0	273.9		27.2	246.7	29.4
C16 EO = 1	0.9		0.0	0.9	0.3
C16 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.8
C16 EO = 6	1.1		0.0	1.1	4.7
C16 EO = 9	2.7		0.0	2.7	9.9
C16 EO = 15	2.1		0.7	1.4	11.4
			0.0		12
C18 EO = 0	246.0		29.9	216.2	33.7
C18 EO = 1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.7
C18 EO = 2	0.0		0.0	0.0	2.0
C18 EO = 6	0.0		0.3	-0.3	11.1
C18 EO = 9	2.0		0.9	1.1	23.2
C18 EO = 15	5.3		2.3	3.0	26.8
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	845		130	715	475
					150%

Notes:

INT = interference.

(1) The Lowell QC sample was spiked at twice the concentration of the Wilmington and Bryan QC samples.

(2) The Wilmington QC sample was spiked 4 days prior to freeze-drying step. See discussion in Section 2.1.2.

Table 7. AE Sediment Matrix Spike Results (Continued)

Sequence number	MATRIX SPIKE FOUND BRYAN UPSTREAM	(SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE) CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT			NET FOUND (ng/g)	THEORY (ng/g)	% RECOVERY
	10 3K17Q010	14 3K18Q014	AE-S-8 Bryan QC-MS 18.28	AE-S-27 Bryon Cent. Sed-U 18.90			
C12 EO = 0	4.2	2.5	1.7	26.9	6		
C12 EO = 1	1.8	0.4	1.3	2.6	52		
C12 EO = 2	2.4	0.0	2.4	4.0	61		
C12 EO = 3	2.2	0.0	2.2	5.8	37		
C12 EO = 6	1.9	0.0	1.9	12.2	15		
C12 EO = 9	3.0	0.5	2.5	17.4	14		
C12 EO = 12	2.4	0.7	1.7	17.1	10		
C12 EO = 15	0.6	0.0	0.6	11.2	5		
C13 EO = 0	4.9	1.9	3.0	30.0	10		
C13 EO = 1	0.0	1.2	-1.2	2.7	INT		
C13 EO = 2 (INTERFERENCE)	0.0	13.6	-13.6	4.1	INT		
C13 EO = 6	0.8	0.0	0.8	12.6	6		
C13 EO = 9	2.6	0.0	2.6	18.0	14		
C13 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.6	0		
C14 EO = 0	4.8	2.0	2.7	25.8	10		
C14 EO = 1	1.7	0.0	1.7	2.2	76		
C14 EO = 2	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.4	28		
C14 EO = 6	2.0	1.0	0.9	10.5	9		
C14 EO = 9	0.0	2.5	-2.5	14.9	0		
C14 EO = 15	0.5	0.8	-0.4	9.6	0		
C15 EO = 0	5.9	4.5	1.3	25.5	5		
C15 EO = 1	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.8	53		
C15 EO = 2	1.6	0.0	1.6	2.9	55		
C15 EO = 6	0.4	0.0	0.4	6.7	5		
C15 EO = 9	0.5	0.0	0.5	12.4	4		
C15 EO = 15	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0		
C16 EO = 0	6.2	4.3	2.0	27.6	7		
C16 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	13		
C16 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0		
C16 EO = 6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0		
C16 EO = 9	2.1	0.0	2.1	9.3	23		
C16 EO = 15	5.9	1.9	4.0	10.7	38		
C18 EO = 0	12.2	5.9	6.3	31.6	20		
C18 EO = 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0		
C18 EO = 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0		
C18 EO = 6	1.9	0.3	1.6	10.4	15		
C18 EO = 9	7.0	1.2	5.8	21.8	27		
C18 EO = 15	22.0	5.0	16.9	25.2	67		
TOTAL AE (OF ABOVE)	103	50	53	447	12%		

Notes:

INT = interference.

(1) The Lowell QC sample was spiked at twice the concentration of the Wilmington and Bryan QC samples.

(2) The Wilmington QC sample was spiked 4 days prior to freeze-drying step. See discussion in Section 2.1.2.

Table 8. LAS and AS/AES Calibration Summary

Standard ID	Concentration (in ug/mL) -- Corrected for % activity and % homologue value.						LINEARITY CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	CALIBRATION REL. RESPONSE FACTOR	PRECISION (RSD)	% ACCURACY CHECK STANDARD	REPORTING LIMIT WATER (ng/L)	REPORTING LIMIT SEDIMENT (ng/g)
	STD 0	STD 25	STD 50	STD 100	STD 300	STD 1000						
C10 LAS	0.00	1.28	2.56	5.13	15.38	51.25	1.000	3.94	7	100	6406	128
C11 LAS	0.00	3.37	6.74	13.49	40.47	134.89	0.998	4.18	11	95	16861	337
C12 LAS	0.00	3.36	6.72	13.43	40.30	134.34	0.999	3.93	9	98	16792	336
C13 LAS	0.00	0.55	1.09	2.18	6.55	21.85	1.000	3.30	6	112	2731	55
C14 LAS	0.00	0.11	0.22	0.44	1.33	4.44	1.000	4.43	16	115	555	11
(File: 3J28Q008)												
Standard ID	Concentration (in ug/mL) -- Corrected for % activity and % homologue value.						LINEARITY CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	CALIBRATION REL. RESPONSE FACTOR	PRECISION (RSD)	% ACCURACY CHECK STANDARD	REPORTING LIMIT WATER (ng/L)	REPORTING LIMIT SEDIMENT (ng/g)
	STD 0	STD 10	STD 25	STD 100	STD 300	STD 1000						
C12 EO 0	0.000	0.049	0.122	0.489	1.467	4.888	0.995	1.321	23	62	244	4.9
C12 EO2	0.000	0.028	0.070	0.280	0.841	2.805	0.996	1.023	26	64	140	2.8
C12 EO4	0.000	0.011	0.027	0.107	0.321	1.071	0.994	0.726	24	65	54	1.1
C12 EO8	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.016	0.047	0.158	0.997	0.471	22	64	8	0.2
C13 EO 0	0.000	0.052	0.131	0.522	1.567	5.224	0.996	1.208	25	59	261	5.2
C13 EO2	0.000	0.021	0.053	0.211	0.634	2.113	0.996	0.635	21	59	106	2.1
C13 EO4	0.000	0.021	0.051	0.206	0.618	2.059	0.993	1.156	26	64	103	2.1
C13 EO8	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.012	0.037	0.123	0.997	0.347	26	61	6	0.1
C14 EO 0	0.000	0.037	0.093	0.374	1.121	3.738	0.995	1.198	24	61	187	3.7
C14 EO2	0.000	0.015	0.037	0.149	0.446	1.486	0.997	0.541	18	65	74	1.5
C14 EO4	0.000	0.008	0.019	0.078	0.233	0.775	0.995	0.559	21	67	39	0.8
C14 EO8	0.000	0.002	0.005	0.020	0.061	0.202	0.998	0.736	18	61	10	0.2
C15 EO 0	0.000	0.030	0.076	0.304	0.912	3.042	0.994	0.902	25	61	152	3.0
C15 EO2	0.000	0.012	0.031	0.122	0.367	1.225	0.998	0.482	18	68	61	1.2
C15 EO4	0.000	0.005	0.012	0.048	0.144	0.479	0.996	0.379	19	65	24	0.5
C15 EO8	0.000	0.002	0.006	0.022	0.067	0.222	0.999	0.879	15	66	11	0.2
(File: 3J28Q015)												

Note: Reporting limits are based on the lowest standard. Results that are below the reporting limit, down to 3:1 S/N, should be considered as estimated values.

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL METHOD BLANK WATER	WILMINGTON METHOD BLANK WATER	BRYAN METHOD BLANK WATER	METHOD BLANK AVERAGE (ng/L)
Sequence number	18	7	20	
File name	3J23Q018	3J27Q007	3J24Q020	
Lab ID	L-15 Lowell QC-MB	W-1 WILM MB	B-16 Bryan QC-MB	
Sample volume	REPORTING LIMIT WATER (ng/L)	0.2	0.2	
Concentration, ng/L				
C10 LAS	6406	0.0	0.0	0
C11 LAS	16861	0.0	0.0	0
C12 LAS	16792	0.0	0.0	0
C13 LAS	2731	0.0	0.0	0
C14 LAS	555	0.0	74.3	26
TOTAL LAS = DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)	43346	0	74	0
C12 EO 0	244	24.4	41.2	22
C12 EO2	140	0.0	0.0	0
C12 EO4	54	0.0	0.0	0
C12 EO8	8	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO 0	261	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO2	106	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO4	103	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO8	6	0.0	0.0	0
C14 EO 0	187	0.0	0.0	0
C14 EO2	74	0.0	0.0	0
C14 EO4	39	0.0	0.0	0
C14 EO8	10	0.0	0.0	0
C15 EO 0	152	0.0	0.0	0
C15 EO2	61	0.0	0.0	0
C15 EO4	24	0.0	0.0	0
C15 EO8	11	0.0	0.0	0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) = DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)	1481	24	41	22

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - HOTEL WATER FIELD BLANK - B WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM PORE WATER - U WATER
Sequence number	6	11	5
File name	3J23Q006	3J23Q011	3J23Q005
Lab ID	L-3 Lowell surf water-FB	L-8 Lowell surf water-U	L-2 Lowell Pore water-U
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS	0.0	121.1	505.3
C11 LAS	0.0	290.6	0.0
C12 LAS	0.0	246.0	488.2
C13 LAS	0.0	136.4	181.0
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	0	794	1175
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			
C12 EO 0	58.0	73.2	149.9
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.0	12.1
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	50.9
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	5.2
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	6.6
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	68	73	225
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - INFLUENT	LAB DUPLICATE		
	STP INFLUENT - R WATER	STP INFLUENT - R WATER		
Sequence number	7	28		
File name	3J23Q007	3J29Q028		
Lab ID	L-4 Lowell surf water-INF 1:5	10-28-03 water Lowell -INF 1:5		
Sample volume	0.04	0.04		
Concentration, ng/L		AVERAGE	PRECISION (RANGE, +/-)	PRECISION (RANGE %)
C10 LAS	663292	675225	11933	2
C11 LAS	1416978	1346363	70615	5
C12 LAS	1316117	1301975	14141	1
C13 LAS	508702	501406	7296	1
C14 LAS	49935	45113	4822	10
TOTAL LAS =	3955023	3870082	42470	
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			1%	
C12 EO 0	25300	20534	4765	21
C12 EO2	35707	30901	4806	14
C12 EO4	9583	7749	1834	21
C12 EO8	1652	1404	248	16
C13 EO 0	10805	9051	1754	18
C13 EO2	14778	10894	3883	30
C13 EO4	7556	5737	1819	27
C13 EO8	448	326	122	31
C14 EO 0	23527	22518	1009	4
C14 EO2	15509	12405	3104	22
C14 EO4	6699	4420	2278	41
C14 EO8	1782	1222	559	37
C15 EO 0	28649	28154	498	2
C15 EO2	13475	9811	3664	31
C15 EO4	3447	2401	1046	36
C15 EO8	1279	869	410	38
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	200195	168397	15899	
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			9%	

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - EFFLUENT STP EFFLUENT - E WATER	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING SURF WATER - M WATER	FIELD DUPLICATE LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP SURF WATER - M - DUP WATER	AVERAGE (ng/L)	PRECISION (RANGE, +/-)
Sequence number	17	14	10		
File name	3J23Q017	3J23Q014	3J23Q010		
Lab ID	L-14 Lowell surf water-EFF	L-11 Lowell surf water-M	L-7 Lowell surf water-M-Dup		
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	0.2		
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C11 LAS	620.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C12 LAS	432.2	369.5	314.5	342.0	28
C13 LAS	277.0	201.6	161.9	181.7	20
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
TOTAL LAS =	1329	571	476	524	47
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)					9%
C12 EO 0	2201.6	99.8	61.9	80.9	19
C12 EO2	164.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C12 EO4	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO 0	21.8	27.1	6.5	16.8	10
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C14 EO 0	360.5	31.2	0.0	15.6	16
C14 EO2	25.6	0.0	4.2	2.1	2
C14 EO4	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C15 EO 0	20.9	9.8	7.8	8.8	1
C15 EO2	8.3	4.6	3.6	4.1	1
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	2849	173	84	128	44
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)					35%

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING PORE WATER - M WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE SURF. WATER - D WATER	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE PORE WATER - D WATER
Sequence number	23	16	9
File name	3J23Q023	3J23Q016	3J23Q009
Lab ID	L-18 Lowell Pore water-M	L-13 Lowell surf water-D	L-6 Lowell Pore water-D
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS	257.9	0.0	504.8
C11 LAS	0.0	0.0	1126.1
C12 LAS	515.3	330.6	925.0
C13 LAS	169.2	234.7	449.5
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	942	565	3005
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			
C12 EO 0	1212.9	54.9	573.0
C12 EO2	132.3	0.0	153.9
C12 EO4	135.0	2.2	24.2
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	44.1	0.0	34.4
C13 EO2	5.1	0.0	10.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	108.7	25.7	143.6
C14 EO2	9.1	0.0	10.9
C14 EO4	24.5	4.7	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	17.2	7.2	16.3
C15 EO2	0.0	3.4	4.7
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	1689	98	971
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - F WATER	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM PORE WATER - F WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER
Sequence number	15	8	18
File name	3J23Q015	3J23Q008	3J27Q018
Lab ID	L-12 Lowell surf water-F	L-5 Lowell Pore water-F	W-14 surf water-U Wilmington
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS	0.0	1457.3	720.9
C11 LAS	0.0	2103.7	1663.6
C12 LAS	186.4	1498.1	1428.4
C13 LAS	73.5	334.3	633.1
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0	61.5
TOTAL LAS = DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)	260	8383	4508
C12 EO 0	78.2	1152.3	105.2
C12 EO2	0.0	249.4	18.9
C12 EO4	0.0	64.7	8.0
C12 EO8	0.0	4.8	0.0
C13 EO 0	8.7	107.1	12.8
C13 EO2	0.0	29.2	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	24.3	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	150.3	32.5
C14 EO2	0.0	21.0	6.8
C14 EO4	0.0	16.2	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	30.5	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	7.7	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	1.9	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.7
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) = DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)	87	1859	185

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - U WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - RAW INFLUENT STP INFLUENT - R WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - EFFLUENT STP EFFLUENT - E WATER
Sequence number	11	14	9
File name	3J27Q011	3J27Q014	3J27Q009
Lab ID	W-6 Pore water-U Wilmington	W-10 surf water-INF 1:5 Wilmi	W-4 surf water-EFF Wilmington
Sample volume	0.2	0.04	0.2
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS	792.0	460694	227.7
C11 LAS	1000.3	996029	648.1
C12 LAS	669.2	1050191	590.0
C13 LAS	205.8	468724	376.2
C14 LAS	47.3	91139	101.3
TOTAL LAS =	2715	3068777	1943
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			
C12 EO 0	403.3	27220	106.3
C12 EO2	89.3	32067	15.8
C12 EO4	35.4	10000	7.2
C12 EO8	4.7	1885	0.0
C13 EO 0	65.6	10583	9.3
C13 EO2	9.4	12016	0.0
C13 EO4	11.1	6632	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	425	3.3
C14 EO 0	92.3	22891	67.0
C14 EO2	18.0	10873	7.7
C14 EO4	7.8	5220	1.7
C14 EO8	0.0	1605	0.0
C15 EO 0	12.5	20200	15.7
C15 EO2	4.1	8006	7.3
C15 EO4	0.0	2372	1.4
C15 EO8	0.7	940	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	764	172936	243
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING SURF WATER - M WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING FINAL PORE WATER - M WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - D WATER
Sequence number	13	19	4
File name	3J27Q013	3J27Q019	3J23Q004
Lab ID	W-8 surf water-M Wilmington	W-15 Pore water-M Wilmington	L-1 WILM SURF D
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS	0.0	1623.9	0
C11 LAS	0.0	1558.6	0.0
C12 LAS	0.0	1063.9	0.0
C13 LAS	286.4	415.8	235.9
C14 LAS	84.3	57.1	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	371	4719	236
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			
C12 EO 0	32.9	517.3	9.5
C12 EO2	0.0	107.2	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	37.3	0.0
C12 EO8	0.0	2.3	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	75.4	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	15.5	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	11.4	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	21.7	119.7	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	24.4	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	8.8	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	10.2	17.9	0.0
C15 EO2	4.0	5.1	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	69	942	9
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM		LAB DUPLICATE		
	FINAL PORE WATER - D WATER		WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM		
Sequence number	15	17	AVERAGE	PRECISION	(ng/L)
File name	3J27Q015	3J27Q017			
Lab ID	W-11 Pore water-D Wilmington	W-13 Pore water-D-Dup Wilmingt			
Sample volume	0.2	0.2			
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS	2753.1	2517.9	2635.5	117.6	
C11 LAS	2835.2	2770.8	2803.0	32.2	
C12 LAS	2292.6	2083.9	2188.3	104.3	
C13 LAS	813.6	737.3	775.4	38.1	
C14 LAS	83.4	94.2	88.8	5.4	
TOTAL LAS =	8778	8204	8491	287	
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)				3%	
C12 EO 0	1057.7	1125.4	1091.5	33.8	
C12 EO2	156.5	156.8	156.7	0.2	
C12 EO4	48.1	49.7	48.9	0.8	
C12 EO8	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.0	
C13 EO 0	130.8	128.7	129.8	1.0	
C13 EO2	26.8	25.5	26.1	0.7	
C13 EO4	20.3	16.6	18.4	1.8	
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
C14 EO 0	254.1	231.5	242.8	11.3	
C14 EO2	28.5	28.6	28.5	0.0	
C14 EO4	13.5	9.8	11.6	1.9	
C14 EO8	4.4	0.0	2.2	2.2	
C15 EO 0	33.0	31.1	32.1	0.9	
C15 EO2	11.7	8.4	10.1	1.7	
C15 EO4	3.0	1.2	2.1	0.9	
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	1792	1817	1804	12	
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)				1%	

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - F WATER	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - F WATER	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)
Sequence number	12	16	7
File name	3J27Q012	3J27Q016	3J24Q007
Lab ID	W-7 WILM SURF WATER-F	W-12 Pore water-F Wilmington	B-1 Bryon surf water-U
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS	0.0	1123.6	1785.9
C11 LAS	255.4	2322.4	3125.4
C12 LAS	260.9	1432.8	2615.6
C13 LAS	83.4	478.4	1965.5
C14 LAS	0.0	62.5	213.7
TOTAL LAS =	600	5420	9706
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			
C12 EO 0	16.2	1380.8	49.5
C12 EO2	0.0	195.2	21.3
C12 EO4	0.0	67.1	5.3
C12 EO8	0.0	6.1	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	97.0	17.8
C13 EO2	0.0	15.8	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	11.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	12.6	236.6	49.0
C14 EO2	0.0	21.9	8.6
C14 EO4	0.0	8.1	5.4
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	21.9	60.0
C15 EO2	0.0	3.1	8.2
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	29	2065	226
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)			

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - U WATER	BRYAN, OH - RAW INFLUENT STP INFLUENT - R WATER	BRYAN, OH - EFFLUENT STP EFFLUENT - E WATER	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING SURF WATER - M WATER
Sequence number	14	19	18	13
File name	3J24Q014	3J24Q019	3J24Q018	3J24Q013
Lab ID	B-9 Bryon Pore water-U	B-15 Bryon surf water-inf 1:5	B-14 Bryon surf water-eff	B-8 Bryon surf water-M
Sample volume	0.2	0.04	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L				
C10 LAS	2652.3	502107	561.9	767.2
C11 LAS	5386.6	1017136	1453.6	1634.0
C12 LAS	2037.6	880381	646.4	1022.0
C13 LAS	535.5	315414	246.9	344.5
C14 LAS	0.0	33675	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	10612	2748712	2909	3768
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)				
C12 EO 0	517.1	27949	186.6	54.5
C12 EO2	184.9	29212	33.6	15.1
C12 EO4	49.7	8982	11.7	4.3
C12 EO8	2.8	1510	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	21.4	12201	0.0	5.9
C13 EO2	6.0	10969	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	6410	0.0	9.6
C13 EO8	0.0	395	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	61.7	18542	73.0	31.4
C14 EO2	8.2	9921	9.0	2.8
C14 EO4	5.0	5014	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	1342	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	18516	9.0	29.5
C15 EO2	0.0	8203	5.2	6.2
C15 EO4	0.0	2175	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	990	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	857	162331	328	159
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)				

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING FINAL PORE WATER - M WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - D WATER
Sequence number	17	12
File name	3J24Q017	3J24Q012
Lab ID	B-13 Bryan Pore water-M	B-7 Bryan surf water-D
Sample volume	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L		
C10 LAS	3263.0	620.2
C11 LAS	5369.1	1546.3
C12 LAS	2164.4	919.9
C13 LAS	346.5	351.2
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	11143	3438
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)		
C12 EO 0	737.3	63.1
C12 EO2	92.0	28.9
C12 EO4	35.7	11.0
C12 EO8	2.4	1.9
C13 EO 0	44.4	0.0
C13 EO2	13.9	0.0
C13 EO4	12.5	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	113.6	33.2
C14 EO2	9.6	0.0
C14 EO4	4.6	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	11.8
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	1066	150
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)		

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	LAB DUPLICATE		
	FINAL PORE WATER - D WATER	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	FINAL PORE WATER - D WATER	
Sequence number	9	15		
File name	3J24Q009	3J24Q015		
Lab ID	B-4 Bryan Pore water-D	B-10 Bryan Pore water-D-Dup		
Sample volume	0.2	0.2	AVERAGE (ng/L)	PRECISION (RANGE, +/-)
Concentration, ng/L				
C10 LAS	2764.9	2434.4	2599.6	165.3
C11 LAS	4971.7	4870.3	4921.0	50.7
C12 LAS	2726.7	2069.7	2398.2	328.5
C13 LAS	397.5	368.8	383.1	14.3
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	10861	9743	10302	559
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)				5%
C12 EO 0	1339.3	1376.7	1358.0	18.7
C12 EO2	135.1	145.4	140.2	6.2
C12 EO4	49.3	56.4	52.8	3.6
C12 EO8	4.8	5.8	5.3	0.5
C13 EO 0	94.3	94.9	94.6	0.3
C13 EO2	19.7	21.7	20.7	1.0
C13 EO4	19.0	17.8	18.4	0.6
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	167.3	180.0	173.7	6.4
C14 EO2	19.1	19.1	19.1	0.0
C14 EO4	10.6	10.3	10.5	0.2
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	23.6	21.8	22.7	0.9
C15 EO2	6.9	7.4	7.1	0.3
C15 EO4	2.0	1.9	1.9	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	1891	1959	1925	34
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)				2%

Table 9. LAS and AS/AES Results for Method Blanks and Aqueous Samples (Continued)

SITE FIELD ID MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM SURF. WATER - F WATER	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM FINAL PORE WATER - F WATER
Sequence number	10	11
File name	3J24Q010	3J24Q011
Lab ID	B-5 Bryan surf water-F	B-6 Bryan Pore water-F
Sample volume	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L		
C10 LAS	596.4	1571.4
C11 LAS	1521.6	2776.8
C12 LAS	953.9	935.5
C13 LAS	350.7	305.4
C14 LAS	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS =	3423	5589
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)		
C12 EO 0	56.6	582.1
C12 EO2	16.3	106.6
C12 EO4	0.0	33.7
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	9.0	38.8
C13 EO2	0.0	4.3
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	33.5	53.6
C14 EO2	3.7	8.6
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	12.6	0.0
C15 EO2	3.3	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	135	828
DIFFERENCE (% RANGE/AVG.)		

Table 10. LAS and AS/AES Water Sample Control Spike Results

LOWELL WATER LCS		LOWELL WATER LCS	
Sequence number	12.0	22.0	
File name	3J23Q012	3J23Q022	
Lab ID	L-9 Lowell AES/AS QC-LCS	L-17 Lowell LAS QC-LCS	
Sample volume (L)	0.2	0.2	THEORY (ng/L) % RECOVERY (1)
Concentration, ng/L			
C10 LAS		32678.0	384388.56
C11 LAS		90372.3	1011685.72
C12 LAS		88393.0	1007524.55
C13 LAS		13933.1	163846.27
C14 LAS		2803.3	33289.40
	THEORY (ng/L) % RECOVERY (1)		
C12 EO 0	250.3	36663	1
C12 EO2	206.4	21035	1
C12 EO4	56.0	8036	1
C12 EO8	6.8	1185	1
C13 EO 0	304.6	39181	1
C13 EO2	115.7	15850	1
C13 EO4	107.0	15443	1
C13 EO8	6.3	926	1
C14 EO 0	207.8	28034	1
C14 EO2	69.4	11147	1
C14 EO4	39.4	5814	1
C14 EO8	12.0	1518	1
C15 EO 0	172.8	22812	1
C15 EO2	56.5	9184	1
C15 EO4	19.2	3592	1
C15 EO8	9.2	1666	1

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 10. LAS and AS/AES Water Sample Control Spike Results (Continued)

WILMINGTON WATER LCS			WILMINGTON WATER LCS		
Sequence number	4.0	5.0	File name	3J27Q004	3J27Q005
Lab ID	W-2 AS/AES QC-LCS Wilmington	W-9 LAS QC-LCS Wilmington	Sample volume (L)	0.2	0.2
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS				78126.0	76877.71
C11 LAS				195970.8	202337.14
C12 LAS				182219.8	201504.91
C13 LAS				28287.9	32769.25
C14 LAS				5286.6	6657.88
	THEORY (ng/L)		% RECOVERY		
C12 EO 0	1582.8	7332.6		22	
C12 EO2	859.2	4207.0		20	
C12 EO4	366.2	1607.2		23	
C12 EO8	49.2	237.0		21	
C13 EO 0	1505.4	7836.2		19	
C13 EO2	638.1	3170.0		20	
C13 EO4	638.1	3088.6		21	
C13 EO8	35.7	185.2		19	
C14 EO 0	990.0	5606.8		18	
C14 EO2	393.7	2229.4		18	
C14 EO4	203.6	1162.8		18	
C14 EO8	50.6	303.7		17	
C15 EO 0	742.8	4562.5		16	
C15 EO2	248.2	1836.8		14	
C15 EO4	96.4	718.4		13	
C15 EO8	40.7	333.3		12	

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 10. LAS and AS/AES Water Sample Control Spike Results (Continued)

BRYAN WATER LCS		BRYAN WATER LCS		THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY
Sequence number	4.0		5.0		
File name	3J24Q004		3J24Q005		
Lab ID	B-3 AS/AES QC-LCS Bryon		B-11 LAS QC-LCS Bryon		
Sample volume (L)	0.2		0.2		
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS			73450.2	76877.71	96
C11 LAS			184928.9	202337.14	91
C12 LAS			175876.7	201504.91	87
C13 LAS			27929.6	32769.25	85
C14 LAS			9227.3	6657.88	139
		THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY		
C12 EO 0	1697.7	7332.6	23	41.3	
C12 EO2	899.7	4207.0	21	0.0	
C12 EO4	346.3	1607.2	22	0.0	
C12 EO8	40.5	237.0	17	0.0	
		THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY		
C13 EO 0	1627.5	7836.2	21	0.0	
C13 EO2	635.1	3170.0	20	0.0	
C13 EO4	635.6	3088.6	21	0.0	
C13 EO8	30.2	185.2	16	0.0	
		THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY		
C14 EO 0	1014.7	5606.8	18	0.0	
C14 EO2	411.7	2229.4	18	0.0	
C14 EO4	202.5	1162.8	17	0.0	
C14 EO8	50.2	303.7	17	0.0	
		THEORY (ng/L)	% RECOVERY		
C15 EO 0	824.5	4562.5	18	0.0	
C15 EO2	299.3	1836.8	16	0.0	
C15 EO4	104.5	718.4	15	0.0	
C15 EO8	50.3	333.3	15	0.0	

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 10. LAS and AS/AES Water Sample Control Spike Results (Continued)

Sequence number	LOWELL WATER LCS (RE-PREP 10/28/03)		LOWELL WATER LCS (RE-PREP 10/28/03)	
	26	3J29Q026	27	3J29Q027
File name		10-28-03 water AES/AS LCS		10-28-03 water LAS LCS
Lab ID				0
Sample volume (L)				
Concentration, ng/L				THEORY (NG/L) %RECOVERY
C10 LAS			72409	76878 94
C11 LAS			185548	202337 92
C12 LAS			189182	201505 94
C13 LAS			29050	32769 89
C14 LAS			5346	6658 80
		THEORY (NG/L) % RECOVERY (1)		
C12 EO 0	3113	36663	8	
C12 EO2	1635	21035	8	
C12 EO4	621	8036	8	
C12 EO8	86	1185	7	
C13 EO 0	3201	39181	8	
C13 EO2	1073	15850	7	
C13 EO4	1137	15443	7	
C13 EO8	55	926	6	
C14 EO 0	2338	28034	8	
C14 EO2	832	11147	7	
C14 EO4	395	5814	7	
C14 EO8	84	1518	6	
C15 EO 0	1775	22812	8	
C15 EO2	568	9184	6	
C15 EO4	216	3592	6	
C15 EO8	85	1666	5	

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 10. LAS and AS/AES Water Sample Control Spike Results (Continued)

Sequence number	LOWELL INFLUENT (RE-PREP 10/28/03)		LOWELL INFLUENT ORIGINAL ANALYSIS (10/3/03)		PRECISION (RANGE, +/-)	PRECISION (RANGE %)
	28	7	3J29Q028	3J23Q007		
File name						
Lab ID	10-28-03 water Lowell	-INF 1:5	L-4 Lowell surf water	-INF 1:5		
Sample volume (L)						
Concentration, ng/L					AVERAGE	
C10 LAS	675225		663292	669259	11933	2
C11 LAS	1346363		1416978	1381670	70815	5
C12 LAS	1301975		1316117	1309046	14141	1
C13 LAS	501406		508702	505054	7296	1
C14 LAS	45113		49935	47524	4822	10
C12 EO 0	20534		25300	22917	4765	21
C12 EO2	30901		35707	33304	4806	14
C12 EO4	7749		9583	8666	1834	21
C12 EO8	1404		1652	1528	248	16
C13 EO 0	9051		10805	9928	1754	18
C13 EO2	10894		14778	12836	3883	30
C13 EO4	5737		7556	6647	1819	27
C13 EO8	326		448	387	122	31
C14 EO 0	22518		23527	23023	1009	4
C14 EO2	12405		15509	13957	3104	22
C14 EO4	4420		6699	5560	2279	41
C14 EO8	1222		1782	1502	559	37
C15 EO 0	28154		28649	28402	496	2
C15 EO2	9811		13475	11643	3664	31
C15 EO4	2401		3447	2924	1046	36
C15 EO8	869		1279	1074	410	38

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes

	LOWELL MATRIX SPIKE	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER			
Sequence number	13		11		
File name	3J23Q013		3J23Q011		
Lab ID	L-10 Lowell AES/AS QC-MS		L-8 Lowell surf water-U		
Sample volume (L)	0.2		0.2		
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS	0		121		
C11 LAS	0		291		
C12 LAS	0		246	NET	THEORETICAL
C13 LAS	162		136	CONC'N	SPIKE CONC'N
C14 LAS	0		0	(ng/L)	(ng/L)
					RECOVERY (1)
C12 EO 0	255.4		73.2	182.2	36663
C12 EO2	128.8		0.0	128.8	21035
C12 EO4	56.4		0.0	56.4	8036
C12 EO8	8.7		0.0	8.7	1185
C13 EO 0	304.5		0.0	304.5	39181
C13 EO2	111.0		0.0	111.0	15850
C13 EO4	113.9		0.0	113.9	15443
C13 EO8	6.0		0.0	6.0	926
C14 EO 0	225.3		0.0	225.3	28034
C14 EO2	86.1		0.0	86.1	11147
C14 EO4	42.1		0.0	42.1	5814
C14 EO8	13.8		0.0	13.8	1518
C15 EO 0	158.1		0.0	158.1	22812
C15 EO2	56.3		0.0	56.3	9184
C15 EO4	24.5		0.0	24.5	3592
C15 EO8	10.7		0.0	10.7	1666

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes (Continued)

	LOWELL MATRIX SPIKE	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER	NET CONC'N (ng/L)	THEORETICAL SPIKE CONC'N (ng/L)	RECOVERY (1) (%)
Sequence number	21	11			
File name	3J23Q021	3J23Q011			
Lab ID	L-16 Lowell LAS QC-MSD	L-8 Lowell surf water-U			
Sample volume (L)	0.2	0.2			
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS	37137		121	37016	384389
C11 LAS	108071		291	107781	1011686
C12 LAS	105648		246	105402	1007525
C13 LAS	15706		136	15570	163846
C14 LAS	3463		0	3463	33289
C12 EO 0	12.7		73.2		
C12 EO2	0.0		0.0		
C12 EO4	0.0		0.0		
C12 EO8	0.0		0.0		
C13 EO 0	0.0		0.0		
C13 EO2	0.0		0.0		
C13 EO4	0.0		0.0		
C13 EO8	0.0		0.0		
C14 EO 0	0.0		0.0		
C14 EO2	0.0		0.0		
C14 EO4	0.0		0.0		
C14 EO8	0.0		0.0		
C15 EO 0	0.0		0.0		
C15 EO2	0.0		0.0		
C15 EO4	0.0		0.0		
C15 EO8	0.0		0.0		

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes (Continued)

	WILMINGTON MATRIX SPIKE	WILMINGTON, OH UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER			
Sequence number	8		18		
File name	3J27Q008		3J27Q018		
Lab ID	W-3 AES/AS MS surf water-U W		W-14 surf water-U Wilmington		
Sample volume (L)	0.2		0.2		
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS	707		721		
C11 LAS	2072		1664		
C12 LAS	2138		1428	NET	THEORETICAL
C13 LAS	953		633	CONC'N	SPIKE CONC'N
C14 LAS	537		62	(ng/L)	(ng/L)
					RECOVERY
C12 EO 0	1540.5		105.2	1435.4	7332.6
C12 EO2	806.8		18.9	787.9	4207.0
C12 EO4	356.1		8.0	348.1	1607.2
C12 EO8	46.3		0.0	46.3	237.0
C13 EO 0	1549.4		12.8	1536.5	7836.2
C13 EO2	595.4		0.0	595.4	3170.0
C13 EO4	627.7		0.0	627.7	3088.6
C13 EO8	40.0		0.0	40.0	185.2
C14 EO 0	935.9		32.5	903.3	5606.8
C14 EO2	412.7		6.8	405.9	2229.4
C14 EO4	229.1		0.0	229.1	1162.8
C14 EO8	69.1		0.0	69.1	303.7
C15 EO 0	658.6		0.0	658.6	4562.5
C15 EO2	275.5		0.0	275.5	1836.8
C15 EO4	116.4		0.0	116.4	718.4
C15 EO8	55.8		0.7	55.0	333.3

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes (Continued)

Sequence number	File name	Lab ID	Sample volume (L)	WILMINGTON MATRIX SPIKE	WILMINGTON, OH UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER	NET CONC'N (ng/L)	THEORETICAL SPIKE CONC'N (ng/L)	RECOVERY (%)
				10 3J27Q010	18 3J27Q018	W-5 LAS-MS surf water-U Wilm 0.2	W-14 surf water-U Wilmington 0.2	
Concentration, ng/L								
C10 LAS				85527		721	84807	76878
C11 LAS				212346		1664	210683	202337
C12 LAS				192306		1428	190877	201505
C13 LAS				26081		633	25448	32769
C14 LAS				4533		62	4471	6658
C12 EO 0				125.7		105.2		
C12 EO2				17.2		18.9		
C12 EO4				5.9		8.0		
C12 EO8				0.0		0.0		
C13 EO 0				0.0		12.8		
C13 EO2				0.0		0.0		
C13 EO4				0.0		0.0		
C13 EO8				0.0		0.0		
C14 EO 0				35.8		32.5		
C14 EO2				0.0		6.8		
C14 EO4				0.0		0.0		
C14 EO8				0.0		0.0		
C15 EO 0				8.1		0.0		
C15 EO2				0.0		0.0		
C15 EO4				0.0		0.0		
C15 EO8				0.0		0.7		

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes (Continued)

Sequence number	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)					
	16 3J24Q016	7 3J24Q007	B-1 Bryon surf water-U	NET 0.2 CONC'N (ng/L)	THEORETICAL SPIKE CONC'N (ng/L)	RECOVERY (%)
File name						
Lab ID	B-12 Bryon LAS QC-MS-U					
Sample volume (L)	0.2					
Concentration, ng/L						
C10 LAS	83703			1786	81917	76878
C11 LAS	182591			3125	179466	202337
C12 LAS	178545			2616	175929	201505
C13 LAS	26354			1966	24388	32769
C14 LAS	8086			214	7872	6658
C12 EO 0	68.4			49.5		
C12 EO2	0.0			21.3		
C12 EO4	0.0			5.3		
C12 EO8	0.0			0.0		
C13 EO 0	7.7			17.8		
C13 EO2	0.0			0.0		
C13 EO4	0.0			0.0		
C13 EO8	0.0			0.0		
C14 EO 0	43.6			49.0		
C14 EO2	5.5			8.6		
C14 EO4	7.8			5.4		
C14 EO8	0.0			0.0		
C15 EO 0	69.0			60.0		
C15 EO2	10.0			9.2		
C15 EO4	0.0			0.0		
C15 EO8	0.0			0.0		

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 11. LAS and AS/AES Water Matrix Spikes (Continued)

BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM SURF WATER - U WATER (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)						
Sequence number	8	7				
File name	3J24Q008	3J24Q007				
Lab ID	B-2 Bryon AES/AS QC-MS	B-1 Bryon surf water-U				
Sample volume (L)	0.2	0.2				
Concentration, ng/L						
C10 LAS	3607	1786				
C11 LAS	6220	3125				
C12 LAS	5979	2616	NET	THEORETICAL		
C13 LAS	3512	1966	CONC'N	SPIKE CONC'N	RECOVERY	
C14 LAS	716	214	(ng/L)	(ng/L)	(%)	
C12 EO 0	1563.8	49.5	1514.3	7333	21	
C12 EO2	747.8	21.3	726.4	4207	17	
C12 EO4	298.8	5.3	293.5	1607	18	
C12 EO8	34.8	0.0	34.8	237	15	
C13 EO 0	1568.0	17.8	1550.3	7836	20	
C13 EO2	518.0	0.0	518.0	3170	16	
C13 EO4	473.6	0.0	473.6	3089	15	
C13 EO8	25.8	0.0	25.8	185	14	
C14 EO 0	880.6	49.0	831.6	5607	15	
C14 EO2	326.9	8.6	318.3	2229	14	
C14 EO4	161.5	5.4	156.1	1163	13	
C14 EO8	46.7	0.0	46.7	304	15	
C15 EO 0	653.4	60.0	593.4	4562	13	
C15 EO2	237.1	9.2	227.9	1837	12	
C15 EO4	91.2	0.0	91.2	718	13	
C15 EO8	44.1	0.0	44.1	333	13	

(1) Low recoveries appear related to the amount of methanol added during QC spiking. See discussion in Section 2.2.1.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	REPORTING LIMIT SEDIMENT (ng/g)	LOWELL LAB METHOD BLANK REAGENTS ONLY	WILMINGTON LAB METHOD BLANK REAGENTS ONLY	BRYAN LAB METHOD BLANK REAGENTS ONLY
Sequence #		20	20	38
File name		3J2BQ020	3J31Q020	3J31C038
Lab ID		L-22-S Lowell QC-MB Sed	W-14-S Wilmington Sediment QC-MB	B-16-S Bryan Sediment QC-MB
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)		20	20	20
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	128.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C11 LAS	337.2	1.0	0.0	0.0
C12 LAS	335.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 LAS	54.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 LAS	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	867	1	0	0
C12 EO 0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO2	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO2	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	30	0	0	0

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	32	33		
File name	3J29Q032	3J29Q033		
Lab ID	L-7-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-U	L-8-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-U- DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	16.433	17.024		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	29.5	33.8	31.7	2.2
C11 LAS	114.4	152.8	133.6	19.2
C12 LAS	222.9	286.2	254.8	31.8
C13 LAS	170.0	197.6	183.8	13.8
C14 LAS	103.2	119.1	111.1	8.0
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	640	790	715	
C12 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.2
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
C14 EO8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE)=	1	1	1	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	45	46		
File name	3J29Q045	3J29Q046		
Lab ID	L-14-S Lowell Sediment Fine-U	L-15-S Lowell Sediment Fine-U-DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	8.945	8.808		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	13.9	10.2	12.0	1.9
C11 LAS	99.5	131.6	116.5	16.0
C12 LAS	180.2	258.9	219.5	39.4
C13 LAS	163.9	222.4	193.2	29.3
C14 LAS	94.0	139.0	116.5	22.5
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	551	762	657	
C12 EO 0	5.7	6.2	6.0	0.2
C12 EO2	1.3	1.5	1.4	0.1
C12 EO4	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	7.8	10.3	9.1	1.3
C14 EO2	0.9	1.2	1.0	0.1
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	2.0	2.7	2.4	0.4
C15 EO2	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	18	22	20	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	30	31		
File name	3J29Q030	3J29Q031		
Lab ID	L-6-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-M	L-6-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-M- DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	14.241	12.72		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	30.8	35.6	33.2	2.4
C11 LAS	20.5	26.0	23.2	2.7
C12 LAS	39.0	40.1	39.6	0.6
C13 LAS	27.4	25.3	26.4	1.1
C14 LAS	13.4	10.0	11.7	1.7
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	131	137	134	
C12 EO 0	1.6	2.2	1.9	0.3
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.9	1.2	1.0	0.1
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.1
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	3	4	4	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	43	44		
File name	3J29Q043	3J29Q044		
Lab ID	L-12-S Lowell Sediment Fine-M	L-13-S Lowell Sediment Fine-M-DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	9.143	9.347		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	71.7	80.3	76.0	4.3
C11 LAS	297.2	288.4	292.8	4.4
C12 LAS	391.7	424.8	408.2	16.5
C13 LAS	110.7	152.5	131.6	20.9
C14 LAS	12.3	16.7	14.5	2.2
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	884	963	923	
C12 EO 0	13.8	17.2	15.5	1.7
C12 EO2	3.1	3.8	3.4	0.3
C12 EO4	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.1
C12 EO8	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1
C13 EO 0	4.9	5.8	5.4	0.5
C13 EO2	1.8	2.4	2.1	0.3
C13 EO4	1.8	2.1	2.0	0.2
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	15.8	17.0	16.4	0.6
C14 EO2	2.2	2.4	2.3	0.1
C14 EO4	1.4	1.8	1.5	0.1
C14 EO8	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.0
C15 EO 0	6.4	8.5	6.5	0.1
C15 EO2	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.0
C15 EO4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.0
C15 EO8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	54	63	58	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	21	22		
File name	3J2BQ021	3J2BQ022		
Lab ID	L-1-S Lowell Sediment-D	L-2-S Lowell Sediment-D-Dup		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	17.163	17.935		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	2.9	1.6	2.2	0.7
C11 LAS	35.0	10.1	22.6	12.6
C12 LAS	76.7	16.9	46.8	29.8
C13 LAS	63.6	19.5	41.6	22.0
C14 LAS	35.4	17.9	28.7	8.7
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	214	66	140	
C12 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	0	0	0	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - D SEDIMENT
Sequence #	34
File name	3J29Q034
Lab ID	L-9-S Lowell Sediment Fine-D
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	5.978
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	55.0
C11 LAS	232.9
C12 LAS	408.1
C13 LAS	312.5
C14 LAS	221.3
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	1230
C12 EO 0	22.1
C12 EO2	3.0
C12 EO4	1.1
C12 EO8	0.3
C13 EO 0	4.8
C13 EO2	0.9
C13 EO4	1.0
C13 EO8	0.0
C14 EO 0	36.5
C14 EO2	3.0
C14 EO4	1.6
C14 EO8	0.5
C15 EO 0	10.4
C15 EO2	1.8
C15 EO4	0.6
C15 EO8	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	88

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	23	24		
File name	3J28Q023	3J28Q024		
Lab ID	L-3-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-F	L-4-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-F-DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	15.328	16.082		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	8.3	7.6	8.0	0.4
C11 LAS	58.7	51.6	55.2	3.5
C12 LAS	91.0	76.3	83.6	7.4
C13 LAS	68.2	54.5	61.3	6.8
C14 LAS	43.8	35.0	39.4	4.4
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	270	225	248	
C12 EO 0	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.2
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	1	0	1	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT	LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	35	36		
File name	3J29Q035	3J29Q036		
Lab ID	L-10-S Lowell Sediment Fine-F	L-11-S Lowell Sediment Fine-F- DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	7.817	8.108		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	228.7	253.7	241.2	12.5
C11 LAS	567.9	626.1	697.0	29.1
C12 LAS	787.6	885.0	826.3	68.7
C13 LAS	276.3	289.6	282.8	6.6
C14 LAS	61.7	68.4	66.1	3.3
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	1902	2123	2012	
C12 EO 0	27.0	25.6	26.3	0.7
C12 EO2	8.2	7.9	8.0	0.2
C12 EO4	2.3	2.0	2.2	0.1
C12 EO8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0
C13 EO 0	9.1	8.1	8.6	0.5
C13 EO2	3.3	3.8	3.5	0.3
C13 EO4	3.3	3.7	3.6	0.2
C13 EO8	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
C14 EO 0	36.6	34.7	35.6	0.9
C14 EO2	6.0	6.8	6.4	0.4
C14 EO4	4.2	4.5	4.3	0.2
C14 EO8	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.2
C15 EO 0	15.5	15.0	15.3	0.3
C15 EO2	2.1	3.3	2.7	0.6
C15 EO4	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.1
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	120	118	119	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	13	14		
File name	3J31C013	3J31C014		
Lab ID	W-7-S Wilmington Cent Sediment U	W-8-S Wilmington Cent Sediment U DUP (NS)		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	17.627	16.82		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	52.4	110.0	81.2	28.8
C11 LAS	54.6	101.2	77.9	23.3
C12 LAS	86.7	161.1	123.9	37.2
C13 LAS	56.0	102.6	79.3	23.3
C14 LAS	15.6	26.7	21.1	5.6
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	265	502	384	
C12 EO 0	1.0	1.6	1.3	0.3
C12 EO2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1
C12 EO4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	1.7	0.0	0.8	0.8
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	3	2	2	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT
Sequence #	18
File name	3J31Q018
Lab ID	W-12-S Wilmington Sediment FinE U
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	10.604
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	101.7
C11 LAS	111.3
C12 LAS	162.2
C13 LAS	91.8
C14 LAS	19.4
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	486
C12 EO 0	4.3
C12 EO2	2.1
C12 EO4	0.6
C12 EO8	0.1
C13 EO 0	2.7
C13 EO2	0.6
C13 EO4	0.4
C13 EO8	0.0
C14 EO 0	11.8
C14 EO2	1.2
C14 EO4	0.7
C14 EO8	0.2
C15 EO 0	4.1
C15 EO2	0.5
C15 EO4	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	29

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	17	12		
File name	3J31Q017	3J31Q012		
Lab ID	W-11-S Wilmington Cent Sediment M	W-6-S Wilmington Cent Sediment M DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	18.216	19.609		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	24.7	58.3	41.5	16.8
C11 LAS	42.3	95.1	68.7	26.4
C12 LAS	69.1	151.1	110.1	41.0
C13 LAS	49.2	91.0	70.1	20.9
C14 LAS	15.6	28.4	22.0	6.4
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	201	424	312	
C12 EO 0	0.0	0.8	0.4	0.4
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE)=	0	1	0	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT
Sequence #	16
File name	3J31Q016
Lab ID	W-10-S Wilmington SEDIMENT FINE F
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	3.874
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	350.4
C11 LAS	551.6
C12 LAS	881.5
C13 LAS	510.3
C14 LAS	96.6
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)≈	2390
C12 EO 0	21.0
C12 EO2	11.0
C12 EO4	3.6
C12 EO8	0.9
C13 EO 0	7.8
C13 EO2	2.2
C13 EO4	1.8
C13 EO8	0.0
C14 EO 0	89.1
C14 EO2	8.3
C14 EO4	4.1
C14 EO8	1.3
C15 EO 0	15.8
C15 EO2	2.9
C15 EO4	0.6
C15 EO8	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	171

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	7	8		
File name	3J31Q007	3J31Q008		
Lab ID	W-1-S Wilmington Cent Sediment - D	W-2-S Wilmington Cent Sediment - D DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	18.365	18.622		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	420.3	473.0	446.6	26.3
C11 LAS	266.2	245.3	255.8	10.5
C12 LAS	120.0	147.1	133.6	13.6
C13 LAS	81.3	96.7	89.0	7.7
C14 LAS	17.1	26.5	21.8	4.7
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)≈	905	989	947	
C12 EO 0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C12 EO2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C12 EO4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
C12 EO8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	3.3	3.6	3.4	0.1
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0
C14 EO8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) ≈	5	5	5	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - D SEDIMENT
Sequence #	15
File name	3J31Q015
Lab ID	W-9-S Wilmington SEDIMENT FINE D
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	3.543
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	499.5
C11 LAS	661.2
C12 LAS	1383.9
C13 LAS	863.8
C14 LAS	133.1
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	3642
C12 EO 0	22.8
C12 EO2	8.1
C12 EO4	2.0
C12 EO8	0.5
C13 EO 0	9.4
C13 EO2	2.7
C13 EO4	1.1
C13 EO8	0.0
C14 EO 0	93.9
C14 EO2	9.4
C14 EO4	3.7
C14 EO8	1.1
C15 EO 0	20.8
C15 EO2	4.1
C15 EO4	0.9
C15 EO8	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	181

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	9	10		
File name	3J31Q009	3J31Q010		
Lab ID	W-3-S Wilmington Cenl Sediment - F	W-4-S Wilmington Cent Sediment F DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	19.469	18.407		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	42.3	39.5	40.9	1.4
C11 LAS	70.0	51.4	60.7	9.3
C12 LAS	121.3	82.2	101.7	19.5
C13 LAS	75.7	47.6	61.7	14.0
C14 LAS	21.0	12.2	16.6	4.4
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	330	233	282	
C12 EO 0	1.5	1.3	1.4	0.1
C12 EO2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1
C12 EO4	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	2.3	1.9	2.1	0.2
C14 EO2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	4	4	4	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)	BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM SEDIMENT FINES - U SEDIMENT
Sequence #	11	29	33
File name	3J31Q011	3J31Q029	3J31Q033
Lab ID	W-5-S Wilmington Sediment Fine F	B-7-S Bryan Cent Sediment-U	B-11-S Bryan Sediment Fine-U
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	3.874	20.118	15.463
Concentration, ng/g			
C10 LAS	990.1	24.4	66.7
C11 LAS	1009.8	78.4	162.2
C12 LAS	1691.9	133.9	241.4
C13 LAS	1030.8	87.7	143.2
C14 LAS	241.9	20.5	12.6
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	4965	346	626
C12 EO 0	25.8	1.0	2.5
C12 EO2	6.1	0.0	2.0
C12 EO4	2.2	0.2	0.6
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.1
C13 EO 0	37.9	0.0	0.9
C13 EO2	3.5	0.0	0.4
C13 EO4	1.3	0.0	0.5
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	82.8	0.0	6.9
C14 EO2	7.3	0.0	0.8
C14 EO4	3.1	0.1	0.4
C14 EO8	0.9	0.0	0.2
C15 EO 0	25.7	0.4	4.5
C15 EO2	4.8	0.0	0.5
C15 EO4	1.0	0.0	0.1
C15 EO8	0.7	0.0	0.1
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	203	2	20

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - M SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	27	28		
File name	3J31Q027	3J31Q028		
Lab ID	B-5-S Bryon Cent Sediment-M	B-6-S Bryon Cent Sediment-M-DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	21.417	19.468		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	37.9	32.8	35.4	2.6
C11 LAS	99.2	106.0	102.6	3.4
C12 LAS	131.4	168.1	149.7	18.4
C13 LAS	87.1	134.3	110.7	23.6
C14 LAS	25.5	54.3	39.9	14.4
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	381	496	438	
C12 EO 0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	2.6	2.6	2.6	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	4	4	4	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING SEDIMENT FINES - M SEDIMENT
Sequence #	32
File name	3J31Q032
Lab ID	B-10-S Bryan Sediment Fine-M
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	13.027
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	107.5
C11 LAS	362.4
C12 LAS	449.8
C13 LAS	174.7
C14 LAS	24.8
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	1119
C12 EO 0	4.8
C12 EO2	2.3
C12 EO4	0.8
C12 EO8	0.2
C13 EO 0	1.3
C13 EO2	0.7
C13 EO4	0.8
C13 EO8	0.0
C14 EO 0	17.2
C14 EO2	1.6
C14 EO4	0.7
C14 EO8	0.3
C15 EO 0	5.2
C15 EO2	1.0
C15 EO4	0.3
C15 EO8	0.2
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	37

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - D SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	23	24		
File name	3J31Q023	3J31Q024		
Lab ID	B-1-S Bryan Cent Sediment-D	B-2-S Bryan Cent Sediment-D-DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	19.777	20.53		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	28.4	21.8	25.1	3.3
C11 LAS	74.8	69.4	72.1	2.7
C12 LAS	103.8	107.0	105.4	1.6
C13 LAS	81.1	102.0	91.6	10.5
C14 LAS	27.4	34.4	30.9	3.6
TOTAL LAS (ng/g) =	316	335	325	
C12 EO 0	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.2
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	1.2	1.3	1.3	0.0
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	2	2	2	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM SEDIMENT FINES - D SEDIMENT
Sequence #	30
File name	3J31Q030
Lab ID	B-8-S Bryan Sediment Fine-D
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	5.811
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	373.4
C11 LAS	875.3
C12 LAS	1152.6
C13 LAS	455.5
C14 LAS	47.2
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	2904
C12 EO 0	18.0
C12 EO2	6.9
C12 EO4	1.6
C12 EO8	0.4
C13 EO 0	5.1
C13 EO2	2.4
C13 EO4	1.7
C13 EO8	0.1
C14 EO 0	55.3
C14 EO2	5.7
C14 EO4	2.4
C14 EO8	0.7
C15 EO 0	17.6
C15 EO2	3.5
C15 EO4	1.0
C15 EO8	0.4
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	123

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - F SEDIMENT	AVERAGE (ng/g)	PRECISION (Range, +/-)
Sequence #	25	26		
File name	3J31Q025	3J31Q026		
Lab ID	B-3-S Bryon Cent Sediment-F	B-4-S Bryon Cent Sediment-F-DUP		
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	19.044	16.574		
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	35.3	25.7	30.5	4.8
C11 LAS	93.2	58.1	75.6	17.6
C12 LAS	135.8	102.5	119.1	16.6
C13 LAS	115.3	98.5	106.9	8.4
C14 LAS	39.1	34.0	36.6	2.6
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)=	419	319	369	
C12 EO 0	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2
C12 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C12 EO4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
C12 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO 0	0.0	2.4	1.2	1.2
C14 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C14 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO 0	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3
C15 EO2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	1	3	2	

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 12. LAS and AS/AES Method Blank and Sediment Results (Continued)

SITE SAMPLE CODE MATRIX	BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM SEDIMENT FINES - F SEDIMENT
Sequence #	31
File name	3J31Q031
Lab ID	B-9-S Bryan Sediment Fine-F
Sample weight (g, dry wt.)	10.327
Concentration, ng/g	
C10 LAS	67.5
C11 LAS	176.7
C12 LAS	272.8
C13 LAS	197.8
C14 LAS	62.5
TOTAL LAS (ng/g)*	777
C12 EO 0	2.4
C12 EO2	1.7
C12 EO4	0.6
C12 EO8	0.1
C13 EO 0	0.0
C13 EO2	0.0
C13 EO4	0.0
C13 EO8	0.0
C14 EO 0	5.0
C14 EO2	0.4
C14 EO4	0.3
C14 EO8	0.0
C15 EO 0	1.6
C15 EO2	0.5
C15 EO4	0.0
C15 EO8	0.0
TOTAL AS/AES (OF ABOVE) =	12

Note: "0.0" = Not detected at or above 3:1 signal-to-noise.

Table 13. LAS and AES Sediment Control Spikes

LOWELL CONTROL SPIKE			LOWELL CONTROL SPIKE		
Sequence #	17		18		
File name	3J28Q017		3J28Q018		
Lab ID	L-20-S Lowell QC-LCS-AES/AS		L-21-S Lowell QC-LCS-LAS		
Sample weight (g)	20.0		20.0		
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS			3626.2	3844	94
C11 LAS			8921.5	10117	88
C12 LAS			9487.9	10075	94
C13 LAS			1742.7	1638	106
C14 LAS			355.5	333	107
	THEORY (ng/g)	% RECOVERY (1)			
C12 EO 0	35.4	366.6	10		
C12 EO2	20.0	210.3	9		
C12 EO4	7.9	80.4	10		
C12 EO8	1.0	11.9	9		
C13 EO 0	36.1	391.8	9		
C13 EO2	13.9	158.5	9		
C13 EO4	15.4	154.4	10		
C13 EO8	0.7	9.3	8		
C14 EO 0	26.2	280.3	9		
C14 EO2	10.4	111.5	9		
C14 EO4	5.5	58.1	9		
C14 EO8	1.5	15.2	10		
C15 EO 0	21.3	228.1	9		
C15 EO2	8.2	91.8	9		
C15 EO4	3.1	35.9	9		
C15 EO8	1.4	16.7	9		

(1) Low QC recoveries for AS/AES are attributed to loss during final evaporation step or degradation of the spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 13. LAS and AES Sediment Control Spikes (Continued)

WILMINGTON CONTROL SPIKE			WILMINGTON CONTROL SPIKE		
Sequence #	6	5	File name	3J31Q006	3J31Q005
Lab ID	W-16-S Wilmington QC-LCS-AS/AES	W-15-S Wilmington QC-LCS-LAS	Sample weight (g)	20.0	20.0
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS				837.2	768.8
C11 LAS				2203.4	2023.4
C12 LAS				2177.8	2015.0
C13 LAS				337.0	327.7
C14 LAS				66.2	66.6
	THEORY (ng/g)	% RECOVERY (1)			
C12 EO 0	21.1	73.3	29		
C12 EO2	9.4	42.1	22		
C12 EO4	3.1	16.1	19		
C12 EO8	0.4	2.4	15		
C13 EO 0	21.2	78.4	27		
C13 EO2	6.2	31.7	20		
C13 EO4	5.6	30.9	18		
C13 EO8	0.3	1.9	14		
C14 EO 0	15.0	56.1	27		
C14 EO2	4.4	22.3	20		
C14 EO4	1.9	11.6	17		
C14 EO8	0.5	3.0	15		
C15 EO 0	11.1	45.6	24		
C15 EO2	3.3	18.4	18		
C15 EO4	1.1	7.2	15		
C15 EO8	0.4	3.3	13		

(1) Low QC recoveries for AS/AES are attributed to loss during final evaporation step or degradation of the spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 13. LAS and AES Sediment Control Spikes (Continued)

BRYAN CONTROL SPIKE			BRYAN CONTROL SPIKE		
Sequence #	36	File name	3J31Q036	Sequence #	34
Lab ID	B-14-S Bryon Sediment QC-LCS-AS/AES	Sample weight (g)	20.0	Lab ID	B-12-S Bryon Sediment QC-LCS-LAS
Concentration, ng/L					
C10 LAS				721.6	788.8
C11 LAS				1971.6	2023.4
C12 LAS				2038.5	2015.0
C13 LAS				329.9	327.7
C14 LAS				63.2	66.6
		THEORY (ng/g)	% RECOVERY (1)		
C12 EO 0	21.7	73.3	30		
C12 EO2	9.7	42.1	23		
C12 EO4	3.3	16.1	21		
C12 EO8	0.3	2.4	14		
C13 EO 0	20.0	78.4	26		
C13 EO2	6.7	31.7	21		
C13 EO4	6.5	30.9	21		
C13 EO8	0.3	1.9	16		
C14 EO 0	14.5	56.1	26		
C14 EO2	4.6	22.3	21		
C14 EO4	2.1	11.6	18		
C14 EO8	0.5	3.0	16		
C15 EO 0	11.0	45.6	24		
C15 EO2	3.5	18.4	19		
C15 EO4	1.2	7.2	17		
C15 EO8	0.4	3.3	11		

(1) Low QC recoveries for AS/AES are attributed to loss during final evaporation step or degradation of the spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes

LOWELL MATRIX SPIKE		LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT			
Sequence #	47.0		33		
File name	3J29Q047		3J29Q033		
Lab ID	L-16-S Lowell Sediment QC-MS-AS/AES (CENT U)		L-8-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-U-DUP		
Sample weight (g)	16.4		17.024		
Concentration, ng/g					
C10 LAS	0.0		31.7		
C11 LAS	0.0		133.6		
C12 LAS	0.0		254.6	NET	THEORETICAL
C13 LAS	485.0		183.8	CONC'N	CONC'N
C14 LAS	325.1		111.1	(ng/g)	(ng/g)
					RECOVERY (1)
C12 EO 0	159.8		0.0	159.8	366.6
C12 EO2	46.9		0.0	46.9	210.3
C12 EO4	21.7		0.0	21.7	80.4
C12 EO8	1.6		0.1	1.5	11.9
C13 EO 0	149.0		0.0	149.0	391.8
C13 EO2	43.2		0.0	43.2	158.5
C13 EO4	37.3		0.1	37.2	154.4
C13 EO8	0.9		0.0	0.9	9.3
C14 EO 0	74.7		0.8	73.9	280.3
C14 EO2	28.3		0.0	28.3	111.5
C14 EO4	15.7		0.1	15.6	58.1
C14 EO8	3.6		0.1	3.5	15.2
C15 EO 0	66.6		0.0	66.6	228.1
C15 EO2	24.4		0.0	24.4	91.8
C15 EO4	8.5		0.0	8.5	35.9
C15 EO8	2.9		0.0	2.9	16.7

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

	LOWELL MATRIX SPIKE	LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT
Sequence #	48.0	33
File name	3J29Q048	3J29Q033
Lab ID	L-17-S Lowell Sediment QC-MSD-AS/AES ((CENT U))	L-8-S Lowell Cent. Sediment-U- DUP
Sample weight (g)	17.0	17.024
Concentration, ng/g		
C10 LAS	16.9	31.7
C11 LAS	108.3	133.6
C12 LAS	215.8	254.6
C13 LAS	226.5	183.8
C14 LAS	137.0	111.1
	(ng/g)	(ng/g)
C12 EO 0	47.6	0.0
C12 EO2	19.5	47.6
C12 EO4	6.6	19.5
C12 EO8	0.7	210.3
C13 EO 0	42.4	0.0
C13 EO2	12.4	42.4
C13 EO4	12.5	12.4
C13 EO8	0.5	158.5
C14 EO 0	27.0	0.1
C14 EO2	8.5	12.3
C14 EO4	3.8	154.4
C14 EO8	0.8	0.5
C15 EO 0	16.2	0.0
C15 EO2	5.3	16.2
C15 EO4	1.8	5.3
C15 EO8	0.6	91.8
		NET CONC'N
		THEORETICAL CONC'N
		RECOVERY (1) (%)

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

LOWELL MATRIX SPIKE		LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT		
Sequence #	49.0	NET CONC'N (ng/g)	THEORETICAL CONC'N (ng/g)	RECOVERY (%)
File name	3J29Q049			
Lab ID	L-18-S Lowell Sediment QC-MS-LAS (CENT U)			
Sample weight (g)	16.0			
Concentration, ng/g				
C10 LAS	4439.2	31.7	4407.5	3843.9
C11 LAS	10197.1	133.6	10063.5	10116.9
C12 LAS	10130.6	254.6	9876.0	10075.2
C13 LAS	1872.5	183.8	1688.7	1638.5
C14 LAS	442.4	111.1	331.2	332.9
C12 EO 0				115
C12 EO2				99
C12 EO4				98
C12 EO8				103
C13 EO 0				100
C13 EO2				
C13 EO4				
C13 EO8				
C14 EO 0				
C14 EO2				
C14 EO4				
C14 EO8				
C15 EO 0				
C15 EO2				
C15 EO4				
C15 EO8				

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

Sequence #	LOWELL MATRIX SPIKE		LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT		
	File name	Lab ID	NET CONC'N (ng/g)	THEORETICAL CONC'N (ng/g)	RECOVERY (%)
Sample weight (g)	50.0 3J29Q050	L-19-S Lowell Sediment QC-MSD-LAS (CENT U)	16.1		
Concentration, ng/g					
C10 LAS	4311.4		31.7	4279.8	3843.9
C11 LAS	10659.2		133.6	10525.6	10116.9
C12 LAS	10410.8		254.6	10156.3	10075.2
C13 LAS	1969.3		183.8	1785.6	1638.5
C14 LAS	475.9		111.1	364.7	332.9
C12 EO 0					
C12 EO2					
C12 EO4					
C12 EO8					
C13 EO 0					
C13 EO2					
C13 EO4					
C13 EO8					
C14 EO 0					
C14 EO2					
C14 EO4					
C14 EO8					
C15 EO 0					
C15 EO2					
C15 EO4					
C15 EO8					

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT						
Sequence #		4.0	14			
File name		3J31Q004		3J31Q014		
Lab ID						
Sample weight (g)	W-17-S Wilmington QC-MS - AS/AES (CENT SED U) -R		W-8-S Wilmington Cent Sediment U DUP (NS)			
Concentration, ng/g		16.0	16.82			
C10 LAS						
C11 LAS		94.9		61.2		
C12 LAS		74.4		77.9		
C13 LAS		111.8		123.9	NET CONC'N (ng/g)	THEORETICAL CONC'N (ng/g)
C14 LAS		60.3		79.3		
		11.6		21.1		RECOVERY (1) (%)
C12 EO 0						
C12 EO2		27.4		1.3	26.2	73.3
C12 EO4		9.7		0.1	9.6	42.1
C12 EO8		3.2		0.1	3.1	16.1
C13 EO 0						
C13 EO2		23.4		0.0	23.4	78.4
C13 EO4		6.0		0.0	6.0	31.7
C13 EO8		5.2		0.0	5.2	30.9
C14 EO 0						
C14 EO2		14.5		0.8	13.7	56.1
C14 EO4		3.8		0.0	3.8	22.3
C14 EO8		1.5		0.0	1.5	11.6
C15 EO 0						
C15 EO2		7.3		0.0	7.3	45.6
C15 EO4		1.7		0.0	1.7	18.4
C15 EO8		0.5		0.0	0.5	7.2
		0.2		0.0	0.2	3.3

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

WILMINGTON MATRIX SPIKE		WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT		
Sequence #	Sample weight (g)	NET CONC'N (ng/g)	THEORETICAL CONC'N (ng/g)	RECOVERY (%)
File name	19.0			
Lab ID	3J31Q019			
C10 LAS	14.9			
C11 LAS	1060.2	81.2	978.9	127
C12 LAS	2811.6	77.9	2733.6	135
C13 LAS	2697.1	123.9	2573.2	128
C14 LAS	314.0	79.3	234.7	72
	63.9	21.1	42.8	64
C12 EO 0				
C12 EO2	0.0			
C12 EO4	0.0			
C12 EO8	0.0			
C13 EO 0				
C13 EO2	0.0			
C13 EO4	0.0			
C13 EO8	0.0			
C14 EO 0				
C14 EO2	1.4			
C14 EO4	0.0			
C14 EO8	0.0			
C15 EO 0				
C15 EO2	0.0			
C15 EO4	0.0			
C15 EO8	0.0			
	0.0			

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

BRYAN MATRIX SPIKE		BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)				
Sequence #				NET CONC'N (ng/g)	THEORETICAL CONC'N (ng/g)	RECOVERY (%)
File name	35.0		29			
Lab ID	3J31Q035		3J31Q029			
Sample weight (g)	B-13-S Bryan Sediment QC-MS-LAS (CENT SED U)		B-7-S Bryan Cent Sediment-U			
Concentration, ng/g		17.1	20.118			
C10 LAS						
C11 LAS		843.3		24.4	818.9	768.8
C12 LAS		2226.6		78.4	2148.1	2023.4
C13 LAS		2050.2		133.9	1916.3	2015.0
C14 LAS		332.8		87.7	245.1	327.7
		49.9		20.5	29.3	66.6
C12 EO 0						
C12 EO2		0.8				
C12 EO4		0.0				
C12 EO8		0.2				
C13 EO 0						
C13 EO2		0.0				
C13 EO4		0.3				
C13 EO8		0.0				
C14 EO 0						
C14 EO2		0.0				
C14 EO4		0.0				
C14 EO8		0.0				
C15 EO 0						
C15 EO2		0.0				
C15 EO4		0.0				
C15 EO8		0.0				
		0.0				

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 14. LAS and AES Sediment Matrix Spikes (Continued)

BRYAN MATRIX SPIKE		BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM CENTRIFUGED SEDIMENT - U SEDIMENT (SIDE STREAM COMPOSITE)			
Sequence #					
File name	37.0		29		
Lab ID	3J31Q037		3J31Q029		
Sample weight (g)	B-15-S Bryon Sediment QC-MS-AE/AES (CENT SED U)		B-7-S Bryon Cent Sediment-U		
Concentration, ng/g	16.7	20.118			
C10 LAS	120.1		24.4		
C11 LAS	254.6		78.4		
C12 LAS	352.0		133.9	NET	THEORETICAL
C13 LAS	186.4		87.7	CONC'N	CONC'N
C14 LAS	22.7		20.5	(ng/g)	(ng/g)
C12 EO 0	32.8		1.0	31.8	73.3
C12 EO2	15.0		0.0	15.0	42.1
C12 EO4	4.3		0.2	4.1	16.1
C13 EO 0	30.3		0.0	30.3	78.4
C13 EO2	8.8		0.0	8.8	31.7
C13 EO4	8.2		0.0	8.2	30.9
C13 EO8					
C14 EO 0	22.8		0.0	22.8	56.1
C14 EO2	5.3		0.0	5.3	22.3
C14 EO4	2.2		0.1	2.1	11.6
C14 EO8					
C15 EO 0	11.2		0.4	10.9	45.8
C15 EO2	2.6		0.0	2.6	18.4
C15 EO4	0.8		0.0	0.8	7.2
C15 EO8	0.3		0.0	0.3	3.3

(1) Low recoveries appear to be related to nitrogen blow-down step or degradation of spiking standard. See discussion in Section 2.2.2.

Table 15. Boron Sample and QC Results

SAMPLE RESULTS		BORON CONC'N	
SITE	SAMPLE CODE	FOUND (ug/L)	
LOWELL, IN - HOTEL WATER	FIELD BLANK - B	ND <100	
LOWELL, IN - UPSTREAM	SURF WATER - U	117	
LOWELL, IN - RAW INFLUENT	STP INFLUENT - R	604	
LOWELL, IN - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	590	
LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE	SURF WATER - D	334	
LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	SURF WATER - M	481	
LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP	SURF WATER - M - DUP	498	
LOWELL, IN - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - F	327	
WILMINGTON, OH - UPSTREAM	SURF WATER - U	ND<100	
WILMINGTON, OH - RAW INFLUENT	STP INFLUENT - R	608	
WILMINGTON, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	523	
WILMINGTON, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF WATER - M	221	
WILMINGTON, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - D	183	
WILMINGTON, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - F	153	
BRYAN, OH - UPSTREAM	SURF WATER - U	103	
BRYAN, OH - RAW INFLUENT	STP INFLUENT - R	288	
BRYAN, OH - EFFLUENT	STP EFFLUENT - E	279	
BRYAN, OH - END OF MIXING	SURF WATER - M	204	
BRYAN, OH - DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - D	209	
BRYAN, OH - FAR DOWNSTREAM	SURF WATER - F	189	
QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS			
SITE - SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	SPIKED CONC'N (ug/L)	RESULT
NA	METHOD BLANK	0	ND<100
NA	LAB CONTROL SPIKE	1000	97% RECOVERY
LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE (SURF WATER -D)	SAMPLE MATRIX SPIKE	1000	97% RECOVERY
LOWELL, IN - DISCHARGE (SURF WATER -D)	MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE	1000	97% RECOVERY
		AVERAGE RECOVERY =	97%
LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING	FIELD DUPLICATE	481	FOUND (ug/L)
LOWELL, IN - END OF MIXING - DUP	FIELD DUPLICATE	498	
		AVERAGE FOUND =	490 ug/L
		RANGE (+/-) =	9 ug/L

Note: "ND" = Not detected at or above reporting limit (i.e., lowest standard).

Table 16. QC Measurements, Objectives, and Results

QC measurement	QC objective	QC results
Decontamination of all glassware per AE validation report, with the addition of an acid rinse for LAS and AS/AES glassware.	Preventative measures to reduce or eliminate laboratory surfactant background levels.	With few exceptions, method blanks were demonstrated to be below reporting limits for all methods.
One method blank (reagents only) for each sample set to measure laboratory background.	Method blanks below reporting limits.	With few exceptions, method blanks were demonstrated to be below reporting limits for all methods.
Reagent spiked samples for the aqueous water sample sets will consist of surfactants spiked into Milli-Q® reagent water and extracted with the test samples to demonstrate recovery and method performance in the absence of matrix effects. Spike levels will be at 10X the estimated residual concentrations of the samples.	30% to 150% Recovery.	Average recoveries for AE were within objectives, with the exception of some chemicals that were spiked at lower concentrations (e.g., < 10 ng/L). LAS recoveries met this objective, but AS/AES recoveries did not meet this objective. Low recoveries for AES may be attributed to the presence of trace amounts of methanol in the spiking solution, loss due to evaporation during final preparation, or possibly degradation of the standard solution used to spike the QC samples.
Reagent spiked samples for the sediment sample sets will consist of surfactant spiked organic extraction solvent (Fraction 1, acetonitrile, for AE study or methanol for the other surfactants) for measurement of recovery without matrix effects.	50% to 150% Recovery.	Average AE recovery was slightly below this objective at 44%. LAS recoveries met this objective, but AES recoveries were below this objective (~ 9% to 30% of theory). Low AES recoveries may be attributed to reasons discussed above.
Spiked sediment samples will be prepared to measure recovery of surfactants from actual samples. Spike levels will be at 10X the estimated residual concentrations of the samples.	30% to 150% Recovery.	AE spiked sediment generally met this objective when spiked at > 10 times residual concentrations. AES spiked sediments were generally below this objective, possibly due to reasons discussed above. LAS spiked sediments were near 100% recovered.
Duplicate analysis of each sediment sample to measure precision and reproducibility.	30% relative percent difference.	In general, precision of both aqueous and sediment samples that were analyzed in duplicate met this objective.
Minimum of 4-point calibration curves for AE (as combined formulated standard fortified with additional alcohols), LAS formulated product, and AS/AES formulated product to establish linearity of response.	Correlation coefficient of 0.99 or better. Average calibration response factor ≤ 30% relative standard deviation.	Correlation coefficients were better than 0.99 for all chemicals using 5-point standard curves. With some exceptions, precision of relative response factors were < 30% RSD. Continuing calibration standards were run after approximately every 10 samples to monitor instrument performance. A verification standard was analyzed to validate the calibration curves.