

Sponsor:

The Soap and Detergent Association New York, New York

FINAL REPORT

Study Title:

Primary Eye Irritation Study of Nonionic Surfactants in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)

Author:

Steven M. Glaza

Study Completion Date:

February 27, 1996

Performing Laboratory:

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 3301 Kinsman Boulevard Madison, Wisconsin 53704

<u>Laboratory Project Identification</u>:

HWI 6310-105

Page 1 of 61

QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT

This report has been reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit of Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc., in accordance with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Good Laboratory Practice Regulations, 21 CFR 58.35 (b) (6) (7). The following inspections were conducted and findings reported to the Study Director and management. Written status reports of inspections and findings are issued to Hazleton management monthly according to standard operating procedures.

Inspecti <u>From</u>	on Dates To	Phase	Date Reported to Study Director	Date to Management
09/10/94 10/18/94 03/23/95 06/19/95	09/10/94 10/18/94 03/23/95	Protocol Review Dose Preparation Protocol Amendment	09/13/94 10/18/94 03/23/95	10/10/94 11/10/94 04/10/95
06/19/95	06/20/95 07/10/95	Protocol Amendment Data/Report Review	06/20/95 07/10/95	07/10/95 08/10/95

Representative, Quality Assurance Unit

STUDY IDENTIFICATION

Primary Eye Irritation Study of Nonionic Surfactants in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)

1. A₁₂₋₁₃EO_{6.5} (Neodol 23-6.5)
2. A₁₂₋₁₄EO₇ (Alfonic 1412-7)
3. A₈₋₁₀EO₅ (Alfonic 810-5)
4. A₁₂₋₁₃EO₃ (Neodol 23-3)
5. A₁₂EO₂₃ (Brij-35)
6. NonyTphenol - EO_{9.5} (Triton N101)
7. Sorbitan oleate - EO₂₀ (Tween 85)
8. A₁₂₋₁₆ - glucose_{1.6} (Glucopon 625CS)
9. Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) Test Materials 10. Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD) Sponsor The Soap and Detergent Association 475 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 Sponsor's Representative Richard I. Sedlak, PhD The Soap and Detergent Association 475 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 (212) 725-1262 Study Director Steven M. Glaza Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. P.O. Box 7545 Madison, WI 53707-7545 (608) 241-7292 Study Locations <u>Initial Test</u> Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. Building No. 3 3802 Packers Avenue Madison, WI 53704 <u>Additional Animals</u> Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 3301 Kinsman Boulevard Madison, WI 53707

Study Timetable
Study Initiation Date
Experimental (In-life) Start Date
In-life End Date
Experimental Termination Date
Study Completion Date

October 13, 1994 October 18, 1994 April 7, 1995 April 7, 1995 February 27, 1996

KEY PERSONNEL

Acute Toxicology

Steven M. Glaza Study Director Manager

Steven R. Sorenson Study Coordinator

Patricia Padgham In-life Supervisor

Rose M. Bridge Report Supervisor

Toxicology Support

Kathy Myers Manager

Calvin L. Horton Supervisor

Laboratory Animal Medicine

Cindy J. Cary, DVM Diplomate, ACLAM

Quality Assurance

Sherry R. W. Petsel Manager

Page 5 of 61

HWI 6310-105

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Quality Assurance Statement Study Identification Key Personnel Objectives Regulatory Compliance Test Materials Test System Procedures Results/Discussion Conclusion Signature Reference	2 3 4 6 6 6 7 8 10 11 11
Table 1 Average Primary Eye Irritation Scores 2 Maximum Average Scores	12 13
Appendix A Individual Body Weights (g), Individual Eye Irritation Scores, and Sodium Fluorescein Examinations	14
Appendix B Protocol, Protocol Amendment No. 1, and Protocol Amendment No. 2	46

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were to develop a reliable, consistent database of eye irritation scores on nonionic surfactants to use for evaluating the ability of nonanimal tests to predict eye irritation and to determine if the eye irritation potential of nonionic surfactants can be predicted from structural or surfactant properties.

REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This study was conducted in accordance with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Good Laboratory Practice Regulations for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies, 21 CFR 58 with the exception that analysis of the test material mixtures for concentration and stability was not conducted. All procedures used in this study were in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act Regulations. In the opinion of the Sponsor and study director, the study did not unnecessarily duplicate any previous work.

TEST MATERIALS

The test materials were identified and described as follows:

	Test Material Identification (Trade Name)	Physical Description
9.	$A_{12-13}EO_{6.5}$ (Neodol 23-6.5) $A_{12-14}EO_{7}$ (Alfonic 1412-7) $A_{8-10}EO_{5}$ (Alfonic 810-5) $A_{12-13}EO_{3}$ (Neodol 23-3) $A_{12}EO_{23}$ (Brij-35) Nonylphenol - $EO_{9.5}$ (Triton N101) Sorbitan oleate - EO_{20} (Tween 85) A_{12-16} - glucose _{1.6} (Glucopon 625CS) Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)	Cloudy, white liquid Viscous, cloudy, white liquid Clear, colorless liquid Cloudy, white liquid White solid Clear, colorless liquid Amber liquid Amber semisolid Clear, colorless liquid Clear, light-yellow liquid

A Alcohol (subscript is the number of carbons in the alcohol chain).

EO Ethylene oxide units (subscript is the number of moles of ethylene oxide present).

Purity and Stability

The Sponsor assumes responsibility for purity and stability determinations (including under test conditions) of the undiluted materials. Homogeneity of the test mixtures was verified by visual inspection. Analysis of the test material mixtures for concentration and stability was not conducted or requested by the Sponsor. Samples of test material/vehicle mixtures for

physical and chemical analysis were taken before administration and sent to the individuals indicated in the Preparation of Test Materials section of this report. The analysis of these samples is the responsibility of the Sponsor.

Storage and Retention

The test materials were stored at room temperature. A reserve sample of each batch/lot of test material and each prepared test mixture was taken and will be retained in a freezer set to maintain a temperature of below 0° for 1 year. The Sponsor will be contacted after 1 year regarding the final disposition of the reserve samples. Any unused test material will be discarded 1 year after issuance of the final report according to Hazleton Wisconsin (HWI) Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).

Safety Precautions

The test material handling procedures were according to HWI SOPs and policies.

TEST SYSTEM

Test Animal

Adult albino rabbits of the Hra:(NZW)SPF strain were procured from HRP, Inc. The animals used in the initial test were received from Kalamazoo, Michigan on September 21, 1994. The additional animals treated with Alfonic 1412-7 (0.1-mL dose volume) were received from Denver, Pennsylvania on February 8, 1995.

Housing

After receipt, the animals were acclimated for a period of at least 7 days. During acclimation and throughout the study, the animals were individually housed in screen-bottom stainless steel cages. Environmental controls for the animal room were set to maintain a temperature of 19° to 23° C, a relative humidity of $50\% \pm 20\%$, and a 12-hour light/12-hour dark lighting cycle. In cases where variations from these conditions existed, they were documented and considered to have had no adverse effect on the study outcome.

Animal Diet

The animals were provided access to water *ad libitum* and a measured amount of Laboratory Rabbit Diet HF #5326, PMI Feeds, Inc. The feed is routinely analyzed by the manufacturer for nutritional components and environmental contaminants. Samples of the water are periodically analyzed by HWI. There were no known contaminants in the feed or water at levels that would have interfered with or affected the results of the study.

Animal Selection

For the initial test, 16 male and 17 female healthy, acclimated animals, weighing from 2,550 to 3,010 g at initiation of treatment, were assigned to study groups (eleven groups of three animals each) using a computer-generated random numbers list. Six additional healthy, acclimated animals (three males and three females), weighing from 2,833 to 3,117 g, were selected at random and used for an additional group. The animals were identified by animal number and corresponding ear tag. All animals were chosen based on health and body weight requirements. The animals' eyes were examined on the day before test material administration using sodium fluorescein dye procedures. Only those animals with no sign of ocular injury or irritation were used.

<u>Justification for Species Selection</u>

Historically, the New Zealand White albino rabbit has been the animal of choice based on its large orbit and nonpigmented iris.

PROCEDURES

<u>Preparation of Test Materials</u>

Each test material was prepared as a 0.2 M solution/suspension by diluting the appropriate amount of test material with deionized water. The Lauramine oxide was prepared and tested in two groups of three rabbits, one group with a 0.2 M mixture with the pH adjusted to approximately 10.5 (Group 9) and the other group with a 0.2 M mixture with the pH adjusted to approximately 7.0 (Group 11). The pH of each solution/suspension was determined. The test material mixtures were prepared fresh on the day of dosing and stored at room temperature until administered.

A 110-mL subsample of each prepared test material mixture used in the initial test was obtained and stored at room temperature until being shipped 2 days after preparation. The subsamples were packed in insulated containers and shipped at ambient conditions to each of the following individuals for analysis of physical and/or chemical properties: Joseph Kwiatkowski, United States Testing Company, Inc., Chemical Services, 1415 Park Avenue, Hoboken, NJ 07030; Victor P. Janule, SensaDyne Instruments, 2855 East Brown Road, Suite #19, Mesa, AZ 85275; and Dewey Smith, Vista Chemical Company, 12025 Vista Park Drive, Austin, TX 78725-4050. The Sponsor is responsible for the conduct of these analyses, the retention of the data, and/or for reporting of the results.

Subsamples of the Alfonic 1412-7 test mixture used to treat the additional group of animals were shipped to the above individuals but analysis of these samples was not required by the Sponsor.

Treatment

Each 0.2 M test mixture was administered to a group of three animals in the initial test as follows:

<u>Group</u>	Test Material	Approximate pH of Test Mixture
1 2 3	A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO _{6.5} (Neodol 23-6.5) A ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7) A ₈₋₁₀ EO ₅ (Alfonic 810-5)	5.4 5.8 6.0
4 5	A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO ₃ (Neodol 23-3) A ₁₂ EO ₂₃ (Brij-35)	5.1 3.1
6	NonyTphenol - EO _{g.5} (Triton N101) Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)	6.1
7	Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)	7.4
8	A ₁₂₋₁₆ - glucose _{l 6} (Gĺucopon 625CS) Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	9.0
9	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	10.5*
10	Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)	10.0
11	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	7.0**

- * The pH of the test mixture was adjusted to 10.5 using approximately 3 drops of a 50% w/v mixture of sodium hydroxide in deionized water. The pH of the original test mixture was 7.4.
- ** The pH of the test mixture was adjusted to 7.0 using approximately 2 drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid. The pH of the original test mixture was 7.4.

Each rabbit in the initial test received 10 μ L of the respective test material mixture placed directly on the corneal surface of the right eye, with the left eye serving as the untreated control. The eyelids were released without forced blinking or manipulation.

An additional group of six animals was subsequently treated with a 0.2 M Alfonic 1412-7 test mixture. The test material mixture was prepared in the same manner used for the initial test. The pH of this test material mixture was determined to be 5.6. Each animal in the additional group received 0.1 mL of the test material mixture placed into the everted lower lid of the right eye, with the left eye serving as the untreated control. The upper and lower lids were gently held together for 1 second to prevent loss of material and then released.

The eyes of the rabbits in all groups remained unflushed immediately after treatment.

Reason for Route of Administration

Historically, the ocular route has been the route of choice based on the method of Draize. 1

Observations

The treated eyes of all animals in each group were observed for ocular irritation at 1, 24, 48, and 72 hours after treatment. Additional observations were made at 96 hours for the animals in Groups 1, 3, and 6. The additional group of animals treated with Alfonic 1412-7 (0.1-mL dose volume) were also observed at 96 hours and Days 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, and 21 after treatment. Scoring was discontinued for each group once all treated eyes within that group cleared of irritation. After recording the 24-hour observations, sodium fluorescein was used to aid in revealing any possible corneal injury. Irritation was graded and according to the Draize technique using a penlight as the source of illumination. All eye abnormalities were recorded.

Animals were weighed just before test material administration and at weekly intervals throughout the study (when applicable).

Termination

At termination of the in-life phase for each group, the animals were designated to be euthanized and discarded.

Statistical Analyses

No statistical analyses were required by the protocol.

Location of Raw Data, Records, and Final Report

The raw data, records, and an original signed copy of the final report will be retained in the archives of HWI in accordance with HWI SOP.

RESULTS/DISCUSSION

Average primary eye irritation scores are in Table 1, with the maximum average scores in Table 2. Individual body weights, individual eye irritation scores, and sodium fluorescein examination results are in Appendix A.

CONCLUSION

Based on the maximum average scores (MAS) the relative ranking of the materials from least to most irritating would be as follows:

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Group</u>	<u>Test Material</u>	MAS <u>Score</u>	Time of MAS Score (Hour)
1	4	A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO ₃ (Neodol 23-3) Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)	0.0	1
2	7	Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)	0.7	1
3	5	$A_{10}EO_{00}$ (Brij-35)	1.3	1
4	2	A_{12}^{12} , EO_{7} (Alfonic 1412-7)	4.7	1
5	1	$A_{12}^{12-14}EO_{c}^{\prime}$ (Neodol 23-6.5)	7.0	1
6	10	A ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7) A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO _{6,5} (Neodol 23-6.5) Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)	7.3	1
7	8	A_{12-16} - glucose _{1.6} (Glucopon 625CS) $A_{8-10}EO_5$ (Alfonic 810-5)	8.7	1
8	3	$A_{\alpha}^{12-1}EO_{\alpha}$ (Alfonic 810-5)	11.3	1
9	11	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	11.7	1
10	9	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) ^b	13.0	1
11	6	Nonviphenol - EO (Triton N101)	13.3	1
12	2°	Nonylphenol - EO _{9.5} (Triton N101) A ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7)	17.3	24

- a pH adjusted to approximately 7.0.
- b pH adjusted to approximately 10.5.
- c Additional Group 2 animals (treated with 0.1 mL of test material mixture).

SIGNATURE

Steven M. Glaza Study Director Acute Toxicology Date

REFERENCE

1. Draize, J. H., "Eye Mucosa," In: Appraisal of the Safety of Chemicals in Foods, Drugs and Cosmetics - Dermal Toxicity, Association of Food and Drug Officials of the U.S., pp. 49-50 (1959).

Table 1
Average Primary Eye Irritation Scores*

				Hour					Da	У		
Group	<u>Test Material</u>	_1_	_24_	_48_	_72_	96		_10_	_13_	16	_19_	21
1	A ₁₂₋₁₃ E0 _{6.5} (Neodol 23-6.5) A ₁₂₋₁₄ E0 ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7) A ₁₂₋₁₄ E0 ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7)	7.0	2.7	2.0	1.3	0.0	_	_	_	-	_	-
2	$A_{12-14}^{12}E0_{7}^{3}$ (Alfonic 1412-7)	4.7	2.7	2.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20		10.3	17.3	10.7	8.8	7.0	3.2	2.8	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.8
3	A_{0}^{12-1} \overline{E} $0_{5}'$ (Alfonic 810-5)	11.3	4.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	A_{12}^{-10} E_{02}^{-10} (Neodol 23-3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
5	A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO ₃ (Neodol 23-3) A ₁₂ EO ₂₃ (Brij-35)	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
6	Nonvibhenol - EO _{o r} (Triton N101)	13.3	11.7	3.3	2.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Sorbitan oleate 9.E0 (Tween 85) A12-16 - glucose (Glucopon 625CS) Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	A _{12,16} - glucose _{1,6} (Glucopon 625CS)	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) ^a	11.7	2.0	0.7	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

^{*} The average primary eye irritation score is the total eye irritation score for all the animals divided by the number of animals in each group (3 or 6).

⁻ Not applicable.

a pH adjusted to approximately 7.0.

b pH adjusted to approximately 10.5.

c Additional Group 2 animals (treated with 0.1 mL of test material mixture).

Table 2
Maximum Average Scores

Test <u>Group</u>	<u>Material</u>	MAS*	MAS Time (Hour)	Irritation Clearance Times**	Median Days to Clear†	Source of Score;
1	A ₁₂₋₁₃ E0 _{6.5} (Neodol 23-6.5) A ₁₂₋₁₄ E0 ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7) A ₁₂₋₁₄ E0 ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7) A ₈₋₁₀ E0 ₈ (Neodol 23-3)	7.0	1	72h, 96h, 96h	96h	IR, CJ
2	A ₁₂ 1 ₄ EO ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7)	4.7	1	72h, 72h, 72h	72h	CO, CJ
2 ^C	$A_{12-14}^{12-14}EO_7'$ (Alfonic 1412-7)	17.3	24	7d, 7d, 7d, 10d, 13d, 21+	8.5d	CO, IR, CJ
3	$A_{9-10}^{12-14}EO_{5}'$ (Alfonic 810-5)	11.3	1	48h, 48h, 96h	48h	IR, CJ
4	A _{12 13} EO ₂ (Neodol 23-3)	0.0	1	††, ††, ††	-	-
5	A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO ₃ (Neodol 23-3) A ₁₂ EO ₂₃ (Brij-35)	1.3	1	††, 24h, 24h	24h	CJ
6	Nonyiphenol - EO _{9 - 5} (Triton N101) Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)	13.3	1	96h, 96h, 96h	96h	CO, IR, CJ
7	Sorbitan oleate = EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)	0.7	1	††, ††, 24h	<24h	CJ
8	A ₁₂₋₁₆ - glucose _{1 6} (Glucopon 625CS) Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	8.7	1	24h, 24h, 24h	24h	IR, CJ
9	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)	13.0	1	24h, 24h, 24h	24h	IR, CJ
10	Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)	7.3	1	24h, 24h, 24h	24h	IR, CJ
11	Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) ^a	11.7	1	48h, 48h, 72h	48h	IR, CJ

^{*} The maximum average score (MAS) is the highest average primary eye irritation score recorded for any given observation period.

- # CO Corneal; IR Iris; CJ Conjunctivae
- †† No irritation observed.
- Not applicable.
- h Hour.
- d Day.
- Irritation still present.
- a pH adjusted to approximately 7.0.
- b pH adjusted to approximately 10.5.
- c Additional Group 2 animals (treated with 0.1 mL of test material mixture).

^{**} The times presented are the individual clearance times, in ascending order, for each animal in the indicated group.

[†] The median days to clear is determined by ranking the individual animal irritation clearance times in ascending order and then either selecting the middle value or determining the mean of the two middle values (Group 2^C only).

APPENDIX A

Individual Body Weights Individual Eye Irritation Scores Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Individual Body Weights (g)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Initial</u>	7		21
	<u>Group</u>	<u>1 - A₁₂₋₁₃EO</u> ₆	.5 (Neodol	23-6.5)	
F52372 F52377 F52355	M F M	2,800 2,720 3,010	- - -	-	- - -
Group	2 (Initi	al Animals)	<u>- A₁₂₋₁₄EO₇₋</u>	(Alfonic 1	412-7)
F52382 F52364 F52383	F F	2,741 2,669 2,887	- - -	- - -	- - -
Group 2	(Additio	onal Animals)	<u> - A₁₂₋₁₄EC</u>	₇ (Alfonic	1412-7)
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	M M F F	3,117 3,095 2,833 2,905 3,053 2,917	3,223 3,148 2,918 2,973 3,131 2,972	3,314 3,136† 2,942 3,051 3,199 3,039	3,309† 3,234 3,065 3,118 3,307 3,140
	<u>Grou</u>	<u>р 3 - А₈₋₁₀ЕО</u>	(Alfonic	810-5)	
F52371 F52379 F52366	F M M	2,782 2,718 2,774	-	- - -	- - -
	Grou	up 4 - A ₁₂₋₁₃ E	<u>0₃ (Neodol</u>	23-3)	
F52374 F52380 F52351	M M F	2,814 2,688 2,707	-	-	- - -
	<u>0</u>	Group 5 - A ₁₂ [EO ₂₃ (Brij-	<u>35)</u>	
F52352 F52367 F52368	F M M	2,776 2,637 2,626	- - -	- - -	- - -

Not applicable.† Denotes weight loss from previous weighing.

Individual Body Weights (g)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Initial</u>		Day 14	21
	Group 6 -	Nonylphenol	- EO _{9.5} (Triton N101)	•
F52357 F52365 F52363	F F F	2,771 2,754 2,780	- - -	-	-
	Group 7 -	Sorbitan ol	eate - EO ₂	(Tween 85)	
F52360 F52354 F52358	M M F	2,625 2,756 2,864	- - -	- - -	-
,	Group 8 -	<u>A₁₂₋₁₆ - gluc</u>	ose _{1.6} (Glu	ucopon 625CS)
F52373 F52362 F52361	M M M	2,581 2,966 2,610	- - -	- - -	-
Group	9 - Laura	mine oxide (Incromine	Oxide L); 1	0.5 pH
F52370 F52359 F52356	F F M	2,875 2,910 2,726	- - -	- - -	-
	Group 1	0 - Cocamide	DEA (Star	ndamid KD)	
F52369 F52375 F52353	F F F	2,736 2,676 2,585	- - -	- - - ,	- - -
Group	11 - Laur	amine oxide	(Incromine	e Oxide L);	7.0 pH
F52378 F52384 F52376	M M F	2,933 2,622 2,550	- - -	- - -	- -

Not applicable.† Denotes weight loss from previous weighing.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 1 - $A_{12-13}EO_{6.5}$ (Neodol 23-6.5)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>D</u>	njunc <u>E</u>	tivae	Ē	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour					
F52372 ^t F52377 ^t F52355 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 ⁱ	1 1 1	1 1 1		1° 0 1°	6.0 4.0 11.0
						Mean		7.0
			24 Hours	<u>i</u>				
F52372 F52377 F52355	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 2	0 0 0		0 0 0	2.0 2.0 4.0
						Mean		2.7
			48 Hours	<u>i.</u>				
F52372 F52377 F52355	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	0 0 0		0 0 0	2.0 2.0 2.0
						Mean		2.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>				<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree of B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irri	e of irio	lal			dness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. c Clear discharge. i Injected. t No pain response after test material instillation.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 1 - $A_{12-13}EO_{6.5}$ (Neodol 23-6.5) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>njuncti</u> <u>E</u>	vae	Total <u>FScore</u> *
			72 Hour	<u>'S</u>			
F52372 F52377 F52355	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0	0 0 0	(2.0
					M	ean	1.3
			96 Hour	<u>s</u>			
F52372 F52377 F52355	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	(0.0 0.0 0.0
					M	ean	0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>				<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	of opacity involvemen	nt		e of irid itation	al		D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
Number	Preinitiation	72 Hour
F 5 2372	NEG	NEG
F52377	NEG	NEG
F52355	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 2 (Initial Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Con</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52382 ^u F52364 ^t F52383 ^u	0 0 0 ^k	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 1	1 1 0	0 1° 0	4.0 8.0 2.0
					Mean		4.7
			24 Hours	<u>i</u>			
F52382 F52364 F52383	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	0 0 1	0 0 0	2.0 2.0 4.0
					Mean		2.7
			48 Hours	<u>i</u>			
F52382 F52364 F52383	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.0 2.0 2.0
					Mean		2.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>tivae</u>
A - Degree of B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irri	e of irida tation	1		ness mosis charge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

c Clear discharge.

k Corneal epithelial pitting; no area of opacity. Observation may have been test material adhered to the cornea.

t No pain response after test material instillation. $\mbox{\bf u}$ Excessive pawing at the treated eye after test material instillation.

E - Chemosis F - Discharge

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 2 (Initial Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Corr</u> <u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	Conjunctiva <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			72 Ho	<u>ours</u>			
F52382 F52364 F52383	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 Mea	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
Cornea			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conju</u>	<u>nctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement			C - Degree of iridal irritation			edness hemosis	

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
<u>Number</u>	<u>Preinitiation</u>	72 Hour
F52382	NEG	NEG
F52364	NEG	NEG
F52383	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	Con,	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F53845 ^u F53846 ^t F53853 ^u F53848 ^u F53849 ^t F53850 ^t	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 1 1	2 2 ^b 2 ^b 2 ^b 2 ^b	1 1 1 1 1	1° 0 0 0 1° 1°	8.0 6.0 6.0 11.0 18.0 13.0
					Mean		10.3
			24 Hours				
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^j	0 0 0 0 4 0	0 0 1 1 1 1 1	2 ^b 2 ^b 2 ^b 2 ^b 2 ^b 2 ^b 2	1 1 1 2 3 1	1° 2° 1° 3° 1°	8.0 10.0 13.0 19.0 41.0 13.0
					Mean		17.3
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>tivae</u>
A - Degree of B - Area of i	opacity nvolvement	t	C - Degree irri	of irida tation	1	D - Red E - Che F - Dis	

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

b Blanching.

c Clear discharge.

i Injected.

j Corneal epithelial peeling.
t No pain response after test material instillation.

u Excessive pawing at the treated eye after test material instillation.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) (Continued)

<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	D	Conjunctiva <u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
	<u>48 H</u>	<u>ours</u>			
0 0 0 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 2 2 2 2 ^b 2	1 1 1 3 1	0 0 0 0 2 ^d 0	6.0 6.0 6.0 34.0
			Mea	n	10.7
	72 Ho	urs			
0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 1 2 2 2 ^b 2	1 1 1 2 1 Mea	0 0 0 0 1 ^d 0	6.0 4.0 6.0 6.0 25.0 6.0
j	0 0 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48 Hours 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 3 1 ⁱ 2 ^b 0 0 2	## Hours 0	## Hours 1

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

b Blanching.d Purulent discharge.

i Injected.

j Corneal epithelial peeling.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>Con,</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			96 Hour	<u>'S</u>			
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^j	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 2 2 2 2 ^b 1	1 0 1 1 2 1 Mean	0 0 0 0 1 ^d	4.0 2.0 6.0 6.0 20.0 4.0
			Day 7				
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^j	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 1 ^d	0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 15.0 2.0
					Mean		3.2

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

b Blanching.

d Purulent discharge.

j Corneal epithelial peeling.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u> Day 10	<u>D</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^{j,n}	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 1 ^d	0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 15.0 0.0
				•	Mean		2.8
			<u>Day 13</u>				
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^{j,n}	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 0.0
					Mean		2.2

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

d Purulent discharge.

j Corneal epithelial peeling.
n Corneal neovascularization.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>D</u>	Conjunctiva <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			Day	16			
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^{j,n}	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 0.0
					Mea	n	2.2
			Day	<u>19</u>			
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^{j,n}	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 13.0 0.0
					Mea	n	2.2

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. j Corneal epithelial peeling. Corneal neovascularization.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Corn</u>	<u>в</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	Conjunctiv <u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			Day	21			
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	0 0 0 0 1 ^{j,n}	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.0 0.0
					Mea	an	1.8

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. j Corneal epithelial peeling. corneal neovascularization.

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations Group 2 (Additional Animals) - $A_{12-14}EO_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7)

Animal		Observation Period								
Number	Preiniti	ation 24	1 Hour	48 Hour	72 Hour	96 Hour				
F53845 F53846 F53853 F53848 F53849 F53850	NEG NEG NEG NEG NEG	POS	NEG NEG NEG NEG (85%) NEG	NA NA NA NA POS (70%) NA	NA NA NA NA POS (40%) NA	NA NA NA NA POS (35%) NA				
Animal			0bserva	ation Period						
Number	Day 7	<u>Day 10</u>	<u>Day 13</u>	<u>Day 16</u>	<u>Day 19</u>	<u>Day 21</u>				
F53845 F53846 F53853	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA				
F53848 F53849 F53850	NA POS (20%) NA	NA POS (20%) NA	NA POS (20% NA	NA %) POS (20% NA	NA) POS (20%) NA	NA POS (20%) NA				

NA Not applicable. NEG Negative stain retention. POS Positive stain retention (area of cornea involved).

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 3 - $A_{8-10}EO_5$ (Alfonic 810-5)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Cor</u>	njunctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
		*	1 Hour				
F52371 ^t F52379 ^t F52366 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 i 0 1 i	2 2 1	2 1 1	2° 1° 0	17.0 8.0 9.0
					Mean		11.3
			24 Hours	<u>5</u>			
F52371 F52379 F52366	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 1	1 1 0	0 0 0	6.0 4.0 2.0
					Mean		4.0
			48 Hours	<u> </u>			
F52371 F52379 F52366	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.7
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conju</u>	<u>nctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irr	e of irida itation	al	E - C	edness hemosis ischarge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

c Clear discharge.
i Injected.

t No pain response after test material instillation.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 3 - $A_{8-10}EO_5$ (Alfonic 810-5) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cor</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	Conjunctiva <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			<u>72 H</u>	<u>lours</u>			
F52371 F52379 F52366	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.0 0.0 0.0
					Mea	ın	0.7
			<u>96 H</u>	<u>ours</u>			
F52371 F52379 F52366	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mea	ın	0.0

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal Number	Observation Preinitiation	Period 72 Hour
F52371	NEG	NEG
F52379	NEG	NEG
F52366	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 4 - $A_{12-13}EO_3$ (Neodol 23-3)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Con</u>	juncti <u>E</u>	vae <u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52374 ^t F52380 ^t F52351 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Me	ean	0.0
			24 Hours			7	
F52374 F52380 F52351	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Me	ean	0.0
			48 Hours				
F52374 F52380 F52351	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Me	ean	0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjun</u>	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree of B - Area of i	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irri	of irida tation	1	E - Ch	dness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. t No pain response after test material instillation.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 4 - $A_{12-13}EO_3$ (Neodol 23-3) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Con</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			72 Hour	<u>'S</u>			
F52374 F52380 F52351	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 Mean	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
Cornea			<u>Iris</u>			Conjunc	<u>tivae</u>
A - Degree of B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t		e of irida itation	1		lness mosis charge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
<u>Number</u>	Preinitiation	72 Hour
F52374	NEG	NEG
F52380	NEG	NEG
F52351	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 5 - $A_{12}EO_{23}$ (Brij-35)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>Con</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52352 ^t F52367 ^t F52368 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 2.0 2.0
					Mean		1.3
			24 Hours	<u>S</u>			
F52352	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
F 5 2367 F 5 2368	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0
					Mean		0.0
			48 Hours	S			
F52352	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
F52367 F52368	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
1 32300	v	· ·	v	· ·	Mean	Ü	0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			Conjund	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irr	e of irida itation	.1	E - Che	dness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. t No pain response after test material instillation.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 5 - A₁₂EO₂₃ (Brij-35) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cor</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	Conjunctiv <u>E</u>	<u>ae</u> <u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			<u>72 H</u>	<u>ours</u>			
F52352 F52367 F52368	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	. 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Me	an	0.0

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>	
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge	

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	
Number	<u>Preinitiation</u>	72 Hour
FF02F0	NEC	NEC
F52352	NEG	NEG
F52367	NEG	NEG
F52368	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 6 - Nonylphenol - $EO_{9.5}$ (Triton N101)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Conj</u>	unctivae <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *		
			1 Hour						
F52357 ^u F52365 ^t F52363 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 i 0 1 i	2 1 1	3 2 1	3° 1° 1°	21.0 8.0 11.0		
					Mean		13.3		
			24 Hours						
F52357 F52365 F52363	1 ^j 0 1	1 0 1	1 i 0 0	2 2 2	2 1 1	0 0 0	18.0 6.0 11.0		
,					Mean		11.7		
	48 Hours								
F52357 F52365 F52363	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 1	1 0 0	0 0 0	6.0 2.0 2.0		
					Mean		3.3		
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>tivae</u>		
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement		C - Degree of iridal irritation			D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge				

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

c Clear discharge.

i Injected.

j Corneal epithelial peeling. t No pain response after test material instillation. u Excessive pawing at the treated eye after test material instillation.

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 6 - Nonylphenol - EO_{9.5} (Triton N101) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Corne</u> <u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	D	Conjunctivae <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			<u>72 Ho</u>	ours			
F52357 F52365 F52363	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.0 2.0 2.0
					Mean	1	2.0
			06.11				
			<u>96 Ho</u>	<u>urs</u>			
F52357 F52365 F52363	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mear	1	0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			Conju	<u>ınctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement			C - Degree of iridal irritation			dedness Chemosis Discharge	

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
<u>Number</u>	<u>Preinitiation</u>	<u>72 Hour</u>
F52357	NEG	NEG
F52365	NEG	NEG
F52363	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 7 - Sorbitan oleate - EO_{20} (Tween 85)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>Con</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52360 ^t F52354 ^t F52358 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.7
			24 Hours	<u>3</u>			
F52360 F52354 F52358	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
48 Hours							
F52360 F52354 F52358	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement		C - Degree of iridal irritation				iness emosis scharge	

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. t No pain response after test material instillation.

Group 7 - Sorbitan oleate - EO_{20} (Tween 85) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cor</u>	nea <u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>Conjunctiv</u> <u>E</u>	<u>e</u> <u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			<u>72 H</u>	<u>lours</u>			
F52360 F52354 F52358	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mea	an	0.0

Cornea	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
Number	<u>Preinitiation</u>	72 Hour
F52360	NEG	NEG
F52354	NEG	NEG
F52358	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 8 - A_{12-16} - $glucose_{1.6}$ (Glucopon 625CS)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>Con</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52373 ^u F52362 ^u F52361 ^u	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 i 0 1 i	2 1 2	1 0 1	1° 0 0	13.0 2.0 11.0
					Mean		8.7
			24 Hours	<u> </u>			
F52373 F52362 F52361	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
			48 Hours	<u> </u>			
F52373 F52362 F52361	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irri	e of irida itation	1		lness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = (A x B x 5) + (C x 5) + [(D + E + F) x 2].
c Clear discharge.
i Injected.

u Excessive pawing at the treated eye after test material instillation.

Group 8 -
$$A_{12-16}$$
 - glucose_{1.6} (Glucopon 625CS) (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Con,</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			72 Hour	<u>s</u>			
F52373 F52362 F52361	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 Mean	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
Cornea			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunctivae</u>	
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement		C - Degree of iridal irritation				lness emosis scharge	

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
Number	<u>Preinitiation</u>	72 Hour
FF0070	NEO	NEO
F52373	NEG	NEG
F52362	NEG	NEG
F52361	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 9 - Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L); 10.5 pH

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>Con</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52370 ^u F52359 ^u F52356 ^u	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i	2 2 2	1 1 1	1° 1° 1°	13.0 13.0 13.0
					Mean		13.0
			24 Hours	<u>s</u>			
F52370 F52359 F52356	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
			48 Hours	<u>3</u>			
F52370 F52359 F52356	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
<u>Cornea</u>		•	<u>Iris</u>			Conjunc	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irri	e of irida itation	1		iness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

c Clear discharge.

i Injected.
u Excessive pawing at the treated eye after test material instillation.

Group 9 - Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L); 10.5 pH (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cor</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	D	<u>Conjunctiv</u> E	ae F	Total <u>Score</u> *
	_	_	72 H	ours -	_	_	_
F52370 F52359 F52356	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mea	an	0.0
Cornea			Iric		9	Conj	ınctivae

<u>Cornea</u>	<u>Iris</u>	<u>Conjunctivae</u>
A - Degree of opacity B - Area of involvement	C - Degree of iridal irritation	D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	
Number	<u>Preinitiation</u>	72 Hour
F52370	NEG	NEG
F52359	NEG	NEG
F52356	NEG	NEG

Individual Eye Irritation Scores Group 10 - Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris C	<u>Con,</u>	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52369 ^t F52375 ^t F52353 ^t	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 [†] 0 1 [†]	1 1 1	1 0 0	1° 0 1°	11.0 2.0 9.0
					Mean		7.3
			24 Hours	<u> </u>			
F52369 F52375 F52353	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
			48 Hours	<u>5</u>			
F52369 F52375 F52353	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
					Mean		0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conjunc</u>	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irr	e of irida itation	1		dness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$.

i Injected.
c Clear discharge.

t No pain response after test material instillation.

D - Redness E - Chemosis F - Discharge

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 10 - Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD) (Continued)

Animal	Corr	<u>nea</u>	Iris		Conjunctiv	ae	Total
Number	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	_ <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Score</u> *
			<u>72 H</u>	ours			
F52369	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
F52375	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
F52353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
1 32333	U	U	U	U	U	O	0.0
					Mea	an	0.0
					110		
Cornea			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conju</u>	<u>ınctivae</u>

A - Degree of opacity C - Degree of iridal B - Area of involvement irritation

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	Observation	Period
Number	Preinitiation	72 Hour
F52369	NEG	NA
F52375	NEG	NA
F 5 2353	NEG	NA

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Individual Eye Irritation Scores

Group 11 - Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L); 7.0 pH

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Cornea</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>Con</u> ;	junctivae <u>E</u>	<u> </u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			1 Hour				
F52378 ^u F52384 ^t F52376 ^u	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i	2 2 1	1 1 0	1° 1° 1°	13.0 13.0 9.0
					Mean		11.7
			24 Hours	<u>i</u>			
F52378 F52384 F52376	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.0 2.0 2.0
					Mean		2.0
			48 Hours	<u>i</u>			
F52378 F52384 F52376	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 2.0 0.0
					Mean		0.7
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			Conjunc	<u>ctivae</u>
A - Degree o B - Area of	f opacity involvemen	t	C - Degree irri	e of irida tation	1		lness emosis scharge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2]$. c Clear discharge.

i Injected.t No pain response after test material instillation.

u Excessive pawing at the treated eye after test material instillation.

Group 11 - Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L); 7.0 pH (Continued)

Animal <u>Number</u>	<u>Corr</u> <u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	Iris <u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	Conjunctiva <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	Total <u>Score</u> *
			72 Ho	ours			
F52378 F52384 F52376	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 Mea	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
<u>Cornea</u>			<u>Iris</u>			<u>Conju</u>	<u>nctivae</u>
A - Degree B - Area of	of opacit involver	ty ment		ree of ir rritation		E - C	edness hemosis ischarge

^{*} Total score = $(A \times B \times 5) + (C \times 5) + [(D + E + F) \times 2].$

Sodium Fluorescein Examinations

Animal	<u>Observation</u>	
Number	<u>Preinitiation</u>	<u>72 Hour</u>
F52378 F52384 F52376	NEG NEG NEG	NEG NEG NEG

APPENDIX B

Protocol Protocol Amendment No. 1 Protocol Amendment No. 2



a **CORNING** Company

Sponsor:

The Soap and Detergent Association New York, New York

PROTOCOL TP6360.F

Study Title:

Primary Eye Irritation Study of Nonionic Surfactants in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)

Date:

October 13, 1994

Performing Laboratory:

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 3301 Kinsman Boulevard Madison, Wisconsin 53704

Laboratory Project Identification:

HWI 6310-105

STUDY IDENTIFICATION

Primary Eye Irritation Study of Nonionic Surfactants in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)

HWI No. 6310-105 1. A₁₂₋₁₃EO_{6.5} (Neodol 23-6.5)
2. A₁₂₋₁₄EO₇ (Alfonic 1412-7)
3. A₈₋₁₀EO₅ (Alfonic 810-5)
4. A₁₂₋₁₃EO₃ (Neodol 23-3)
5. A₁₂EO₂₃ (Brij-35)
6. NonyIphenol - EO_{9.5} (Triton N101)
7. Sorbitan oleate - EO₂₀ (Tween 85)
8. A₁₂₋₁₆ - glucose _{1.6} (Glucopon 625CS)
9. Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L)
10. Cocamide DFA (Standamid KD) Test Materials 10. Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD) Sponsor The Soap and Detergent Association 475 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 Sponsor's Representative Richard I. Sedlak, PhD The Soap and Detergent Association 475 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 (212) 725-1262 Study Director Steven M. Glaza Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. P.O. Box 7545 Madison, WI 53707-7545 (608) 241-7292 Study Location Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. Building No. 3 3802 Packers Avenue Madison, WI 53704 Proposed Study Timetable Experimental Start Date Week of October 17, 1994 Experimental Termination Date Week of November 7, 1994 Final Report Date Week of December 26, 1994

- 1. Study Primary Eye Irritation Study in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)
- To 1) develop a reliable, consistant database of eye irritation scores on nonionic surfactants to use for evaluating the ability of non-animal tests to predict eye irritation: and 2) determine if the eye irritation potential of nonionic surfactants can be predicted from structural or surfactant properties.
- Regulatory Compliance This study will be conducted in accordance with the Food and Drug Administration Good Laboratory Practice Regulations as outlined in 21 CFR 58 with the exception that analyses of the test mixtures for concentration and stability will not be conducted.

All procedures in this protocol are in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act Regulations. In the opinion of the Sponsor and study director, the study does not unnecessarily duplicate any previous work.

Quality Assurance The protocol, study conduct, and the final report will be audited by the Quality Assurance Unit in accordance with Hazleton Wisconsin (HWI) Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and policies.

5. <u>Test Materials</u>

- A. <u>Identification (Trade Name)</u>

 - 10. Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD)
 - A = alcohol (Subcript is the number of carbons in the alcohol chain)
 - EO = ethylene oxide units (Subscript is the number of moles of ethylene oxide present)
- Physical Description To be documented in the raw data

C. Purity and Stability

The Sponsor assumes responsibility for purity and stability determinations (including under test conditions) of the undiluted materials. Homogeneity of the test mixtures will be verified by visual inspection. Analyses of the test mixtures for concentration and stability will not be conducted. Samples of test material/vehicle mixtures for physical and chemical analysis will be taken before administration and sent to the individuals listed in Section 6. B. (1). The dose analyses of these items is the responsibility of the Sponsor.

- D. Storage Room temperature
- E. Reserve Samples Reserve sample(s) of each batch/lot of test material and each prepared test mixture will be taken for this study.

The reserve samples of the test materials and the prepared test mixtures will be stored at HWI in a freezer set to maintain a temperature of below 0°C for 1 year. The Sponsor will be contacted after I year regarding the final disposition of these reserve samples.

F. Retention

Any unused test material will be discarded one year after issuance of the final report, unless directed otherwise by the Sponsor.

- G. Safety Precautions As required by HWI SOPs and policies
- Experimental Design
 - A. Animals
 - (1) Species Rabbit
 - (2) Strain/Source Hra: (NZW) SPF/HRP, Inc.
 - (3) Age at Initiation Adult.
 - (4) Weight at Initiation 2.0 to 3.5 kg

- (5) Number and Sex 33 (3 of any sex per test material mixture) 3 of any sex for any additional test material mixtures (if necessary)
- (6) <u>Identification</u> Individual numbered ear tag
- (7) Husbandry
 - (a) <u>Housing</u>
 Individually, in screen-bottom stainless steel cages (heavy gauge)
 - (b) Food
 A measured amount of Laboratory Rabbit Diet HF #5326
 (PMI Feeds, Inc.). The food is routinely analyzed by
 the manufacturer for nutritional components and
 environmental contaminants.
 - (c) Water
 Ad libitum from an automatic system. Samples of the water are analyzed by HWI for total dissolved solids, hardness, and specified microbiological content and for selected elements, heavy metals, organophosphates, and chlorinated hydrocarbons.
 - (d) <u>Contaminants</u>
 There are no known contaminants in the food or water that would interfere with this study.
 - (e) Environment
 Environmental controls for the animal room will be set to maintain a temperature of 19 to 23°C, a relative humidity of 50% ±20%, and a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle.
 - (f) Acclimation
 At least 7 days
- (8) Selection of Test Animals

 Healthy animals meeting the body weight requirements and having no pre-existing eye irritation will be assigned to study groups using a random numbers list. An adequate number of extra animals will be purchased so that no animal in obviously poor health is placed on test. The eyes will be examined using sodium fluorescein dye procedures on the day before test material administration. Only animals with no sign of corneal injury or eye abnormalities will be used.

(9) <u>Justification for Species Selection</u>
Historically, the New Zealand White albino rabbit has been the animal of choice based upon its large orbit and nonpigmented iris.

B. Dose Administration

(1) Test Material Preparation Each test material will be prepared as a 0.2 M solution/suspension by diluting the appropriate amount of test material with deionized water. The Lauramine oxide will be prepared and tested in two groups of three rabbits, one group as a 0.2 M mixture (pH of approximately 10.5) and the other group as a 0.2 M mixture (pH of approximately 7.0). The Sponsor will be consulted if any problems are encountered in preparing the test mixtures. The pH of each solution/suspension will also be determined. If any test material solution/suspension results in a pH outside of the 6-9 pH range, then an additional solution/suspension adjusted to a pH of 7 will also be tested in the same manner as the other test material mixtures. All test mixtures will be prepared fresh on the day of dosing and stored at room temperature until administration. An adequate amount of each test mixture will be prepared, to allow at least 100 mL of each mixture to be shipped to the following individuals for analysis of physical and/or chemical properties:

> Joseph Kwiatkowski United States Tesing Company, Inc. Chemical Services 1415 Park Avenue Hoboken, NJ 07030

Victor P. Janule SensaDyne Instruments 2855 East Brown Road Suite #19 Mesa, AZ 85275

Dewey Smith Vista Chemical Company 12025 Vista Park Drive Austin, TX 78725-4050

The subsamples of the test mixtures will be stored at room temperature until shipped within 1-3 days of preparation. The samples will be packed in insulated containers and shipped at ambient conditions. HWI does not accept any responsibility for these analyses, the retention of the data or for the reporting of the results. These items are the responsibility of the Sponsor.

(2) <u>Dose Administration</u>
Before administration of each test mixture, the pH of each prepared mixture will be determined (if possible). Each test mixture will be administered to a group of three animals as follows:

Group	Test Material
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO _{6.5} (Neodol 23-6.5) A ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ (Alfonic 1412-7) A ₈₋₁₀ EO ₅ (Alfonic 810-5) A ₁₂₋₁₃ EO ₃ (Neodol 23-3) A ₁₂ EO ₂₃ (Brij-35) Nonylphenol - EO _{9.5} (Triton N101) Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85)
8 9 10 11	Sorbitan oleate - EO ₂₀ (Tween 85) A ₁₂₋₁₆ - glucose _{1,6} (Glucopon 625CS) Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) - pH approx. 10.5 Cocamide DEA (Standamid KD) Lauramine oxide (Incromine Oxide L) - pH approx. 7.0

Each animal will receive a single dose of 10 μL of respective test mixture. The test mixture will be placed onto the corneal surface of the rabbit's right eye. The upper and lower lids will then be released without forced blinking or manipulation. The eyes of the rabbits will remain unflushed for approximately 24 hours after instillation of the test material. After 24 hours, a washout may be used if considered appropriate based on the level of irritation observed. The right eye of each animal will be treated with the test material and the left eye will serve as the untreated control.

(3) Reason for Route of Administration
Historically, the ocular route has been the route of
choice based on the method of Draize.

C. Observation of Animals

(1) Reading of Ocular Irritation
The treated eyes of all animals in each group will be examined for ocular irritation at approximately 1, 24, 48, and 72 hours after treatment. If no irritation or injury is present at 72 hours, the animals for that test material will be terminated. If irritation is present at 72 hours, additional observations will be made at approximately 96 hours and at 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, and 21 days, or until all irritation has cleared. Additional weekly observations may be requested by the Sponsor. After recording the 24-hour observations, sodium fluorescein may be used to aid in revealing possible corneal injury.

Irritation will be graded and scored using the Draize technique (Attachment 1). All eye abnormalities will be recorded. All animals that have a damaged eye producing undue stress or discomfort will be brought to the attention of the study director or designee according to HWI policy.

- (2) <u>Body Weights</u> Before test material administration and weekly thereafter (when applicable).
- D. Pathology
 Any animals dying during the study will be subjected to an abbreviated gross necropsy examination and all abnormalities will be recorded. After necropsy, the animals will be discarded and no tissues will be saved. At termination of the experimental phase, surviving animals will be designated to be sacrificed and discarded.
- E. <u>Statistical Analyses</u>
 No statistical analyses are required.
- 7. Report A final report including those items listed below will be submitted:

Description of the test materials
Description of the test system
Dates of experimental initiation and termination
Summary tables showing the individual irritation data at each observation period, the maximum average score and the median days to recover for each test mixture

8. Location of Raw Data, Records, and Final Report
Original data, or copies thereof, will be available at HWI to
facilitate auditing the study during its progress and before
acceptance of the final report. When the final report is completed,
all original paper data, including those items listed below will be
retained in the archives of HWI according to HWI SOP.

Protocol and protocol amendments
Dose preparation records
In-life records
Body weights
Dose administration
Observations
Anatomical pathology records (if applicable)
Study correspondence
Final report (original signed copy)

The following supporting records will be retained at HWI but will not be archived with the study data.

Animal receipt/acclimation records Water analysis records Animal room temperature and humidity records Refrigerator and freezer temperature records Instrument calibration and maintenance records

PROTOCOL APPROVAL

Richard I. Sedlak, PhD

Sponsor's Representative The Soap and Detergent Association

10.13.94

Date

Date

Steven M. Glaza Study Director
Acute Toxicology
Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc.

Representative Quality Assurance Unit

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc.

(6310105.pr)HD

Attachment 1

SCALE FOR SCORING OCULAR LESIONS (DRAIZE TECHNIQUE)

(1)) Cornea			
	(A)	Opacity - Degree of density (area most dense taken for reading) No opacity		
	(B)	Area of Cornea Involved One-quarter (or less), but not zero		
		A x B x 5 Total Maximum = 80		
(2)	<u>Iris</u>			
	(A)	Values Normal		
		A x 5 Total Maximum = 10		
(3)	Conju	unctivae		
		Redness (refers to palpebral and bulbar conjunctivae excluding cornea and iris) Vessels normal 0 Vessels definitely injected above normal 1 More diffuse, deeper crimson red, individual vessels not easily discernible 2 Diffuse beefy red 3		
		Chemosis No swelling		
		Discharge No discharge		
		Score (A + B + C) x 2 Total Maximum = 20		

The total score for the eye is the sum of all scores obtained for the cornea, iris, and conjunctivae.

^{*} Indicates a positive effect. (FHSA Interpretation)



a CORNING Company

PROTOCOL TP6360.F

Primary Eye Irritation Study of Nonionic Surfactants in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)

HWI 6310-105

5	no	nc	or
J	μU	112	OI.

Contractor

The Soap and Detergent Association 475 Park Avenue
New York , NY 10016

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 3301 Kinsman Boulevard Madison, WI 53704

Sponsor's Representative

Study Director

Richard I. Sedlak, PhD

Steven M. Glaza

Amendment No. 1

This amendment modifies the following portion of the protocol:

Effective March 16, 1995

1. Page 7, 6. Experimental Design; B. Dose Administration; (2) Dose Administration. At the request of the Sponsor to treat six new Group 2 animals with the standard volume of test mixture (0.1 mL), add the following paragraph to this section:

An additional group of six animals will be treated with A $_{12-14}E0_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) prepared using the same procedure as for the initial testing. Each animal will receive a single dose of 0.1 mL of the test mixture. All other procedures in the protocol will be followed.

Amendment No. 1

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc.

(6310-105.Aml.dsk3)

HWI 6310-105 Page 2

Effective March 21, 1995

1. Page 7, 6. Experimental Design; B. Dose Administration; (2) Dose Administration. At the request of the Sponsor, the samples for analysis of physical and/or chemical properties taken from the test mixture prepared for the additional group of six animals treated with $A_{12-14}E0_7$ (Alfonic 1412-7) do not need to be analyzed. Modify the last sentence in the second paragraph of this section with the following underlined addition:

All other procedures in the protocol will be followed, with the exception that the analysis of the test mixture samples for physical and chemical properties by the individuals listed in Section 6. B. (1). will not be required.

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT APPROVAL

Richard I. Sedlak, PhD Sponsor's Representative The Soap and Detergent Association	Date /3)/95
Steven M. Glaza Study Director Acute Toxicology Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc.	<u>448-95</u> Date
Representative Quality Assurance Unit	4.18°95 Date



a **CORNING** Company

PROTOCOL TP6360.F

Primary Eye Irritation Study of Nonionic Surfactants in Rabbits (Low Volume Procedure)

HWI 6310-105

Sp	Or	121	nr

Contractor

The Soap and Detergent Association 475 Park Avenue New York , NY 10016

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 3301 Kinsman Boulevard Madison, WI 53704

Sponsor's Representative

Study Director

Richard I. Sedlak, PhD

Steven M. Glaza

Amendment No. 2

This amendment modifies the following portion of the protocol:

Effective March 16, 1995

- 1. Page 6, 6. Experimental Design; B. Dose Administration; (1) Test

 Material Preparation. After discussion with the Sponsor it was
 determined that additional testing of those test mixtures that had pH
 values outside the 6-9 range would not be required due to the low level
 of irritation observed with the initial test mixtures. The fifth
 sentence of this section is thus to be deleted.
- Page 2, Study Location. The testing of the additional group of animals with the 0.2 M Alfonic 1412-7 test mixture will require the animals to be treated at the Hazleton Wisconsin facility located at 3301 Kinsman Boulevard, Madison, Wisconsin. Add the following to this section of the protocol:

Additional Animals

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 3301 Kinsman Boulevard Madison, WI 53707